521.612

# LETTER

OF

## CLAUDIO TOLOMEI,

Translated from the ITALIAN.

In which he examines the

## QUESTION,

Whether a PRINCE should in Policy punish his MAGISTRATES and MINISTERS, who against the Duty of their Office have injured the People, or rather to cover and conceal their Crimes, and by some secret Way or other put a Stop to them.

#### WITH

Historical Notes, and Political Reflections.



#### LONDON:

Printed for T. COOPER, at the Globe in Pater-Noster-Row. M.DCC.XXXIX. (Price Two Shillings.)

The Contact

ti te B sti de a te



### TOTHE

# READER.

HE Italian Original of the following Letter is to be read in the printed Collection of Claudio Tolomei's Letters. It is the first of the fourth Book. The Importance of the Question herein examined is such, that it deserves the particular Attention of a Prince. It is his chief Interest not to take a wrong Step in so a 2 delicate

delicate an Affair, which concerns his own Preservation as well as the Welfare of his People. The Manner how the Question is handled is so judicious and agreeable, that it cannot but instruct and delight the Reader at the same time; who may easily by it perceive the Author's Character, that he was a fine Gentleman, a polite Scholar, and well acquainted with the World.

CLAUDIO TOLOMEI was one of those great Genii, that Italy produced in the sixteenth Century. The golden Age of that Nation in Respect both to all Sorts of Learning, and liberal Arts. He was a Native of Siena, of a noble and ancient Family. His Knowledge was not confined to any Profession. He was Master of three noble Languages, Greek, Latin, and Italian, an eloquent Orator, most elegant Poet, a good

4

is

it

be

zy

ne

nd

of

lu-

The

Re-

ng,

Va-

ent

not

was

ges,

elo-

t, a

rood

good Philosopher, and an excellent Lawyer. He passed the best Part of his Life at Rome, employed in public Affairs, and in the Service of his Country. Fortune was not favourable enough to his Merit, having raised him to no higher Dignity, than to that of a Bishopric: But he was recompensed with the Reputation and Fame be got by his Learning and Manner of Writing; which have rendered his Name glorious among the best Italian Writers (a). Thus much of this great Man for the Present. A full Account of his Life the Public may expect at another Time.

How hard a Task it is to make an exact Translation, is too well

<sup>(</sup>a) Ghilini Teatro d'Uomini Letterati, Vol. 1. pag. 39. Crescimbeni Historia della volgar Poesia. 1. 2. n. 30. known

N

ri

pi

P

of

ti

w

ot

ou

be

Tr

L

A

lef.

W

tho

known by those, who have ever tried it, and are capable of judging of the different Genius and Beauty of Languages; and in particular of the Italian and English. The Translator was sensible of the Difficulty; and therefore he did not presume so much on his Ability as to attempt to come up to the Original. His particular Intent and Care has been only to express the Sense of the Author in the best Manner he could.

To the Translation he has added Historical Notes, which he thought necessary for the better Understanding of those Facts, and Characters of Persons therein occasionally mentioned. He has examined them both, in order to clear what his Author says, and to consirm Truth, which is the Soul of History. With them he has joined such Political Resections, as they occurred to his Mind

Mind in the drawing up the Historical Notes: Being of Opinion, that proper Reflections, and especially Political ought to be the main Profit of reading History; For by the Motives of human Actions we know what Men are, and by the Conduct of others we may take an Example for our own Instruction.

Should this Piece meet with the Approbation of the Public, it would be a great Encouragement to the Translator to go on with another Letter (tho' imperfect) of the same Author, relating to a Question no less important, than the present. viz. Whether a Prince should punish those, who speak ill of him.

t

·s

1-

n

1-

6,

b

al

is

nd

## ACCORDINATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

#### ERRATA.

PAGE 27. for Copyists read Copistes.
Page 34. the Cardinals r. the major
Part of the Cardinals.

Page 35. Credidttur r. Creditur.
Possessones r. Possessiones.

Page 40. of Templars r. of the Templars. In the Note (p) Dupin r. Dupuy.

Page 69. In the Note (i) quelem r. qualem.

Page 73. Licentiousnence r. Licentiousness. an r. and.

Page 75. In the Note (k) am r. jam. Page 118. at Cilicia r. in Cilicia.

Page 133. in or r. in order.



CLAUDIO

par

the

fev

no

any Bu

per the I h

by the both of Sp

Camp ples ;



## CLAUDIO TOLOMEI

TO

## M. ANTON FRANCESCO

SANTI, of TRIEVI. \$



10

Could not answer your Letter of the 11th of September before this Time, for the very Day I received it I was obliged to ride out to Tivoli, partly because I

was engaged with some Gentlemen, and partly too for my own Diversion. From thence afterwards we went rambling about several little Towns, now seeing one Thing, now another, wherever we heard there was any Remains of those ancient wonderful Buildings. After ten Days I came back, and perused your Letter again, and considering the Questions you alk my Sentiment upon, I have not been sufficiently able to give you

B

<sup>†</sup> Trievi the same with Trevi, as it is commonly called by the Geographers. There are two Boroughs of that Name, both in the Ecclesiastical State; one in Umbria, or Dutchy of Spoleto; sormerly an Episcopal Town, the other in the Campagne of Rome, upon the Borders of the Kingdom of Naples; which of the two is meant here I can't determine.

an Answer to them all at once. I shall therefore for the prefent answer only to the first Article, which highly deserves to be examined, and, when well refolved, will be of the greatest Advantage. And fince, as I think, our present Times make the Subject more important, and of greater Confideration, I will therefore endeavour to treat of it at large; in doing which, if perchance I should, from the plain and low Stile of Letters, rise to that of a Discourse, I beg you'll forgive me; the Importance of the Subject, the Probabilities that appear on different Sides, and my Defire of resolving the Question well and rightly, prompt and engage me to be perhaps longer than you would wish for; but, I believe, that neither Time, nor Labour, nor Words will be loft, provided Truth be known.

The Doubt then, which in the first Place you propose to me, is this. Whether a Prince, finding out a Magistrate or Minister to have done many Acts of Injustice, should publickly and severely punish him, or whether it be better to keep them, as much as possible, concealed, and by some secret Way or other apply a Remedy to prevent them. This Article, by the Tenour and Disposition of the Laws, needs no Discussion; for the Laws require that he should not only undergo a public Punishment, but farther, that he should be more feverely punished than others. + And indeed,

b

as

11

ne

be

oe

I

ct

a-

of

e I

rs,

ive

10-

nd

ind

er-

out,

our,

be

lace

r a ini-

tice.

bim,

as Se-

pre-

nour

no at he

nish-

more And

deed,

indeed, if private Men, for their Crimes, deferve an heavy Punishment, how much the more should those Persons deserve it, who are placed in that Station, to deter others from doing Wrong? And if the Prince, by raifing them to high Places and Offices, put Justice and his Honour in their Hands, of what Punishment are they not deferving, who by their wicked Actions offend both God and the Prince, and with them the People too? But fince in our Days there are fome People, who being led into Mistakes, by I know not what Show of Reasons, say, That it does not become a wife Prince to expose, and render infamous his Magistrates and Ministers by making public their ill and unjust Actions, but to be more prudent to conceal them; I thought therefore, in order that this Point might be better understood, to treat of it fully and distinctly.

They that maintain it to be not a prudent Way to punish, and render them infamous, alledge this Reason chiefly for it. That among the first Supporters of a Prince are to be reckoned the Magistrates and Ministers; and among the Things, that give Repute to a Magistrate or Minister, are the Trust the People put in him, and the Opinion of his being good and just. It is this Trust and Opinion of the Magistrate's Uprightness and Integrity, that makes him to be look'd upon as a facred Thing; and by that Means the Prince's Esteem also among his People be-

B 2

comes

H.

III.

IV.

comes greater; whereas, on the other Hand, whenever 'tis discovered that the Magistrate, commits Injustice and Wickedness, the People have no more Confidence in him, and will hate and despise him, which consequently will diminish the Prince's Greatness and Reputation. \* The having made public the base and scandalous Actions of Appius Claudius Regillanus, was the Cause both of his Ruin, and the Abolition of the Decemvirat, and gave Occasion to change the Government into another Form. + From this Confideration, I suppose, Pope Leo X. was induced not to make a public Example of an Auditor of the Rota, as he would have done, had he not thought that the Repute and Dignity of that Office would have been lessened, by making public that it was ferved by bad and wicked Ministers; ‡ confidering particularly, that in our Age the Affairs and Government of Rome is more supported by the Shadow of a good Fame, than by the Strength and Force of Power. They add to this, That those Magistrates or Ministers having been chosen by the Prince, if they afterwards should be exposed to the People as infamous Rogues, the Prince also would be exposed for want of Judgment, in chusing them for such Posts, which can't but injure his Credit very much. there are Instances of Sovereigns, who have punish'd even one who did not deserve it, and this for no other Reason, but to save the th in Ci wi

no in fit

on

wh his east Man ow he'. Fro Da foe Nei Dai the

plai Ani ecui

bylo

Act: men

thew ftrat the Appearance of not having been mistaken in their Judgment in charging him with Crimes he was innocent of, \* as Tiberius did with his Friend of Rhodus; if so, I say, how much more reasonable is it not to punish one who deserves it, that the Prince might not expose his own want of Understanding, in having first approved him as a good and

fit Person for that Employment?

Besides this Consideration, a Prince who proceeds so far, as to punish severely his own Ministers and Magistrates, will easily fall under the Denomination of a cruel Man, because if he is so cruel against his own, what can it not be thought and believed he'll be againft those that are Strangers? From whence he'll fall into Infamy and Danger. Into Infamy, because Cruelty is fo much abhorred in every Man whomfoever, as may be feen in Sylla, Caligula, Nero, Maximin, and many others. Into Danger, + as it happen'd to Alexander the Great after his coming back to Babylon from India, who, for being too cruel against his Ministers on the many Complaints of the People, ‡ was poison'd by Antipater, employing his Son Iolas to execute it \* which he did for fear his wicked Actions should meet with the same Punishment which many others had met with

Lastly they say, That a Prince, who shews too much Cruelty towards his Magi-strates or Ministers, gives Reason to doubt,

re

t,

1e

V

VI.

VII.

VIII.

and

and believe, that he does it rather to get their Estate, than for any other Motive; and so that he is rather moved and incited to it by Avarice than Justice, \* as it was believed and publickly reported of *Philip* King of *France*, who abolished the Order of the *Templars*, that he did it to get their Riches, and that those poor Wretches were innocent, and had committed no Crime at all.

T

01

ec

to

pu

va

ha

an

M

Co

ma

Lif

to the

Cor

and

of (

dest

the

faid,

in '

kep

have

\* In the like Manner also, was Vefpasian blamed for raising to Posts and Offices the most rapacious Commissaries he could know of, that he might afterwards, when grown rich by their dishonest Ways and Means, punish them, and squeese them like a Spunge; taking away from them all they had got by Rapaciousness and Extortion. \* This Reflection moved the old Romans, under the Government of that good Republic, after having subdued Macedonia, not to make War against the Rhodians, tho' they had good Reason for it, lest it should be thought (the Rhodians being a wealthy People) they had done it rather for the Defire of their Riches, than for the fake of Vengeance.

These apparent Reasons have cast, as it were, a Mist before the Eyes of many, as not to let them see and distinguish the Light of Truth; therefore I thought to do my Endeavour to make it clear, by dispersing the Cloud that overshadowed it. I say therefore, \* since the most severe Laws

XII.

IX

X.

XI.

et

ed

as lip

of

es,

nt,

ef-

Of-

he

rds,

ays

nem

all

tor-

old

that

Tace-

Rho-

or it,

dians

it ra-

in for

as it

ny, as

h the

to to

r, by

d it. I

Laws

have

have been made by the Ancients against the Wickedness and Injustice of Magistrates, that Prudence, in this, as in other Cases (to use the Expression) gives a Relish to all Virtues, and is the Mother of all good Actions. And so much as the Law relates to Things of greater Weight and Importance, fo much it ought to be supposed, that it was made with greater Prudence. To what Purpose then is the Law so religioully made, if for private Respects the Execution of it is hindred? I'll not attempt here to examine of what Moment is to all Republics and Sovereignties, either the Prefervation or the Corruption of the Laws; this having already been fully demonstrated by ancient Philosophers, and many learned Men in feveral Places of their Works. This Confideration alone might be fufficient to make every Prince sensible of the Observation of the Laws. For as the Soul gives Life to the Body, fo the Laws give Life to Cities and Governments; and as when the Soul is departed, the Body falls into Corruption, fo when the Laws are neglected and not observed, all the Good and Welfare of Civil Life is thrown into Confusion and destroyed. From this Cause Chilon, one of the Seven Wife Men of Greece, prudently faid, That the happiest City would be that, in which the Laws, well instituted, were kept and observed. . in y 14. a grantino y al rant , soba Befides,

Besides, I say, that if a private Man, who does not act according to, but disobey the Laws, ought to be punished, how much more deserves he to be so, who is placed in that Station for the Care and Guard of the Laws? For as Men of such a Rank expect a greater Reward for their good Actions, so they deserve a greater Punishment for their ill ones.

But to proceed further. Who does not see, that by punishing them, the Honour and Dignity of their Office is preserved; and, on the contrary, by not punishing them, is corrupted? Because if the Prince punishes them, the People then, and all the World, is convinced that he is very just, and punishes the Great as well as the Meanest when they do Wrong, doing Justice to them equally, according to their Desert; and that he will not support unjust and rapacious Persons in Places of Honour and Importance, but whenever any such a one is discovered to be there, he severely punishes him.

V

T

tv

From this follow many good Effects. In the first Place, the Prince is look'd upon to be very just, which Thing, every body knows, what great Credit it gives to a Prince, who is willing to keep an equal Justice with all, according to their Desert. In this, as in many other Particulars, the Prince shews himself the Image of God. And besides, that in punishing a Magistrate,

1,

h

in

of

nk

 $\mathbf{bo}$ 

nt

ot

ur

d;

ing

nce

the

ıst,

an-

to

rt;

ra-

and

one

pu-

ects.

up-

bo-

to a

qual

fert.

the

God.

rate,

others, for fear of the like Punishment, dare not to transgress the Laws. From whence that Office will be well administred, and rise to a greater Repute and Honour. deed one of the great Effects that Punishment produces, is that it makes those to be good who don't undergo and feel it, but fear it only. \* William King of Sicily, furnamed, The Good, finding one of his Ministers had done some Villanies and Injustice, put him to Death; and, having flead him, fpread the Skin on the Judgment-Seat, where, when alive, he had prefided. Afterwards he promoted the Son to the fame Office. This Example struck all the Judges with fuch a Terror, that for a great while no Complaint of any Injustice was heard of. The fame had been formerly done by a King of Persia. \* Aurelian was a very great Emperor, and one of them, who by his virtuous Actions gave Reputation to the Empire; yet he always punish'd most severely all those of his Ministers and Officers, that were guilty of any Fault; being of Opinion (as certain it is) that the nearer the Evil is, the more it wants a speedy Remedy. That Saying of Solon is very true, That Cities and Sovereignties can't be preferved without Punishment and Reward; two Things, which Democritus was went to call the two Gods of Government. On the other Hand, if a Prince does not punish them, to prevent an ill Opinion and Report

XIII.

XIV.

of that Office or Magistracy, then the Ministers become unjust, insolent, rapacious; because they have no fear of being punish'd, thinking themselves to be facred and inviolable, and having almost an Assurance, that the Prince, not to discredit the Place,

will never punish their Crimes.

Let it be further observed, that the Wickedness and Injustice of the Magistrates and Ministers are always told, tho' not believ'd by all; for it is impossible they should be fo fecretly committed, as not to be known by fomebody or other, and especially by those that suffer by their Rapacity. because Pain does naturally make People cry out, they must consequently cry aloud; fo that by Degrees the Wickedness of the Magistrates comes to be openly known and believed. If therefore they fee that the Prince does not punish them, he'll be looked upon either as filly and weak, because he does not know how to punish; or as bad and wicked, because he will not punish them. whence it follows, that he'll be either had in Contempt, or hated by the People; both which are the Poisons of every Sovereignty. He'll be had in Contempt, for Men judge that Prince to be good for nothing, from his not knowing how to redress fuch a Disorder, that so deeply stains his Honour; and every one foon begins to have but little Regard for him, and think how they may also either cheat, or force him to comply

O.

li

ve

comply with their Will; \* as we read of that King of Cyprus, whose Neglect in punishing the Injuries, that one Subject did to another, began to embolden every one to injure him too, for which he was entirely despised. And the Prince will be hated, as I faid, because from his being not ignorant of the Injustice and Rapacity of the Magistrates and Ministers, and yet not punishing them, many imagine him to be the Author, and have a Share in it. For how could he bear them, was it otherwise? It was very shameful what \* the Emperor Nero did always fay to his new Governors of the Provinces, viz. You know what I want; which Words what other Meaning could they have, but that, Rob, plunder, affaffinate every one, and contrive Ways and Means to get me much, and let no-body have any Thing left to live? Indeed a most wicked and unworthy Saying of any one, that would be called Sovereign.

y

ne

d

ce

n

ot

ed,

m

ad

e;

ve-

for

no-

ress

his

ave

now

n to

aply

What more? A great Name, and incredible Reputation accrues to a Prince, when he gives excellent and remarkable Examples of himself in all Virtues, as Liberality, Intrepidity and Greatness of Soul, Temperance, Humanity, Justice. Examples, I say, not like what are commonly and daily to be seen, but that are above the ordinary Rate. And in regard to our Purpose in the Case of Justice, such an Example is, when the Prince punishes a great Magistrate, or a very dear Favourite of his, for high Misde-

C 2 meanours

XV.

XVI

meanours committed and clearly proved.

(VII. As among others, we read that of \* Alexander Mammæa, who put to a public Death, and that by Smoke, Turinus his chief Favourite. † This Act of Justice in Alexander was by every one much praised, and it increased not a little his Credit and Fame.

Lastly. Let us add to what has been faid, that a rapacious and unjust Magistrate or Minister is (as 'tis reasonable to be so) always highly hated by the People; from which it follows, that the Prince, in punish ing him, gains an infinite Love from all those Men that hated him, and the Love for the Punisher is equal in Proportion to the XVIII. Hate they had for the punished. \* The Emperor Tiberius never did any Thing that pleased so much the Roman People, as the condemning to Death Sejanus; for he was most of all hated by every one for his Power, Infolence, and Cruelty. And tho' Tiberius was, even after Sejanus's Death, hated, yet this befel him on Account of the Cruelty and Avarice he had shewn in other Actions, and not in Sejanus's Case, which, far from

Hatred, got him the Love of all his Subjects.

\* The

fo

gr

W

th

ga

D

w

mi

Cri

пр

WI

Octhe

the

mo

fels the

the

vile

of t

the

and

Net

reco

whe

fo I

A convenient Death for his Crime, which was, as the Romans called it, the Selling of Smoke; that is, the Emperor's Favours, extorting Mony or Prefents from those, who apply'd themselves to him for any Post or Favour from the Emperor, and imposing upon them as if he had used his Interest in their Behalf with his Master, whereas he had done nothing towards it.

for the Duke of Athens was excessively great, yet this notwithstanding, the Day he was turned out of the Government and City, they were calmed by getting into their Hands William d'Assis, the Duke's Minister, against whom they had an Ill-will beyond Expression. But a better, and of a fresher Date, is the Example of \* Duke Valentin, xx. who gain'd the Hearts of the People of Romagna, by beheading his Governor Remirro da Orco at Cesena, who, for his many cruel Usages in that Province, had brought upon himself the extreme Hatred and Ill-will of all.

This therefore offers to Princes a very fair Occasion to do the Duty of Justice, to bring the Magistracy to its Integrity, and gain the Love of the People. And what is more besides, sometimes to enrich themselves justly and lawfully, with the Spoil of the unjust Magistrates. What does it avail therefore to fay, that by making public the vile Actions of a Magistrate, the Reputation of the Office is taken away? fince its Repution fuffers more by bearing than punishing them. Nay, it raises it whenever 'tis known, that the Place is cleanfed and purged from bad and guilty Men, and not when it serves for a Nest and Nursery of them; for as the Body recovers Health, and gathers more Strength, when purged of the ill and peccant Humours, 10 Magistracy becomes glorious and pow-

ne e-

no

he

nne

le

ti

ni

Cr

an

Ju

Na

haj

Re

he

am

nis

feco

fior

wit

**ftra** 

nus

ther

erful, when purged of knavish and wicked Magistrates. And if Pope Leo the Xth did not punish that Auditor of the Rota, the Reason, perhaps, was, either that he found not a fufficient Cause for it, or some other Particular intervened, which was unknown to any body but himself, or else he had done better to have punish'd him. does it avail to fay, that the Prince, by punishing a Magistrate, shews his want of Judgment in the Choice he made of him; because his Judgment would be worse for continuing him in that Place, acting against Justice, the public Good, and his own Honour. And of two Evils a wife Man ought always to chuse the less; so much the more, in that his first Error is excusable, but in no wise the fecond; because our Mind has so many Disguises and crafty Devices, that it easily conceals itself; neither can Men be so well known at first, and many give a fair Expectation of themselves proving good, who afterwards, in Business and Management of Affairs, discover themselves to be very ill Men. Who would have believed in the Beginning of \* Nero's Empire, that he would afterwards have proved fo wicked and cruel, having given at first such great Marks of Virtue and Goodness? A Prince therefore may be reasonably excused, if he chuses one that afterwards proves bad; but when after he has known the Wickednesses of the Minister, and they are made appear, he cannot be excused,

XXI

d

e

d

r

n

d

er

u-

of

n;

for

nst

0-

ght

in

vise

any

fily

vell

cta-

ter-

Af-

Ien.

ning

fter-

ving

irtue

y be

it af-

e has

ifter,

e ex-

used,

cused, if he takes no Notice of them; for if Regard was to be had to this Confideration, no Prince could ever bring to Punishment a Minister, whom he had first chosen. So that neither Tiberius should have punished Sejanus, \* neither Commodus Perennius, XXII. \* nor Severus Plautianus, nor Alexander XXIII. Turinus. I will not speak of our Times, that afford Instances of a fresher Date, as of \* Sultan Soliman, \* the King of England, XXIV. and others too. Neither is there any Danger, that the Prince should be taxed with Cruelty, when he punishes his Minister justly, and not to fatisfy his Passion or Malice against him. For 'tis necessary the Minister should deserve the Punishment, and the Crime be clear and evident, fo as that it is Juflice that moves the Prince to take that Course, and not the Prince that gives the Motion to Justice, because this is what gives him the Name of Cruel, as in former Times it did happen to Tiberius. But when he has just Reason to chastise them, in the first Place, he will be feldom obliged to use the like Example, others having been already admonished and terrified by the first. In the fecond Place, he appears to have Compaffion for all those that might be injured, and with Violence oppressed by such a Magistrate, had he not been punish'd. \* Antoni- XXVI. nus Pius did not fail to punish severely all of them, who upon Proof appear'd to be ill and wicked

wicked Men; nevertheless he was always

distinguished by the Name of Pius.

This same Way of proceeding will keep off from the Prince the Imputation of being Covetous, and the Calumny of punishing his Magistrates to take away their Estates, and enrich himself; because, wherever Justice is manifestly seen, the Sting of Infamy cannot be fear'd, but rather the Reward of Glory ought to be hoped for, fince exact Justice is done even against Men of Power and Authority; and fo much the more, because he may well punish the Magistrate without touching his Goods, except so much as is granted to him by the Laws. Nay more, it would be a double Glory for a Prince, if, the Rapine of the Minister being known, he should not only punish him for it, but procure a Restitution of Goods to be made to them, from whom they were taken away, or, at least, would convert them to fome pious Use, as Building of Chapels, Endowing young Women, Benefactions to Churches, Alms to Hospitals, and the like charitable Works. I do not pretend to fay, that this Rule to punish the Ministers, who do Wrong, might not admit a Distinction in many particular Cases, that happen in the World, wherein Confideration ought to be had to the Condition of the Prince, the Quality of the Minister, the Kind and Number of the Faults, the Manner how they were committed, the Regard to the Times

h

in

y3

ep

ng

nis

nd

is

not

ory

2 19

lu-

he

out

as is

ore,

, if,

wn,

but

rade

ken

n to

En-

s to

like

fay,

who

n the

to be

and

how

to the Times

Times, and many other Circumstances, which I do not intend here at present to give particular Rules for: but 'tis enough that in general this Determination be true and just; and that a Prince, who will follow this Way, will be less mistaken than by taking another Method; for this has along with it for its Directors, the Justice and Love of the People; whereas any other besides is join'd with Injustice, and the hatred of every one. I could with more Examples, and perhaps, with fome other Reasons have enlarged my Difcourse on this Subject, but I thought what has been faid to be fufficient, if not too. much; but let it be as it will, I beg you would not confider either the Prolixity or Brevity of Words, but only the Sincerity and Plainness of Truth: For Truth, fully and perfectly understood, is so profitable and pleasing, that it makes one immediately to have a Distaste for any Shew and Vanity whatfoever either of Words or Fictions, that Would to God that Men darken her. were fo pleased to receive, and restore Truth to her Dignity as eafily, as she shews herself to them. But I will not, by speaking what is true, begin some new Complaint, that might render me odious, as Truth itself is become, for the same Reason. Farewel.

From Sc. Silvester, 11. Oct. 1542.



#### THE

## Historical Notes

AND

POLITICAL REFLECTIONS.

## NUMBER I.

And indeed, if private Mon deserve, &c.]

HUS Arcadius the Emperor. (a)
'Multo magis enim pæna digni
'funt, quibus cum plurimum ho'noris per nostram justionem dela-

'tum est, occulto inveniuntur in crimine.' — Magistrates and Judges are the Prince's Deputies for the Execution of Justice; they are chosen by him to represent his Person, and to perform his Duty; to which End, he intrusts them with his Power, and with it Justice herself; relying upon their Ability and Integrity, that they would dispense Justice to his Subjects, as himself would do. 'Credidit enim Princeps, says Charistus, speaking of the Prasectus Pratorio, eos, qui ob singula-

<sup>(</sup>a) L. 8. C. de Epifc. & Cler.

rem industriam explorata eorum side & gravitate ad hujus officii magnitudinem adhibentur, non aliter judicaturos esse pro sapientia & luce dignitatis suæ, quam ipse soret judicaturus. (b) Whenever therefore, by abusing of that Power, they expose Justice to Corruption and Fraud, they are guilty of a high Breach of Trust, and consequently deserve a greater Punishment for their Crimes. Gravius enim puniendus esset judex, qui injuriam intustit-Quarum enim rerum custodes ac vindices esse desemus, si ab officio deseri nos patiamur,

' feverius plectendi fumus. (c.)

gni

0-

la-

in

he

e;

er-

id,

lu-

ind

to

of ıla-

rem

Besides the Offence that a corrupt Magistrate commits against God, the Prince, and the People, as our Author here observes, it is to be considered what a bad Example he gives to the Public, and what ill Consequences will follow from it. Will not the common People look upon Corruption and Bribery with a favourable Eye, when they fee the Magistrates not exempt from them? And what is more, that far from being brought to Censure and Punishment, they are connived at, and supported by the supreme Power, and find their Advantages in it too? Will not this Example feduce and incite them to do the fame in their private Concerns? If such a great Man acts thus, why should not I? This is the common People's Language and Way of Reaioning. They are very watchful on their Superiors Conduct, and they are glad to find Faults in it, to justify their own. And what Crime

(c) Bodinus de Repub. 1. 6. c. 6.

<sup>(</sup>b) L. Unica D. de Offic. Praf. Prat.

will they not dare to commit, when they know that Justice is to be fold? That Money or other Confiderations can fave them from the Punishment of the Law? It will be worth the Reader's while to look into Justinian's Constitution, relating to this Subject. (d) The Passage is too long to have a Place here. I shall only set down the last Part of it. 'Est quoque hoc sacrorum eloquiorum mirabile & verum, quod avaritia omnium sit mater malorum, maxime quando ' non privatorum sed judicum inhæret animabus. ' Quis enim sine periculo non furetur, quis non 'latrocinabitur fine reatu ad administratorem respiciens? Illum namque videns omnia auro ' vendentem, & præsumens quia quidquid ege-' rit illicitum, hoc pecunias dando redimet : hinc Homicidium, & Adulterium, & inva-' fiones, & vulnera, & raptus virginum, & com-" merciorum confusio, & contemtus legum & ' judicum, omnibus hæc venalia propofita effe ' putantibus tanqu'um aliquod vilium mancipiorum.

## NUMBER II.

Appius Claudius, &c.] The Revolution and Change of Government, that happen'd to Rome by the Occasion of Appius Claudius's Attempt upon the Honour of Virginia, is so commonly known, that it would be superfluous to take any further Notice of it. But we must observe, that this Instance of Appius Claudius is brought in

W

in

n

er

T

of th inj

a

H

Pe

the

Ro

the

<sup>(</sup>d) Novell. 8. in the Preface.

## [ 21 ]

here only to prove, that the Discovery of the Magistrate's Wickedness will make the People hate and despise him, from which the Magistracy itself will suffer too, as the Decemvirate did by that of Appius Claudius, and as a Prince would do by exposing his Magistrate's or Minister's Wickedness. Thus far this Instance may have a Relation to the Case in Question, and not in any other Respects. Since the Question supposes an inferior Magistrate, and not a Sovereign to be wicked, and to be in the Sovereign's Power either to punish him or not. Whereas Appius Claudius, and so the rest of the Decemvirate, was a supreme Magistrate, and had no other above him to be responsable to for his Actions, and who could conceal or punish his Crimes according to his own Will. Indeed the Decemvirate had usurped the supreme Power, but this has nothing to do with the Point in Question; it is enough that they did exercise it. Appius was the Magistrate and the Sovereign at the same Time; neither was it in his Power to have conceal'd his wicked Attempt, neither was it his Interest to have it discover'd. His violent Way of proceeding discover'd his vile Design, and the just Clamours and heroic Resistance of the injured Party, and that most noble Example of a Roman Father, in facrificing with his own Hand his Daughter's Life to Honour; all these Things, meeting with the Disposition of the People against Tyranny, were the Occasion of the Abolishment of the Decemvirate, and of the Romans recovering their Liberty. Nothing could have prevented this Revolution, but either the flavish Compliance and Submission of Virgimia's Father and Relations, or a stronger Force

nd

me

ipt

nly

ike

ve,

t in

1ers

on

on the Decemvirate's Side, to support themselves against the People. I do not find our Author to have taken Notice of this Instance, in his Answer to the Objections of his Adversaries; perhaps he thought that superfluous, after all he had faid for the Confirmation of his Opinion; and the more, because the Instance, as I have faid, does not fquare with the Case supposed in the Question, which, I think, might serve for a fufficient Answer. But besides, the Consideration of the Good, that the Roman People reaped from this Discovery, plainly convinces us of the Advantage the Subjects may receive from making public the Magistrates and Ministers Crimes. Had the Decemvirate's Power continued. Rome would not only have entirely lost its Liberty, but had never rose to that Pitch of Greatness and Glory, that afterwards she did, while under a free Government. Hence we may conclude, that a Prince, by exposing and severely punishing the Magistrate's, or Minister's Crimes, cannot but do what will please the People, and be advantageous both to them and to himself; gaining by that just Means the Love and Respect of his Subjects; by which alone a Prince may be faid to govern, and be fure of the Throne.

### NUMBER III.

Pope Leo X. was induced not to make a public Example of an Auditor of the Rota.] Who was this Auditor of the Rota, and what was his Crime, I am not able to give an Account of, tho' I have fearched into many Books, that I thought could have fatisfy'd my Curiofity; but

all

A

b

n

W

ton

th

rit

Po

the

def

leg

ma

Au

and

lius

Aff

by i

Stre

thor

his ]

of th

ous

all my Pains have been lost. Particular Facts of this Nature are very seldom transmitted to Posterity in Print, but they are commonly known by Report among those, who frequent the Places where they did happen. Besides, 'tis to be supposed, that the Pope took particular Care not to let the Reason of his Conduct be known, or at least he discovered the Secret but to some

few of his most intimate Acquaintance.

of

115

ill

1;

ve

for

ra-

ed

of

ers

ed;

erness

der

ide;

ishan-

l be ain-

pect

y be

pub-

Who

is his

t of,

hat I

but

all

As for the Magistracy here mentioned, the Rota is one of the chief Courts of Justice, in which there preside twelve Judges, called Auditori dela Rota. Pope Sixtus IV. reduced them to that Number. The Determinations of this Court, stiled Decisiones Rota, are of very great Authority in Judgment, for the Settling of any doubtful Point in Law; insomuch that the Advocates in their Pleadings quote them as Law. Sic Rota desinivit. Of the Origine, Jurisdiction, Privileges, &c. of this Court, the curious Reader may consult Vestrius's Introductio in Romana Aula Actionem, & Judiciorum Mores, 1. 2. c. 17. and the Note of Gravatius on the Place. Cohellius Notiti Cardinalatus, c. 19.

#### NUMBER IV.

Considering particularly, that in our Age the Affairs and Government of Rome are more supported by the Shadow of a good Fame, than by the Strength and Force of Power.] What our Author says here of the Government of Rome in his Days, when that Court was, by the Faults of the Popes, brought to a very low and precarious Condition, may be said of it in regard to all Times

Times; confidering how small and weak would be its Forces, were they not supported by a crafty Politique, under the Shadow of a religious Authority and Power.

### NUMBER V.

As Tiberius did with his Friend of Rhodus.] This was a most fingular Instance of Tiberius's Cruelty, if it does not deferve the Name of a mad Rage. He had been of Opinion, that his Son Drufus's Death was caused by Intemperance and Distemper; he continued in this Belief for some Years, till at last it came to his Knowledge by Apicata, Sejanus's repudiated Wife, that Drusus had been poisoned by Sejanus, with the Confent and Affistance of Livilla, or Livia, Drusus's own Wife (a). At this Tiberius fell into such a Fury and Excess of Cruelty, that he spared no Torments nor Punishments against any one, whom he fuspected might have been concerned in the Fact. He was taken up whole Days in the Examination of this Cause, and was very intent infomuch that having been told, whilft thus employ'd, that the Man, in whose House he had formerly lived at Rhodus, and whom he had with friendly Letters invited to come to him, was arrived, he gave Order he should be put to the Torture without any Delay, as if he had been one of the Accomplices. But afterwards finding himself mistaken, far from faving the Man, and acknowledging his

<sup>(</sup>a) Dion. lib. 57. in fin. & lib. 58.

ıld

a

ous

IS.

us's

nad

ru-

Dif-

ars,

ata,

een

Tist-

wn

ury

Cor-

nom

in

the

tent

old,

hose

and

d to

er he

De-

lices.

far his

stake

Mistake, he commanded he should be put to Death, left he should discover the Injury he had done him. (b) This is not the only Instance of fuch a wicked political Way of Tiberius's pro-He had at another Time tortured ceeding. with exquisite Torments one charged with a Crime, who at length was found to have been unjustly accused; nevertheless, he ordered him to be killed immediately; alledging as a Reason to justify his tyrannical Action, that the Man had fuffered too great an Injury ever to live happy. In the like Manner his Successor Caligula, having, by a Mistake of the Name, punished another Person than whom he intended, said for the Justification of his Action, that he deferved to be thus punished as well as the other. (c) What is not human Pride, the Seed and Root almost of all evil Actions, capable to perfuade a Man to do? Tiberius chose rather to act against his own Conscience and Justice, by the shedding of innocent Blood, than to acknowledge his Mistake; and to be rather a cruel Tyrant, than to be thought a Man subject to Error. Can we after this wonder at the political Conduct of Princes? Because they are superior in Power to others, they would also be thought to be superior in Sense and Understanding; uncapable of tommitting any Fault, or to be in the Wrong; and to support themselves in this vain Opinion, they will abuse that Power, which has been intrusted in their Hands, for the Defence of Truth, Execution of Justice, and Protection of

<sup>(</sup>b) Sueton. in Tib. c. 62.

<sup>(</sup>c) Sucton. in Calig. c. 30.

their Subjects, by maintaining Falshood and Errors, protecting and giving Course to Injustice, and oppressing and destroying the People.

## NUMBER VI.

As it bappened to Alexander the Great, &c.] This Account agrees intirely with Justin, from whom, I don't doubt, our Author had it. 'Babyloniam redit (fays he) ibi multæ devictæ gentes Præfectos fuos accufaverunt, quos fine ' respectu amicitiæ in conspectu legatorum ne-' cari justit.' (a) But by the Testimony of Diodorus Siculus, Arrian, Curtius, and others, this Fact did not happen at Babylon, but on the Way to it. So that Justin may be accused of being mistaken, and besides, of contradicting himself; fince he soon after relates what had happened to Alexander on his Way to Babylon. (b) 'Ab ultimis littoribus Oceani Babyloniam revertenti nunciatur, &c.' and a few Words after, ' Hac igitur ex causa Babyloniam festinan-' ti.' And immediately after this, he mentions Alexander's Arrival at Babylon, with what he did there. 'Reversus igitur Babyloniam, &c.

Bongarsius imputes this Contradiction to the Failure of Justin's Memory; but it does not seem very probable, that in so short a Narration as he gives of Aleander's Journey, he would have forgot what but a few Lines before he had said, and have contradicted himself so manifest-

I

(

u

to

W

fa

tl

fe

m

e

ti

ar

01

th

W

ly

<sup>(</sup>a) Lib. 12. c. 10.

ly. Therefore I am rather inclined to think the Text of Justin to be corrupted, either by the Copyists, or others, who did not well understand the Signification of the Word ibi, that immediately follows, which ought not to be taken here as a local Adverb, there, but as marking the Time whilst the Action was done, for tum, then, in which Signification it is also taken by the best Authors. Instead of redit, I would read tendit; a very small Alteration. It is well known, that in Manuscripts the Letters r and t are very oft put one for another; (c) and that n is frequently expressed by a Line upon the Syllable; so that tedit for tendit could have been easily changed into redit. According to this natural Emendation, and by taking ibi here for tum, then, at that Time, whilft he was on his March to Babylon, as if he had said, Babyloniam tendenti, Justin will not then contradict himself, and will agree with the rest of the Historians.

e

7-

15

ne

of

ng

ad

n.

m

ds

n-

ns

he

he

not

as

ave

nad

est-

ly.

As for Alexander's punishing his Ministers so severely, I think it so far from deserving to be blamed as too cruel, that it ought to be commended as the most just and political Action he ever did. Let any one reslect upon the Motives and Reason, and the good Effects of it, and he will be soon convinced of the Truth. It ought to be considered, how great a Number there was of those conquered Nations, that they were but lately subdued by him, and consequently unwilling to bear the Yoke of a new Government; the Distance they were at from Må-

<sup>(</sup>c) V. Sciopp. de Art. Critic.

cedonia, and the usual barbarous Treatments, that conquered Nations generally meet with from their new Governors. All these Things, I fay, confidered, Alexander did but what Iustice and Politicks required him to do; that is, to give a favourable Ear to the Complaints of those wretched oppressed People, and by an exemplary Punishment of their most wicked Governors, (d) to convince them of the exact Justice he dispensed to all his Subjects, which could not but gain him the Affection of them, and dispose them to live quiet under so just a Monarch, laying afide any Thoughts of a Revolt, to free themselves from his Power; and at the fame Time, by striking a Terror upon the Governors to prevent for the future their infolent and tyrannical Way of treating the People, committed to their Care and Administration. indeed, it was this just Severity of Alexander, that kept in Order so many different Nations, that were in different Parts of the World under his Dominion, the Governors of them not daring to do any Injury to the People, as Arrian very well observes in relating this Action. Quæ ut nuntiata funt (that is, the Crimes of " Cleandrus and Sitalces) necari eos justit, ut & reliquiSatrapæ aut Præsides, aut Principes, qui iis locis constituerentur, metuerent, si officium

' non fecissent, sese eodem supplicio affectum iri.

' Quod certe, si quid aliud, gentes quæ ab A-

lexandro vi subactæ essent, vel sua sponte de-

ditionem fecissent (quarum tanta erat multitudo,

E

L

in

m

ner but

mo

fa

' ti

· ju

one.

eb

'di

' ter

' fu

<sup>(</sup>d) The Crimes, with which the Governors were charged, are mentioned by Curtius, lib. 13. c. 1. and Arrian, 1. 6. p. m. 142.

<sup>·</sup> tantoque

[ 29 ]

stantoque locorum intervallo inter se disjungebantur) in officio continuit. Neque enim licebat sub Alexandri imperio cuiquam Præsecto

' fubditos injuria afficere. (e)

1-

is,

of

X-

0-

u-

ıld

nd

lo-

olt,

the

30-

lent om-And

der,

nder

not

rian

tion.

es of

it &

s, qui

icium

m iri.

b A-

e de-

itudo,

harged,

n, 1.6.

ntoque

What can be said more glorious in praise of A-lexander? Does he not deserve for this the Name of Great, rather than for all his Conquests? To what End or Prosit is the Conquering of Nations without the Knowledge of Well-governing, in doing Justice to the People? What can give to a Conqueror a stronger Assurance of a peaceable Enjoyment of his Conquests, than to gain the Love of the Subjects by a just Administration? Would the Princes follow Alexander's Example in this, how much greater would they be, and how much happier their Subjects!

# NUMBER VII.

Was poisoned by Antipater, &c.] The Manner of Alexander's Death was variously reported; but this mentioned here by our Author, was the most commonly believed. 'Veneno necatum, fays Curtius, (a) credidere plerique, filium Antipatri inter Ministros, Iollam nomine, patris justiu dedisse.' And Justin avers it as the true one. (b) 'Amici causam morbi, intemperiem 'ebrietatis disseminaverunt: Re autem vera insidiæ suerunt, quarum infamiam successorum potentia oppressit. Auctor insidiarum Antipater fuit, &c.'

(b) Lib. 12. c. 13 & 14.

<sup>(</sup>e) Lib. 6. p. m. 142, 143. edit. H. Steph. 1575.
(a) Lib. 10. c. 10. and the Note of Pitiscus on it.

#### NUMBER VIII.

Which he did, because, &c.] This was not the only Reason, that moved Antipater to poifon Alexander. There were many others, tho' the Fear of the Danger of his Life, being increased by the severe Punishment inflicted on those Governors, might have hastened him to put in Execution his treacherous Defign against Alexander. 'Qui, Antipater, cum carissimos amicos ejus interfectos videret; Alexandrum Lyncistam, generum suum occisum : se mag-' nis rebus in Græcia gestis, non tam gratum apud regem, quam invidiofum effe: A matre quoque ejus Olympiade variis se criminationibus vexatum: Huc accedebant ante paucos dies ' fupplicia in Præfectos devictarum nationum crudeliter habita. Ex quibus rebus se quoque a Macedonia non ad societatem militiæ, sed ad pænam evocatum arbitrabatur. (a) So that it can't be faid, that Alexander's Severity in punishing the Governors of the Provinces, was the only Cause of his having been poisoned. Befides, no human Prudence, nor Caution can be capable to withstand and prevent Treacheries of this Nature, to which a good as well a as bad Prince is exposed; and consequently the Fear of falling into fuch a Danger, ought not to hinder Princes from doing Justice, and punishing their wicked Ministers, the Oppressors of the People.

O

m

Re

the

ger

ne

we

tho

Cri

for.

tor

acc

WO

and

fair

reac

Sub

ther

Me

wha

clea

of it

he f

of a

coul

Hift.

<sup>(</sup>b) Juft. Loc. cit.

### NUMBER IX.

1-

n

to

ıst

OS

m

g-

im

tre

ous

lies

ru-

e a

ad

t it

ifh-

the

Be-

be

eries

bad

Fear

hin-

hing

the

U M-

Philip of France, &c.] The Abolition of the Order of the Knights Templars, which happened in the Beginning of the 14th Century, in the Reign of Philip the IVth, furnamed le Bel, King of France, and the Pontificate of Clement the Vth, the first Pope that translated the See of Rome to Avignon, is a very memorable Fact generally known and mentioned in History, and never doubted of by any Author. But what were the Motives and Cause of it, and whether those Knights were guilty of fuch execrable Crimes, as they were accused of, and condemned for, and their Order abolish'd, is what the Historians do not agree upon; every one judging according to his Inclination and Interest. would be too tedious here to give a full Account, and enter into a particular Examination of this Affair. Two modern ingenious Authors have already taken the Pains to write at large on this Subject, (a) the curious Reader may for his further Satisfaction confult them, and judge of the Merit of the Cause. I shall only take Notice of what I think more remarkable, and will ferve to clear both the Fact, and what our Author fays of it. I can't but admire his Prudence in writing; he fays no more on this Point, than what is out of any Dispute, necessary to his Purpose, and could give no Offence to any Party. It is cer-

<sup>(</sup>a) Dupuy Histoire de la Condan. des Templiers. Gurtler. Hist. Templariorum.

tain by the Testimony of all the most credited Historians, that in those Times when this Fact happened, the common Report was, that (b) the Knights Templars were innocent of those abominable Crimes they were charged with, and that the Persecution against them was raised? and contrived by the King of France's Malice; to the End of enriching himself with their Estate; and at the same Time to deliver himself from the Fear and Suspicion he had of them, as fomenting the popular Tumults against him; that Order being immensely rich and powerful in his Kingdom. According to this common Report of those Times is the Sentiment of many ancient as well as modern Historians, even among the French (c), who do freely and impartially lay the Charge of so unjust and barbarous a Persecution on their King, as the Contriver and Promoter of it for his own Interest. As for the Part the Pope acted in this Affair, our Author takes no Notice of it, as not necessary to his Purpose; and to have mentioned it, would in all Probability have disobliged the Court of Rome, wherein he lived, and had Expectation of Preferment from it. I will not accuse the Pope as the first Promoter of this Persecution, tho' some have done it, (d) who tell us, that it was the Pope's Request to the King of France, that he would put to Death and destroy all the Knights

Mezeray, &c.

(d) Girard du Haillain, or Hallain, Hist. de France, tem 2. in the Life of Philip le Bel.

0

n

T

0

ec

W

D

W

fai be an Ki

mi

<sup>(</sup>b) Villani. 1. 8. c. 92. Antoninus. Trithemius in Chron. Hirfang. Nauclerus & alii apud Ciaccon. in Vita Clem. V.
(c) Among the rest, Papyrius Masson Annal. France.

ted

this

that

rith,

ifed,

lice;

from

s fo-

that

n his

eport

cient

g the

y lay

Perfe-Pro-

or the

uthor

s Pur-

in all

Rome, f Pre-

ope as fome

ias the

hat he Knights

m. V.

. Franc.

e France,

Tem-

Templars, as they were the greatest Exposers of the Pope's and Clergy's diffolute Life and Vices; which the King promised him to do. But this Charge is without Grounds, the contrary being commonly attested by the Historians, viz. That it was at the King's Request that the Pope gave his Hand to it. But for all this he is no less (not to fay more) to be blamed and condemned than the King of France, for so basely complying with his wicked Defign, which he approved and executed for no other End, than to support himself in that Dignity, obtained by the King's Power and Interest on scandalous Conditions; to the Performance of which he had engaged himfelf in the most solemn and facred Manner. (e) Thus shamefully and infamously prostituting his Conscience and Character, and exposing himself and his Memory to the Reproaches of the World, to shelter, if possible, the King's most unchristian and inhuman Action from them; as a lateWriter (f) has done, by appealing to the Testimony of the Pope's Bulls for the Justification of the King. A Testimony (if he really intended what he lays) that, far from deferving any Credit, ought not to be admitted in a Caufe, in which the Pope as well as the King are Parties. Did not the Pope act in this Affair to comply with the King's Will? fo that both were in the fame Combination. How can one of them be excus'd or justify'd by the other? What among the rest was that Article, which the King referved to tell him afterwards, and he promised to perform, tho' ignorant of what it was?

<sup>(</sup>e) V. Ciaccon. Vit. Pontif. Roman. in Vita Clem. V.
(f) Baluzius in his Notes on the Life of Clement V. in Vit. Pap. Azenion. tom. 1. p. 590.

(\*g) A Proposal of such a Nature brings along with it a just Suspicion of Wickedness; and no Man would engage himself to the Performance of it but he, who is refolved to flick at nothing for his own Interest. And why did he not perform the Article of condemning as an Heretic. and burning the Bones of Pope Boniface the VIIIth? Was not this one in the Agreement between him and the King when the Popedom was offer'd to him? Did he not promise to execute it when Pope? Indeed we must fay he would, had he not feen the Difficulty of executing it, and in particular the Prejudice he would have consequently suffered by it, which was no less, than to make and declare his Election to the Pontificate void; fince the Cardinals, who had chosen him Pope, had been promoted to that Dignity by Boniface; fo that This could not be condemned without obliging them to refign the Cardinalship. Therefore he, to prevent the Loss of the Triple Crown, instead of condemning Boniface, and burning his Bones as an Heretick, gave his Hand to the Persecution of the Templars, to the King's Satisfaction, who eafily gave up the Proceeding against Boniface for the Riches he gathered from the Destruction of the Templars. For the Confirmation of what I say, both of the King's and the Pope's Conduct in this Affair, I'll name no other Historian, but Antoninus of Siena Archbishop of Florence, who lived in the next Century after the Abolition of the Templars. His Authority claims Respect from the Church of Rome, fince he has no less deserved of her, than to be canonized a Saint, and as fuch di-

vine

(

· f

" C

Th Pur

here

<sup>(\*</sup>g) Ciaccon. 1. c. mentioning the fix Articles proposed by the King to the Pope.—Sextum arduum & difficile oportuno tempore aperiendum rejicio.

e

ne

nt

m

to

ay

re-

he

ich

ec-

als,

ted

uld

to

pre-

ot

es as

ition

who

e for

on of

I fay,

n this

minus

in the

plars.

hurch

of her, ch di-

ofed by

portuno

vine

vine Honour is pay'd and Prayers addressed to him. Antoninus's Words are the following. (g) 'Isti ergo (that is, the two Informers against the ' Templars) cum in carcere essent infinuaverunt officialibus regis, illos religiofos de ordine Templariorum hæresibus & flagitiis irretitos; ' unde si procederetur in inquisitione contra eos, ' procurante hoc Rege a Pontifice, magnas divi-' tias & multas corum facile obtinere posset. ' Quod cumRegi nunciatum fuisset, suggessit Pon-' tifici, ut deleret ordinem illum, utpote hære-' fibus implicatum & nefandis erroribus. Credi-' dttur tamen magis inductus ad extorquendam pecuniam ab illis, & bona eorum, ex odio concepto ' contra magistrum mansionis, quam ex zelo justitize. ' Pontifex autem ut infestationem Regis a se amo-' veret, sollicitantis pro observatione supradictæ ' promissionis (that is, the condemning of Boni-' face the VIIIth as an Heretic) & Regi in hoc ' complaceret, absque alia discussione materiæ ' eorum, quæ Templariis objiciebantur, utrum ' vere an calumniose procederetur, petitioni Regis ' acquievit, concedens per literas apostolicas, ut 'omnes Templarii per orbem dispersi certa die ' determinata caperentur, & omnia eorum bona ' sequestrarentur: qui ubique habebant magnas ' possessiones, & bona, & loca multa. ' tem in Francia erant, eorum Rex fecit per offi-' ciales suos omnia usurpari pro curia sua, &c.' Thus far of Antoninus's Passage for the present Purpose, the whole is too long to be transcribed here. (b) What have they to say against An-F 2 toninus's

(g) Histor. 3. part. ad an 1308.

<sup>(</sup>b) According to this Ciacconius, Anno 1307. Philippus Francorum Rex, magno comitatu Pictavios, quo Pontifex

toninus's Testimony? That he took this Account from 7. Villani. (i) But let, if they will too, Antoninus's Words be the fame with Villani's, what then? He could not have faid any Thing of this Transaction, but what he had from other Writers before him, or by Tradition. It was impossible for him to know, of his own Knowledge, what had been passed above 100 Years before, unless they'll fay, that he had it from Revelation; but how they can reject then his Authority, let themselves answer to that. And could Antoninus have followed a better Author than Villani? He had lived in the Time when the Fact happened, and his History has in every Age been esteem'd, and credited for its Sincerity. Does not he, without paying any Regard to his Countrymen, tell us, that one of those wicked Wretches, that accused the Templars, was a Florentine? (k) Let them, if they can, name any other Historian, that Antoninus could

ejus rogatu, cum curia accesserat, adiit, Papamque rogavit, ut quintum è postulatis præstaret, Bonifaciique memoriam execraretur, corpus comburi mandaret, & omnia acta rescinderet, affirmans, se 43. capita hæreseos contra ipsum Pontifex iniquis Regis postulatis refragari probare posse non audens, neque Bonifacii Catholici Pontificis memoriam abolere volens, confilio usus Cardinalis Pratensis, Regem ita elusit, ut diceret eam rem Concilio generali opus habere, quod brevi ipse Viennæ indicere constituerat. Rex Parisios redit, Pontifex ex ejus potestate exiens, Avenionem in Narbonensi tum primum accessit, quæ in Regis Caroli ditione erat. Cæterum antequam Pictaviis discederet Templariorum ordinem Regis Francorum instigatione abrogavit, & eorum immensas opes partim Pontificio, Regioque fisco addixit, partim fratribus Hospitalariis, &c.

 <sup>(</sup>i) Victorel. Addit. ad Ciaccon.
 (k) Noffo Dei nostro Fiorentino.

have preferr'd for Credit to Villani. But is it Antoninus alone that has followed him in the Account of this Fact? Have not the best Historians after that Time done the same? Besides that what Villani, and with him our Author fays, of the Motives and Cause of the Templars Persecution, and of their Innocence, is what was the common Report and Opinion in those Times, as we faid before. A French Author who lived then, and quoted by Masson, roundly and plainly fays, that they were unjustly perfecuted. ' licus scriptor, says Masson, illius temporis ' Templarios injuria eversos, ordinemque illum ' fanctiffimum fuisse dicit. Tho' I would not answer for the Holiness of that Order, yet the Injustice done to the Knights, is what I think to be very apparent from the following Confiderations on Particulars generally attested by the Historians.

First. Who were those, who first accused them, but two, and they the most profligate Wretches; one a very debauched Man, who, for his Vices and Heresy, had been condemn'd to Prison for Life by the Master of that Order, to whose Jurisdiction he was subject as a Member of it, and being Prior of Montfaucon. The other of the same Order too, but a Man abandoned to all fort of Wickedness, an Exile from his Country, and for his Crimes kept Prisoner. Both such Villains, that dy'd of violent Deaths; the Prior killed, and the other hang'd.

Secondly. The Manner how they were arrested; that is, by a secret Order of the King, which was to be executed tho' in very different Places, at the same Time. To this Purpose the Words of Bernard Guidonis, which he begins his Nar-

rative

have

nt

n-

at

of

ier

ras

W-

ars

om

LU-

uld

nan

the

ery

1ce-

gard

hole

ars,

can,

ould

roga-

nemo-

ia acta ipfum

ragari

noriam

em ita

quod redit,

onenfi

t. Cæ-

rdinem menfas

im fra-

rative with, are too remarkable not to be tranferibed here. (\*k) 'Anno (1307) res mira, res 'magna nostris accidit temporibus, quæ suturis 'scribitur memoranda. In sesto siquidem Sancti 'Edvardi Consessoris 3°. Idus Octobris seria 'sexta suerunt capti primo Templarii ubique in 'regno Franciæ ex ordinatione Regis & Consilii, 'inopinate, sane mirantibus cunctis, audientibus 'antiquam Templi militiam ab ecclesia Romana 'nimis privilegiatam una die subito captivari, causamque ignorantibus captionis tam 'repentinæ, exceptis paucis secretariis & juratis. — The very same Words are to be read in Theodoricus de Niem. (1)

Thirdly The Manner of proceeding against them, which was not by Way of Justice, observing the Forms of the Law as to pass a definitive Sentence on the Cause; but by a Provision or Order from a Fulness of Power. Thus the Pope himself says, in his Bull for the Abolition of the Order. (m) 'Non per viam sententiæ definitivæ, cum 'eam super hoc secundum inquisitiones & processus fuper his habitos non possemus ferre de jure, sed per viam provisionis seu ordinationis 'apostolicæ, &c.' And can we after this say with Baluzius, that no Credit ought to be given to Albericus de Rosate? (n) A very samous Lawyer of Bergamo, living in 1350; that is, not

(m) Apud Gurtler. §. 141. This Bull was published in

the second Session of the Synod of Vienne in France.

n

it

0

R

6

of

Wi

M

<sup>(\*</sup>k) Apud Baluz. in Vit. Pap. Avenion. tom. 1. p. 65.
(1) Vitæ Pontificum Romanor. published by Eccardus in his Collection, tom. p. pag. 1474.

<sup>(</sup>n) In Latin Roxiatus by Jacob Bergomens. which is translated by Sansovino, di Rosata, and Rosatus by Forsterus. Hist. Jur. civil. 1. 2.

9

n

1-

e

n,

ne

ce

m

elf

er.

ım

ro-

de

nis

fay

ven

ous

not

65.

n his

ed in

ich is Aerus.

nany

many Years after the Abolition of the Templars, and who declares of what he fays, that he had it from the Mouth of one who had been an Examiner of the Cause, and Testimonies. 'Tem-' plarii, these are his Words, erant magnus ordo ' in ecclefia, & erant milites strenui beatæ Ma-· riæ; & destructus fuit ille ordo tempore Cle-' mentis Papæ V. ad provocationem Regis Fran-' ciæ. Et ficut audivi ab uno, qui fuit exami-' nator causæ & testium, destructus fuit contra ' justitiam, & mihi dixit quod ipse Clemens pro-'tulit hoc: 'Et si non per viam justitiæ potest ' destrui, destruatur tamen per viam expedien-' tiæ, ne scandalizetur charus filius noster Rex ' Franciæ. — That the Pope condemned the Order of the Templars in Compliance with the Request of the King, is a Fact too evident to be deny'd. In the Continuation of Martinus Polonus, published by Eccardus, it is faid. ' Eodem anno (1311) Clemens Papa Quintus ' Viennæ celebravit generale concilium, in quo ' multa constituit, Clementinas edidit, ordinem 'Templariorum destruxit ad nutum Regis Fran-' ciæ, qui Ecclesiam tunc Bonifacii papæ VIII. ' tanquam hæretici memoriam damnari petiit, '& offa ejus igne cremari. Sed cum totus ' ecclefiafticus ordo fibi refiste ret, defiit ab in-' cepto—tom. 1. p. 1438.

fourthly. The Enormity and Extravagance of the Crimes imputed to them is such, that without very clear and convincing Proofs, no Man can give any Credit to, (0) at least as to

believe

<sup>(0)</sup> Quid hic lector dicturus est? (says Mariana, after he had given a Catalogue of the Crimes they were charged with)

believe, that all fuch Abominations were commonly practifed, and as Laws established among the whole Order. (p) And indeed we read, that in Spain they were declared innocent of the Crimes they were accused of; and this by the common Voice of the Bishops and Clergy assembled in Council at Salamanca. But the last Determination of the whole Affair being left to the Pope, his Authority prevailed against the Council's Decree; so that the Order of Templars was abolished, and all their Estates and Towns were seized by the King. (q) After the same Manner

with) Facta hæc, ac non ficta potius, similiaque anicularum fabulis esse judicabit? And a little after, he acknowledges that nothing might be said for the Credibility of the Fact, but that it is attested by the Pope. Tam cito in omne improbitatis genus publice degenerasse vix esset credibile, nish Clementis diplomata—Unde hæc hausimus, indicio essent famam haudquaquam vanam suisse, &c. Hist. de Reb.

Hisp. lib. -15. c. 10.

V

no

ex

th

by

lov

fhq

cor

tha

Fol.

(q) Salamanticæ in Vectonibus Patrum concilium habitum est — de vinctis atque supplicibus quæstione habita, caussaque cognita, pro eorum innocentia pronunciatum communi Patrum suffragio: Ad Pontiscem tamen Romanum rejecta totius rei summa deliberatio. Ea contra Patrum decretum valuit. Deletoque ordine, bona abs Rege occupata, atque

oppida omnia funt. Marian. cit. loc.

1=

g

d,

he

he

af-

aft

to

the

ars

VIIS

me

ner

arum edges

Fact,

e am-

, nifi

effent

rds by

ention

gancy,

to be

nmiffa-

Quod

m reci-

in um-

is in our

or keep-

habitum ta, caufcommuni

m rejecta

decretum

ta, atque

Manner in Italy they were acquitted in a Council held at Ravenna (anno 1311) by Raynaldus Concoregius Archbishop of that See, a Man renowned in History for the strict Discipline, and Reformation of Manners he introduced in his Diocese, and for the Holiness of his Life very much esteemed, and honoured as a Saint. (r) In that Council the Caufe of the Templars in particular was most carefully examined, the Knights were fummoned to appear, the Accufations and Witnesses against them produced, and they that appeared were heard and dismissed; and others of them according to the faid Council's Order, cleared themselves of the Accufations before the Bishop of Bologna. A full Account of what paffed in that Council concerning the Templars, the Reader may find in the Hi. story of Ravenna written by Rubeus, one of the best Historians of Italy. (s) It is worthy to be remarked, First, that the Fathers assembled in that Council unanimously refused to refer the Judgment of this Affair to the Pope, because, they faid, a General Council was shortly to be con-Secondly, That the Templars should not be put to the Question, in which all agreed, except two Dominican Friers both Inquisitors; that is to fay, cruel and fanguinary Tormentors by Profession. Thirdly, Tho' in the next following Session it was decreed, that the Innocents should be absolved, and the guilty punished according to Law; yet they explained themselves, that by Innocents ought to be understood

(r) V. Rubeus, Hift. Rawen. l. 6. p. 538. Ughel. Lal. Sacra, tom. 2.

G

<sup>(</sup>s) I have made Use of the Edition of Venet. 1589, in Fol. 1. 6. p. 524. & seq.

those, that had revoked their Confession made for Fear of Torments; or if it appeared, that they did not dare to revoke it for Fear of undergoing new ones. Fourthly, That the Order should continue in the Possession of their Goods and Estate, if the major Part of them were innocent; and the Guilty abjuring their Herefy, had fuffered Punishment according to the Rules of the Or-But for all this the Pope's Sentence for the Abolition of it prevailed. — Likewise in Germany, where the Templars, trusting to the Juflice of their Cause, with an undaunted Courage opposed the Pope's Bull, which was to be put in Execution against them by Peter the Archbishop of Mayance, who had the Pope's Commission, and had for that Reason called a Synod there. They, foreseeing the Design of it, boldly appeared in the Synod, protested the Innocence of their Brethren, who had fuffered in France, and appealed for their Cause to the next Pope, who was to succeed Clement, with the Body of all the Clergy with him affembled. This Remonstrance had such an Effect, that a new Commisfion was fent from the Pope to the Archbishop, according to which the Templars Cause was heard and examined, and having by Proofs cleared themselves of the Charge laid to them, they were accordingly by the Sentence absolved Tho' to give Place to the Pope's as Innocent. Bull, the Name and Statutes of the Order were abolished; but They were admitted into other Military Orders, and their Estates divided and appropriated to the same. (t) Is not this a very

ftrong

ftr

of

cil

de

thi

Gu

the

pla

of

Kir

inv

wit

mer

dec

prev

feffe

the

at th

all '

and

Tor

the

most

and

for i

Wor

have

thorit

the

He n

was a

St. D the I

(u)

Artie C

<sup>(</sup>t) Serarius Mogunt. Rer. l. 5. p. m. 850 — Naucler. Chronogr. vol. 2. p. m. 986. Mariana, l. c.

strong Presumption, not to say more, in Favour of the Templars? They are absolved by Councils in Spain, Italy, and Germany, and condemned no where but in France. How came this to pass? If the French Templars were found Guilty, let them be punished, but why should the whole Order suffer for them? The Reason is plain, the two wicked Contrivers and Promoters of this unjust Persecution, the Pope and the King, were both in France, and both resolved to

invade the Riches of that Order.

d

y

e, e,

all

n-

if-

p,

vas ofs

em, ved

pe's

rere

her

and

very

ucler.

rong

The Refignation and Constancy, with which they bore the most exquisite Torments, and cruel Death, always protesting and declaring their Innocency, no Promises nor Fear prevailing upon it: And even those who had confessed themselves guilty before, saying all what the Judges would have them to fay, yet when at the Place of Execution, they intirely retracted all what they had faid, attesting it to be false, and extorted from them by Fear, and Violence of Torments, and seduced to it by the Pope and the King, as their Grand Master in particular most folemnly did. This is an uncontested Fact, and it would be needless to bring Testimonies I'll only impart to the Reader the Words of Bernardus Guidonis, (u) whom I have already quoted before, as one whose Authority alone would have been fufficient, had all the Historians been filent on this Particular. He not only lived at that very fame Time, but was a Frenchman, and Frier of the Order of St. Dominick, a Bishop, and Inquisitor against the Heretics in the Kingdom of France; not

<sup>(</sup>u) Alias de la Guionie, or la Guionie. V. Moreri's Dict. Artie Guidonis.

to mention that he dedicated his Book \* to Pope John the XXIst or XXIId, according to others, Clement the Vth's Successor. Guidonis, after having given an Account of some Executions made of the Templars, concludes thus. Unum autem mirandum fuit, quod omnes, & ' finguli figillatim confessiones suas, quas prius ' fecerant in judicio, & jurati confessi fuerant di-' cere veritatem, penitus retractarunt; dicentes ' se falsa dixisse prius & se fuisse mentitos, nul-' lam super hoc reddentes causam aliam nisi vim ' vel metum tormentorum quod de se talia faterentur — It was a very hard Fate for them, not only in that they exterted from them a Confession by Torments, but that what they said afterwards availed them nothing, but they were accounted to have relapfed, and as fuch were condemned; and those who did never confess were kept in Prison. (w) 'Qui præsatos casus enormes de se & de aliis publice confessi sunt · & postea negaverunt, velut prolapsi combusti ' funt; qui autem nunquam voluerunt fateri, in ' carceribus detinentur — And another Writer of this Pope's Life. 'Multi eorum revocant qued ' dixerant. Movetur quæstio contra eos utrumtalis revocatio possit dici relapsio, & judicatur contra eos quod fic. Unde in Parifiis combu-' runtur quinquaginta quatuor, stantibus eis in proposito quod veri Catholici essent. (x) -

CI

A

· p

6 b

Th

wer

ing

con

info

tyr: Per

Bor

cari

fo

for

the

· le

We

Spe

to j

con

· to

P

· m

· fi

(3

Fam Ad 1

ment

(2

<sup>\*</sup> Flores Chronicorum, seu Catalogus Pontificum Romanorum The whole Book was never printed by what I know; but I have seen a MS. of it.

<sup>(20)</sup> In the Life of Clement the Vth, writ by John, a Canon of St Victor of Paris, printed by Baluzius, in Vitis Papar. Avenionens. tom. 1.

<sup>(</sup>x) Ptolemæus lucenfis ordin. Prædicat. apud eundem Baluzium.

[ 45 ]

And Amalricus Augerii de Biterris. Sed postea illi qui denegabant, cum tormentis ipsam (veritatem de præmiss) tunc libenter consitebantur, & aliqui ipsorum in tormentis sine consessione moriebantur vel comburebantur. \* The cruel and barbarous Manner they were were treated with, and their Constancy in suffering violent Torments and Death, could not but consirm the People in the Notion they had of their being unjustly charged with those Crimes; insomuch that they look'd upon them as Martyrs, and as such they were honoured by many Persons of exemplary and holy Life; and the Bones and Ashes of some of them were secretly carry'd away and kept as Relicks.

The Reasons in Favour of the Templars are so strong, that even some of the most partial for the other Side dare not plainly to acknowledge them, but leave the Decision in Doubt. 'De- letus est ordo jure an injuria dubium est. (y) We may easily understand by this modest Way of Speaking, that it was not an easy Matter for them

to justify the King's and Pope's Actions.

After all these Considerations, I can't but conclude with Masson's Words. 'Quid hic lectores dicturi sunt? Regem illum certe impium, 'Pontificem inclementem fateantur necesse est, 'mitiorem enim sententiam dicere non postint. (z)

\* Apud eund. Baluz. 1. c.

r

1

I-I

25

d

<sup>(</sup>y) Joseph. Geldolph. a Risckel. in his Book Patriarchæ Familiarum religiosarum, & suppares eorum. Brux. 1641.879 Ad me quod attinet, sidem relinquo penes authores & Clementis V. relationem. Bruodinus in Propugnac. Verit. 1. 1. c. 17. §. 2.

<sup>(2)</sup> Annal. Franc. 1. 3. in Vit. Philip. Pulchri.

I'll add to this but one Reflection, which is, that Philip le Bell has not been the first Prince, neither Clement the Vth the first Pope, that have abused the Holiness of Religion to cover their private Interest and wicked Actions; and to raise and promote Persecutions under the Cloak of Piety to enrich themselves, or for some other worldly End, at the Cost of many and many innocent People's Lives. The Treatments the Jews have often met with from several Princes, the Holy Wars, and the Persecutions against them, whom they call Heretics, are too well known to the World, as not to see the political End they proposed to themselves by promoting and carrying them on.

## NUMBER X.

In the like Manner also was Vespasian blamed, &c.] This is reported by Suetonius as a common Belief of the People, not affirming, or denying the Truth of it. 'Creditur etiam, says he, 'procuratorum rapacissimum quemque ad ampliora officia ex industria solitus promovere, 'quo locupletiores mox condemnaret: quibus 'quidem vulgo pro spongiis dicebatur uti, quod 'quasi & siccos madefaceret, & exprimeret humentes. (a) I find no other Author mentioning this Fact; which alone, if true, would deeply stain the Glory of his Name. A Prince, that makes Use of such Means to get Money, deserves no better Name than that of a Tyrant.

Hor

rians

of N

afha

fque

rene

Gall

heav

Pro

a pu

have

to fe

he w

ney

Region 1

(b) 1

Dear

himi Sake for;

Prine

of th

bring

beco

Good

fet t

ney

his :

in b

fham

the ]

and 1

<sup>(</sup>a) Vespas. c. 6.

However this Imputation may be, the Hiftorians agree in blaming Vespasian for his Greediness of Money, to fatisfy which, he would not be ashamed of the most fordid and unjust Ways to fqueeze it out from the People. He not only renewed the Duties and Taxes, abolished by Galba, but charged the Subjects with new and heavy ones. He increased the Tributes of the Provinces, of fome even double. He made a public Traffick of what a private Man would have been ashamed of, buying Things in order to fell them at a better Rate; but what is worst, he would fell Offices and Places, and take Money to discharge accused Persons, without any Regard whether they were innocent or guilty. (b) Indeed he never went fo far as to put to Death an Innocent Man on Account of enriching himself. (c) Yet to save Criminals for Money's Sake, is what he can't be too much condemn'd for; as acting against the principal Duty of a Prince, which is to be the Guardian and Executor of the Laws for the Maintenance of Justice, in bringing Criminals to Punishment. What will become of the particular as well as the public Good, whenever the Execution of the Law is fet to Sale, and Crimes find an Afyle in Money? Neither deserves he to be less blamed for his fordid Way of getting Money by Traffick in buying and felling Goods, debasing in so shameful a Manner the Grandeur and Dignity of the Princely Character; from whence the Respect and Veneration, that is due to it, will be lessened.

<sup>(</sup>b) Sueton. in Vespas. c. 16.

pi

fic

gi

Vi

R

th

an

mo

Pr

of

all

W

cou

fan

imi

nifi

Pri

and

Ma

cate

Reg

con

fters

Peo

Mai

Pur

a pr

him

hind

who act.

Avarice and fordid Gain will make any Man to be despised and hated, but how much more the Prince! Traffick is within the Province of the Subjects and not of Princes; (d) and the Subjects cannot but suffer whenever Princes turn Merchants. It is Traffick that the greatest Part of the Subjects get their Livelihood and support their Families by. The Emperors Honorius and Theodosius forbid Traffick to the Nobles, (e) wifely considering how prejudicial it would be to the common People and Merchants, should Traffick be permitted to Men of Rank and Power.

Some perhaps would rather lay the Blame of this upon Mucianus, the Emperor's chief Minifter and Favourite. It was he that advised Vespasian to raise and gather up Money by any Means whatfoever, Money, as he was wont to fay on all Occasions, being the Sinews of of War. Under this specious Pretext of carrying on the War, and to supply the Treasury for the necessary Expences of the State, he used all the most unreasonable and unjust Ways to squeeze Money from the People, having no Regard to the Manner, provided he could get a great deal. Informations against the rich Subjects, and Confiscations of their Estates being every-where encouraged, the wealthier any Man was, the nearer and furer he was to be op-

(e) L. 3. Cod. de commerciis & mercatoribus.

preffed

<sup>(</sup>d) Princes should always remember that truly royal Saying of Alexander the Great. 'Me non mercatorem me'mini esse sed Regem. Curt. 1. 4. c. 11. 14.

pressed and ruined. (f) But, I think, Vespafian ought to be more blamed for following and giving himself over to his chief Minister's Advice and Conduct; so contrary to Justice and Reason, and so prejudicial to his Honour and the People's Good. This he knew too well, and himself at first appeared to have some Remorfe in doing it; but afterwards, by the Prosperity of his Fortune, and the Instructions of ill Masters, that is his Ministers, he got over all Remorfe, and boldly went on in this wicked Way of oppressing the People. (g) Besides, he could not but know and fee, that Mucianus, at the fame time he was filling the public Coffers, gathered immense Riches to himself, living in such a magnificent and profuse Manner, that exposed his Pride and Vanity to the Eyes of the World, and cast at once a tacit Reproach upon his Master's frugal Way of Living. The Advocates for Mucianus will fay, that he had fuch a Regard for his Master's Honour, that he in this, contrary to the usual Method of chief Minifters, took upon himself the Odium of the People. And that he acted as a public-spirited Man, in that he contributed out of his own Purse to the Expences of the War. But let a prime Minister do what he can to take upon himself the Odium of the People, yet he can't hinder it from reflecting upon his Master, by whose Order or Approbation he is supposed to act. Besides, who is that prime Minister, who would not gladly bear the People's Odium,

(8) Ibid.

it

1-

ıy

nt.

of

or

ed

to

no

get

ub-

ing

iny

op-

oyal me-

fled

<sup>(</sup>f) Tacit. Hift. k 4. c. 84.

was he fo well affured of his Master's Love and Protection, as Mucianus was of Vespasian's? Rara avis in terris? It was this Assurance, that made Mucianus so bold and impudent as not to mind, and despise the People's Clamour and Hatred. Had Vespasian once withdrawn from him the Influence of his Favour and Protection, Mucianus then would have immediately felt the Effects of the public Odium, and fallen a Victim to the People's Rage, as Sejanus did under Tiberius. As for Mucianus's supplying with his own Money the Expences of the War, it is indeed a plain Instance of a prime Minister's public Spirit. He advanced Money out of his own Purse, in order to take a greater Sum out of the public Stock, (b) to reimburse himself. And this was but a true ministerial Trick, for by his Example many were induced to do the fame (i) no doubt with the Hope of being reimbursed, with the like Advantage, but they found themfelves mistaken at last. There were but few to whom that Liberty was allow'd; and those, I do not question, were his chief Creatures. But how can this shameful Way of raising Money by the Oppression of the Subjects be excused, either in the Master, or the prime Minister? I will not fay, that it was against all Justice and Reason, and therefore unlawful on any Account whatfoever. If it was necessary for the Support

n

f

to

<sup>(</sup>b) Propriis quoque opibus Mucianus bellum juvit, largus privatim, quo avidius de Republica sumeret. So I read this Passage, in Tacit. loc. cit.

<sup>(</sup>i) Cæteri conferendarum pecuniarum exemplum fecuti, rarissimus quisque eandem in reciperando licentiam habuerunt. id.

of a War, why was it continued after the War was ended, and Peace fettled at home? Why were not those heavy Taxes, Duties, Tolls, and Tributes abolished, or at least diminished? Why did not those insufferable Extortions cease? (k) The Reason, I think, is very plain. The Interest of the Master, and his prime Minister went Hand in Hand; Mucianus's Zeal in promoting Vespasian's Cause against Vitellius, and feeing him fettled on the Roman Throne, had no other Motive but his Ambition. He knew Vespasian's Temper too well, as to doubt of his having all the Power of the public Administration under him; and to be in fact the Emperor. while Vespasian had the Name of it. And indeed his Power and Way of living and acting were fuch. (1) Vespasian, on his Side, could not but favour and protect a Man, who had been fo active in his Interest, and who had found Means how to indulge his violent Passion for Money, with a continual Supply of vast Sums, which he fqueezed out of the Subjects by any unjust and scandalous Way; so that the Master found his Account in having fuch a prime Minister, as well as the Minister in having such a Master.

This, rather than good Nature, was the Reason why Vespasian was so kind and indulgent to him, gave him such an absolute Power, and so patiently bore his outrageous Manners, and infamous Life. He was (m) haughty and

1-

to

e,

ut

ey

d,

I

nd

int

ort

lar-

uti,

ue-

of

<sup>(</sup>k) Quæ gravia, & intoleranda, sed necessitate armorum excusata, etiam in pace mansere, Id.
(1) V. Dio. 1. 66.

<sup>(</sup>m) Dio. Tacit, Sueton.

proud, expecting from every one to be respected and honoured above all; infolent to the highest Degree, in particular to Vespasian, whom he look'd upon as a Companion in the Empire, boafting of his own Merit, and carry'd this Impudence so far as to write to the Senate, that he had the Empire in his Hand, but made a Present of it to Vespasian. He was of fo revengeful a Temper, that People were more afraid of offending him than his Master; a rapacious and tyrannical Minister, and a Man most infamous for a lewd and debauched Life. Vespasian in Truth had great Obligation to Mucianus for promoting and supporting his Election to the Empire, but vet Mucianus's Behaviour and Conduct towards him was fuch, that he should have lost all the Merit of what he had done for him.

But what can be faid in Vespasian's Excuse for the immense Riches he got by the wicked and most scandalous Management of his favourite (n) Concubine Canis, whom he had taken to Court, and lived with her as if she had been a lawful Wife. It was this cunning Woman that kept a public Sale of civil and military Places, of religious Preferments, of Pardons to Criminals, and even of the Emperor's Answers and Determinations; disposing of them at an high Rate. Vespasian indeed did not appear to be concerned in this Traffick, but the Money going thro' her Hand, and his dirty Way of getting it being well known from other Instances, the People could not but think that what was done by fo dear and intimate a Person to him as Canis was by his Direction

(n) Dio. l. cit.

and . been done over pect debaf cable. to let cerns be afl was b and i best I ed, b Empi and m most Vespal miration Seco ties as in Prin valued m othe of his mans a (o) far Prince Access Manne

private

to be 1

He bor

(0) D

and Approbation. He could not at least have been ignorant of it, and she would not have done it, had she not been assured of her Power over him. And what Good can a People expect from a Court fo corrupted? Or what can debase more a Prince, and make him despicable, than to be such a Slave to his Passion, as to let his Miftress have an Influence in what concerns the Disposal of Places? After all this, it will be asked, how it eame to pass, that Vespasian was beloved both by the Senate and the People, and that he is commonly reckoned one of the best Emperors. The Question is easily answered, by confidering, First, That he came to the Empire after it had been for the Space of many and many Years under the heavy Scourge of the most cruel and infamous Tyrants; to these if Vespasian be compared, what Praise, what Admiration does he not deferve!

Secondly. His many good Qualities, Qualities as most necessary to, so seldom to be found in Princes; and therefore by the People more valued and admired in them, than commonly in others. It was this Love and Admiration of his good Qualities that prevailed with the Romans against the Odium of his Vices. Vespassar, (a) far from being haughty and proud, was a Prince humble, affable, and familiar, of a free Access to all, at any Time, and received all in a Manner as if he had not been Emperor, but a private Man. In Convesation pleasant bearing to be rally'd, and answering with Reparties. He bore with the greatest Calmness the Liberty his Friends took with him in speaking their

<sup>(0)</sup> Dio. Suet. Aurel. Victor, Eutrop. Tacit.

[ 54 ]

Minds. In the like Manner he heard the Taunts and fly Reflections cast on him by the Advocates in their Pleadings, and despised the Infolence and the virulent Tongues of the Philosophers. He never shewed himself to be angry, or offended at anonymous Libels or Satires (then very much in Fashion against the Emperors) reflecting upon him; and far from inquiring after the Authors to punish them, he would anfwer with others in Defence of the contrary, and trouble himself no further with them. was remarkable for Humanity and Greatness of Soul; forgetting Injuries, and never feeking to be revenged of his Enemies. No Man was put to Death by him unjustly, or on Suspicion and Fear he had of him; and even they that confpired against him suffered no other Punishment but to be banished. The public Affairs were not managed in a fecret and mysterious Way, or by his absolute Power, but he always communicated them to the Senate, where he never failed to be present, when not hindered by some lawful Cause, and then he would communicate them in Writing, and make often his Sons read them to the Assembly; he shewed all the Marks of Honour and Respect to the Senators, and conversed with them very familiarly, inviting every Day many of them to his Table. He purged the Senate and the Equestrian Order of Persons unworthy of that Dignity, and he chose out of Italy and the Provinces Men of the greatest Merit to fill the vacant Places. He made excellent Laws for the Reformation of Manners; and his private Lite was an Example of Frugality, which did more contribute to correct the Roman Luxury of a long Standing, than all the Laws made against

it.

Ca

rab

the

cul

the

and

was

tha

mer

was

Sak

ther

fure

mof

for

The

cent

ador

Hig

thro

beau Emp

Eart

his o

Inha

to b of th

the

him

migh

ploy'

all S

Men

of th

guod

0

ne

ne

he

be

a-

m-

ng

in-

ry,

He

of

to

put

and

on-

nent

were Vay,

com-

ever

fome icate

read

Tarks

con-

every ed the

nwor-

ly and

fill the

or the

e Life

more

of a

against it.

it. Not to mention the military Discipline, the Care of fettling the State, that was in a deplorable Condition by what had been suffered under the former Emperors, with many other Particulars, I will come lastly to what concerns more the Point in Question. I mean his Liberality and Generofity worthy of a Prince. Tho' he was too greedy of Money, and gathered it in that most infamous and fordid Way we have mentioned before; yet it cannot be faid, that he was covetous, heaping up Riches for the only Sake of Money, or to enrich his Family; neither that he did lavish them in his private Pleafures. No, he employ'd his Riches in the most prudent, generous, and princely Manner for the Benefit of the Public and the People. Thele Expences were very great and magnificent, his own but necessary and moderate. He adorned Rome with noble Edifices, he made Highways, and Aqueducts, and open'd Passages thro' Mountains and Rocks; he repaired and beautify'd many Towns in all the Parts of the Empire, that had been almost ruined either by Earthquakes or Fire; and all these Works at his own Cost, and without any Prejudice of the Inhabitants. And what I think he deferves most to be commended for, that in the Execution of the Works, he preferred the Advantage of the common People, to any Projects offered to him to lave Expences, that more Workmen might get their Livelihood, by their being employ'd in them. He was very liberal towards all Sorts of People. He generously supply'd Men of Quality in Want to support the Dignity of their Rank; and handsomely rewarded the good Poets, and Artificers excelling in their

Handicraft. In short, he was a mighty En. courager of Arts and Learning, and the first of the Emperors that appointed a Salary to the Rhetoric Masters either of Greek or Latin at Rome. Are we after all this to wonder, that Vespasian was so generally beloved? It is not a fmall Comfort to the Subjects when squeezed and oppressed with Duties, Taxes, &c. to fee, that their Money is laid out for public Uses, and to their own, and not a foreign Nation's Profit, and that they are made Partakres of it by being employ'd in some Way or other, fo that they might live well and support their Families. If Princes would follow Vespasian's Example in this, they would not hear so frequent Complaints of their Subjects for being too much charged with Taxes and Duties, &c. If no Reason and Justice, Policy at least should move them to act as Vespasian did. But I fear this Example is too old to be imitated in our Days. The common People, like Horses and other Animals for human Uses, will go thro' hard Works when their Masters do not starve and hardly treat them. I remember to have heard a Story of an ingenious Painter, who, to express the Difference of the Subjects Condition under a good and a bad Prince, made a Picture representing two Asses both charged with heavy Loads but one feemed to be in good Cafe, and the other very poor and weak, both in the Act of falling backward on their Legs by the Weight of the Charge. The Master of the first had taken Hold of his Ass's Tale, as helping him from not falling, and giving him Time to raise up himself; but the Master of the weak Ass looked to be angry, and was holding a Stick

nate ing Pra him two to h tatio his Ass not Sir, to Sub the true Flan der tant and Ger plec cou. whe had thei wer peop upo they the

free

bett

perl

tinu

us;

Stic

at

at

ed

to

lic

a-

res

er,

eir

n's

re-

00

If

uld

ear

our

and

iro,

rve

ave

, to

tion

ture

avy

and

the

the

first

ping

e to

veak

ig a

tick

Stick in his Hand, as if he was beating the unfortunate Animal. The Painter, being asked the Meaning of the Picture, answered in a jocose Way, Pray, Sir, (to his Friend, who had been afking him) fuppofing you were an Ass, whom of the two Masters here represented would you chuse to have? That to be fure, faid he without Hefitation, pointing at the Malter, that was helping his Ass to rise; tho' he has heavily charged his Ass, yet he takes some Care of him, and does not abuse and beat the poor Beast. Now, good Sir, reply'd the Painter, if you will be pleafed to apply the Representation of the Picture to Subjects and Princes, you will foon understand the Meaning of it. This I have found to be true in fact. Some Years ago when I was in Flanders, I observed those Towns that were under the French, to be populous, and the Inhabitants by the Countenance appear'd to be eafy and chearful; whereas the Towns under the Germans and the Dutch seemed to be less peopled, and the Inhabitants poor and dejected. could not but wonder at this; and the more when I heard the People of those Towns that had been formerly under the French, to wish for them again; remembring how happier they were in those Times, and the Towns more peopled and rich. Talking with some of them upon the Subject; and inquiring into the Reason they had for preferring the French to the others, the Master of the House, where I lodged, very freely faid to me; Sir, tho the French are no better than the Germans and the Dutch, and perhaps worse, if you will, in that they are continually getting and squeezing out Money from us; yet for all this you know well the Genius of that Nation: They love good Cheer, fine Dreffing, Gaming, Assemblies, Comedies and Operas, in fhort, all Sorts of Diversions, which they cannot have without spending Money among us, and employing many Tradefmen and other People; so that the Money they have taken from us, comes to us again, and by that Way we live, and by the continual Circulation of Money, we never want it; whereas the Germans and the Dutch grow rich by us, and we get nothing, or very little from them; living very frugally, and faving as much as they can, which is carried away out of ours into their own Country. In Conclusion, Sir, we chuse to be under the French, because if they live upon us we live upon them, and the' we fill their Purse with our Money, yet we may fay, we have at

an

thi

Re

of:

It

and

tici

Sei

the

tle the

on

gue

fine

Rb

Mi

in

per

in

Boo

fou

Hi

ftru

kno

oth

juri

I

lea

least a Finger in it.

Thus much can be faid in Praise of Vespasian, which plainly shews he was not by Nature a covetous Man. As for the rest, let the Indigency of the public Treasury, and the Expences for the Support of the War and the State be as great as they will, no Prince can be justified or excused for raising Money from his Subjects by fo unjust, fordid, and scandalous Means as Vespasian did. To administer Justice, to let the Law of the Country have its Course, to let the Subjects enjoy their Liberties, and live easy under the Government, is the indispensable Duty of a Prince, and the ultimate End of common Society. This is what makes the People truly happy, and the Prince beloved; not the Greatness and Magnificence of public Buildings, Ornaments, and other Expences, whenever they cannot be supported without oppressing the N U M-Subjects.—

## NUMBER XI.

e

t

f

-

e

g

1,

n

e

15

fe

at

n,

0-

cy

or

as

or

cts

as

he

he

aly

lu-

m-

ple

the gs, ver

the

M-

The old Romans, &c.] Livy (a) has left us an Account of what passed in the Senate upon this Affair; but I do not find he mentions the Reason, why the Senate came to that Resolution of not making War against the Rhodians, which, The Motives I think, he should have done. and Reasons of human Actions, but more particularly of public ones, as the Decrees of a Senate, are the Soul of History, and without the Knowledge of them, History is but of little Use. Livy at least should have preserved us the famous Speech, that M. Porcius Cato made on this Occasion, perhaps by it we might have gueffed at the Reason of the Senate's Resolution; fince it was that Speech that the Cause of the Rhodians was most supported by. But to our Misfortune that Speech of Cate was to common in Livy's Time, that he thought himself difpensed with from the Labour of transcribing it in his History, referring the Reader to Cato's Book, intitled, Originum, wherein it was to be found. It feems very strange to me, that the Historians, whose chief End is to inform and instruct Posterity, and who above all should know how Things of this Nature, as well as others, are subject and exposed to the Injuries of Time, and Men's Neglect; yet they, I lay, are very oft to careless, as to neglect to transmit to Posterity those remarkable

<sup>(</sup>a) Lib. 45. c. 20. & feq. I 2

Monuments of great Men's Genius's, which would be not only curious but useful. And this they do upon no other Confideration, but because such Pieces were known and current at the Time when they writ; as if they were from it affured, that Posterity would have the same Advantage too. But to return to Cato's Speech. The few Fragments of it still remaining we owe to A. Gellius's Industry, who lays them down to vindicate Cato from Tullius Tiro's Objections against it. (b) In those Fragments we do not find any Trace, that could lead us to the gueffing of the Senate's Reason for taking that Resolution; but only by what A. Gellius fays in the Narrative of what gave Occasion to the Speech, we may fairly take a Hint of what Cato would have more infifted upon, to prevail upon the Senate for not declaring War; that is, the Defign of many great Men to enrich themselves by the Spoils of the Rhodians. Sententiæ, ' are Gellius's Words, rogari ceptæ, cumque partim Senatorum de Rhodiensibus quererentur, maleque eos animatos fuisse dicerent, bellumque eo illis faciendum censerent; tum M. Cato exfurgit, & optimos fidiffimosque socios, quorum opibus diripendis possidendisque non pauci ex summatibus viris intenti infestique erant, defensum conservatumque pergit. We can hardly think fuch a Man as Cato, renowned not only for his Eloquence, but remarkable above all of his Time for Gravity, and the Strictness he exercised in the Censorship; such a Man, I say, we can hardly think, would have paffed over a

Po

tice

mi of

ha

fio

ing

Su

ma

Co

tha

par tha

wa

pro

out

the

dia

hac tali

nin

· n

· a

C

ro

F

n b

S

tugin

<sup>(</sup>c) Lib. 7. c. 3.

Point fo becoming to his Character to take Notice of, and that in all Probability would have much prevailed on the Mind of the found Part of the Senators; and what is more, it would have exposed, and put to the Blush and Confufion those covetous and ambitious Men, by laying open the fecret View they had in this Affair. Such a Reproach, from the Mouth of a Man of fo great Authority as Cato was, could not but make a deep Impression upon them, and their Consciousness would have abated not a little of that pretended Animofity against the Rhodians; particularly if we confider, that the Romans at that Time were not so corrupted as they were afterwards, when all Sense of Virtue and Shame was intirely loft. A true Mark of a near approaching Ruin of a free State.

But notwithstanding the Silence of Livy, what our Author advances of the Reason, that mov'd the Senate not to declare War against the Rhodians, is not of his own Imagination, but he had it from Sallustius in the History of the Ca-There Cæsar, in the Begintalinarian War. ning of his Speech to the Senate, fays. 'Mag-' na mihi copia est memorandi, P. C. qui Reges ' aut qui Populi ira aut misericordia impulsi male consuluerint: Sed ea malo dicere, quæ Majores nostri contra lubidinem animi sui recte atque ordine fecere. Bello Macedonico, quod cum Rege Perfe gessimus, Rhodiorum Civitas magna, atque magnifica, quæ Populi Romani opibus creverat, infida atque advorsa nobis fuit: Sed postquam bello confecto de Rhodiis confultum est, Majores nostri ne quis divitiarum magis, quam injuriæ bellum inceptum diceret, impunitos eos dimifere.

S

e

0

0-

ci

e-

ly

ly

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

he

y,

a

int

Such

Such a Conduct of the Roman Senate can't be too much praised, or admired, and it ought to ferve for an Example to others; but this can't be expected wherein Corruption prevails. A strict and true Notion of the Honour of their Country is what guided and determined the Roman Senators to this Resolution. They were so jealous of it, that they would not fuffer it to be exposed even to the least Shadow of Suspicion; neither permit it to be abused by felf-interested Men, who attempted under it to screen their covetous and ambitious Defigns. What Excuse then can be made for Livy in passing over in Silence so noble and truly glorious a Motive, on which the Roman Senate would not declare War against the Rhodians? Surely he is not so careless or envious an Historian as to omit what might add a Lustre to the Honour of his Country, or to deprive her of a due Glory. Shall we then fay, that he thought by not mentioning it, the Roman Generofity in forgiving Injuries, and the Mildness, which the Rhodians were treated with by the Senate, was more glorious than the Motive of it? Or rather that he would not let Poiterity think, that in those happy Days of the Republic, fo renowned for Virtue and Valour, the great Men, who were at the Helm of it, were corrupted with Self-interest and Ambition? Certain it is, that in mentioning those, who were most against the Rhodians, he has not a Word of their Design and View. (c) ! Infestissimi ' Rhodiis erant, qui Consules, Prætoresye, aut Legati gesserant in Macedonia bellum.

deed

Præ

was

der

· T

' di

· ut

· fti

cu.

eff

not

actin

the

tinef

a for

then

Thi

with

· qu

'eff

lowi

' N

· Pa

Tall

be e

by th

tion

ward

ipeci

<sup>(</sup>c) C. 25 .--

deed he is not fo referved when he mentions the Prætor, of whom he fays, that what he did was in View to have been choien the Commander of that Expedition. (d) 'M. Juvencius · Thalna Prætor Populum adversus Rho-' dios incitabat, rogationemque promulgaverat, ' ut Rhodiis bellum indiceretur: Et ex magi-' stratibus ejus anni deligerent qui ad id bellum ' cum classe mitteretur; se eum sperans futurum But in Regard to the Rhodians, Livy not only mentions their unfair Conduct, not acting as it became Friends and Confederates of the Romans, but exaggerates the Pride and Haughtinefs of their Proceeding. The Rhodians, in a former Embassy to Rome, in Order to persuade them to make Peace with Perseus, among other Things, they faid, they had done the fame Office with Perseus, concluding, (e) 'Per quos stetisset ' quo minus belli finis fieret, adverius eos quid ' fibi faciendum effet, Rhodios confideraturos effe. Upon this Livy breaks out with the following Remark, a short but very expressive one. 'Ne nunc quidem hæc fine indignatione legi ' audirive posse certum habeo. Inde existimari ' potest, qui habitus animorum audientibus ea ' Patribus fuerit. The Rhedians Manner of Talking thus to the Romans at that Time is not be excused; yet I cannot but think, that Livy by this Remark intended to make the Moderation and Mildness of the Senate in general towards the Rhadians to appear greater; but more specially that of Cate, who afterwards, when a

e

d

r

le

m

e,

re

fo

at

n-

ve

it,

nd

ed

0-

he

ur,

it,

ere ord

mi

aut

In-

eed

<sup>(</sup>d) C. 22.

<sup>(</sup>e) Lib. 44. c. 14.

War against them was proposed in the Senate: declared himself against it, by defending the Rhodian Cause in a most eloquent and strong Manner, which contributed not a little to prevent the War. ' Plurimum, (f) fays Livy on this Occasion, causam eorum adjuvit M. Porcius Cato; qui asper ingenio, tum lenem mitemque Senatorem egit. But what could have been Cato's Reason for acting at this Conjuncture so contrary to his natural Severity? Certainly he was not a Man less sensible of the Injuries and Affronts put upon his Country, than the rest of the Senators. From whence now this Indulgence and Mildness of Temper in him? Either he really thought the Rhodians did not deferve to be fo much blamed and condemned, as was cry'd up by those designing Men: Or he took upon him the Defence of the Rhodians to oppose, and disappoint the fordid and self-interested Views of those chief Promoters of the War. Views that would have then exposed the Roman Greatness to the Censure of other Nations, and encouraged Corruption and Vice for the future, and brought on at last the Ruin of the Republic: to covet after Power and Riches being the greatest Evil in a free Government. But let the Reason be what it will, we can't but think, that Cato acted in this Affair as it became a true Patriot, and uncorrupted Senator; opposing Vice, and protecting Virtue.

This Example of the Romans ought to open the Eyes of every Nation, not to engage themselves in a War without considering and searching

are

th

no

in

th

te

co

ag

ha

fp

an

th

pr

in

to

no

Ca

A

th

In fid

CH

<sup>(</sup>f) Lib. 45. 6, 25.

ing before-hand into the true Motives of those, who are most zealous and violent for it; whether their Motives are really, as they pretend, the Safety, Honour, and Interest of the Public, or not rather their own particular Views of inriching themselves, and keeping the Power in their Hands. Many Wars have been promoted, and Treaties of Peace rejected on that Account by the Intrigues and Cabals of a Party, against the real Interest of the Nation, which at last, but too late, by feeling the dire Effects of a long and expensive War, was convinced it had been deluded into it.

r,

iè

1-

ie

n

1e

d of

1-

er ve

as

ok le,

ed

ır. an

nd

re,

c:

at-

he

nat

uė

ng

en m-

h-

ing

I'll only remark one Thing more, which is, that by the bad Advice, and the Indifcretion in speaking of some of the Rhodians, hot-headed and stirring Men among the common People, the whole Nation became exposed to the Reproaches of not keeping Faith, of double Dealing, and the like; (b) and what was the worst to the Resentment of the Romans, which would have fallen very heavy upon them, had not the Wisdom, Eloquence, and Authority of Cato prevented the War. Quid igitur? faid Astymedes, the Rhodian Legate, in his Speech to the Senate, for the Excuse of their Conduct,

' Nihil ne factum neque dictum est in civitate

' vestra, Rhodij, quod nolletis, quo merito of-' fenderetur Populus Romanus? Hinc jam non

we believed by at Gallier, he accept to

<sup>(</sup>b) Rhodiorum Civitas infida, atque adversa nobis fuit. In the above quoted Passage of Sallustius. — Rhodij quoq; fidelissimi antea Romanis, tum dubia fide speculati fortunam, proniores Regis partibus fuisse visi sunt-Vell. Paterculus, I. 1. c. 9. And what is faid of them in Livy.

[66]

bable Law

looke

thou

Judg

fells

stice !

Truff

and t

No,

a bet

think

Mani

then,

nous,

vere.

and J

Ruin,

Law

Corru

alway

and p

all Go

ment,

(b)

vivente

Superbo & hi r

emplo o

perfidia

randum

pæna ex

(c)

quod factum est, defensurus sum, non adeo in-' fanio: Sed publicam causam à privatorum ' culpa segregaturus. Nulla enim est Civitas, · quæ non & improbos cives aliquando, & impe-' ritam multitudinem semper babeat. (A Sentence worthy to be writ in golden Letters) Etiam "apud vos fuisse audivi, qui assentando multitu-' dini graffarentur : Et secessisse aliquando a vobis plebem, nec in potestate vestra Rempublicam fuisse. Si hoc in tam bene morata Civi-' tate accidere potuit, mirari quisquam potest ' aliquos fuisse apud nos, qui Regis amicitiam • petentes plebem nostram confiliis depravarent? ' Qui tamen nihil ultra valuerunt, quam ut in officio cessaremus. — From this Case of the Rhodians, we may learn how mischievous and dangerous are to the true Interest, Honour, and Safety of a Country the Advices of fuch Politicians; especially when they are at the Head of a Party.

# NUMBER XII.

no, and I walled to be placed in

bud proppy hege event

The most severe Laws have been made by the Ancients, &c.] By the Law of the twelve Tables, a Judge, convicted of having taken Money for his Sentence, was punished with Death.

(a) Did the Romans model this Law after the Example of Cambyses King of Persia, in putting to Death Sisamnes one of his Judges for the same Crime? The Conjecture is not altogether improbable,

<sup>(</sup>a) Related by A. Gellius, 1. 20. c. 1.

n

m

1-

0-

li-

71-

eft

m

t ?

in

he

nd

ind

oli-

of

the elve

Mo-

ath.

the

tting

fame

pro-

able,

bable, according to a famous Civilian. (b) This Law grew afterwards obfolete, because it was looked upon as too fevere. But can Death be thought to be too fevere a Punishment for a Judge, who against all divine and human Laws fells his Conscience, and his Oath of doing Juflice? Who betrays for Money that facred Trust put in him to the Protection of Crimes, and the Oppression of his Fellow-Subjects? (c) No, when this Law was made, the Romans had a better Notion of Justice and Virtue, than to think it too fevere; but when Corruption of Manners begun to creep in among them, it was then, that the Crime appeared to them less heinous, and confequently its Punishment too fevere. It is this altering the Notion of Virtue and Justice, that will bring at last a Nation to Ruin, if not timely corrected. But the this Law of the twelve Tables was abrogated, yet Corruption in Judges and other Magistrates was always reckoned by the Law a great Crime, and punished either with Fine, Infamy, Loss of all Goods, Transportation into an Island, Banishment, and even with corporal Punishment, and K 2 Death

<sup>(</sup>b) Balduinus ad leg. 12. Tab. 1. 51. Factum id est vivente adhuc Athenis Solone, Romæ imperante Tarquinio Superbo, vix octoginta annis ante Decemviros; ejus itaque & hi meminisse potuerant, ejusque etiam memoria & exemplo commoveri.

<sup>(</sup>c) An judicis (says Cæcilius in A. Gellius loc. cit.) illius persidiam contra omnia jura divina atque humana jusjurandum suum pecunia vendentis—Non dignam esse capitis pæna existimes?

Death according to its Quality. (d) And confidering how much the Administrarion of Justice concerns the whole Society, the Law gave Power to any one to accuse a Magistrate Guilty of Corruption on any Account whatfoever, either whilst in Office or after. (e) In order to which he was by the Law oblig'd under Penalty at the End of his Administration not to leave the Country, wherein he had exercised his Office, but to continue there for fifty Days appointed to hear any Complaint or Accufation brought by the People against him. (f) And indeed what greater Evil can there be in a Government, than to let fuch a Corruption in Magistrates go unpunished? What Difference then would be between Justice and Robbery? (g) If they do not deserve a worse Name than Robbers, as by the Law they are called. dices fures.

p

no

to

th

ha

W

he

E

Wa

de

inte

(

unqu ut i

bus a

fi ce

esset

eos ti no

mi,

ita

qui

plic

How happy would it be for a Nation to have a Prince so averse to corrupted Magistrates, as the

(d) Lex Julia, lex Cornelia, and others. V. Sigon. de Ant. jur. P. R. lib. 2. c. 27. Anton. Augustinus de leg. & senatuscons.—D. ad l. Jul. repetund.—C. de pæn. Judic. qui male Judicar. & ibi Commentatores — Justinian. novel, 8.

c. 8. §. squis.
(e) L. Jubemus C. ad L. Jul. repetund. Institut. 1. 4.

de public. Judic.

(g) Et quæ tandem major esse potest in Republica pessis, quam si tales corruptelæ impune grassentur? Quid a latroci-

niis Judicia differrent? Balduin. 1. c.

<sup>(</sup>f) C. ut omnes Judices tam civil. quam militar. post administrat. deposit. 50 dies in Civitat. vel cert. loc. permaneant. Confirmed by Justin, Novel. 95 & 161. which Regulation was formerly observed in France by the Testimony of Bugnonius, des loix abregées, p. 16.

y

h

re

ys

uf)

a

in

ce

y ?

an u-

ive

the

. de

. qui

1. 4.

post

per-

hich

timo-

estis,

troci-

the Emperor Alexander Severus was. (b) It is related by an Historian, who was very familiarly acquainted with him, that if he had at any Time feen a Judge convicted of Corruption, he would hold up his Finger as if he would bore out his Eye. Hence Balduinus takes Occasion to reflect upon the Corruption of the Judges in his Time, with the following sharp but merry Expression. (i) But how many Fingers would Alexander want now? when if he had an hundred Hands, as the Poets feign of Briarcus, he would not have Fingers enough. He might have added too, what Septimius another Historian reported of the same Alexander to this Purpose, viz. (k) He had fuch an Indignation against those Judges, that were only suspected of Corruption, that if by Chance he did fee any of them, he would be in fo great an Emotion, that he would vomit Choler, and his Face be all in a Flame for Rage, so that he was not able to speak a Word. (1) And he ordered by a Proclamation, that none should come into his Presence, who was conscious to himself, that his Character was not clear in that Particular.

(b) Encolpius apud Lamprid. in Vita Alex. Illum si unquam surem judicem vidisset, paratum habuisse digitum, ut illi oculum erueret, tantum odium tenebat eorum de quibus apud se probatum esset, quod sures suissent.

(i) At quot nunc digitis opus haberet Alexander? Non si centimanus esset, quelem Poetæ suum Briareum singunt, esset satis. Balduin. l. cit.

(k) Addit Septimius—tanti stomachi suisse Alexandrum in eos Judices, qui surtorum sama laborassent, etiam si damnati non essent, ut si eos casu aliquo videret, commotione animi, stomachi choleram evomeret, toto vultu inardescente; ita ut nihil posset loqui. apud eund. Lamprid. 1. c.

(1) Per præconem edixit, ut nemo salutaret Principem, qui se surem esse nosset, ne aliquando detectus capitali supplicio subderetur. Idem Lamprid. l.c.

79

lar ; left he, being afterwards discovered to be fuch, should undergo a capital Punishment. But Alexander Severus is too particular an Instance to meet with the like. The Stomachs of Princes are generally too strong to be moved at the Sight of corrupted Magistrates; and should they revive the fame Proclamation, their Courts would not be fo splendid and numerous as they are.

#### XIII. NUMBER

William King of Sicily, furnamed the Good, &c.] As his Father William the First, for his Cruelty and Avarice, deferved of his Subjects the Name of the Bad, Il Cattivo, il Malo; so his Son William the Second, who fucceded him, for his good Qualities and Actions, acquired the Name of the Good, Il Buono. So true it is that often, (a)

Degenerant nati Patribus, vincuntque Parentes, Ingeniumque suum retinent.

Tho' to degenerate for the worse is more commonly observed in the Sons of great and excellent Men, than the contrary. (b) Fazello and Castanza, two noted Historians of Sicily, who have given us a full Account of this King's Life, make no mention of this Act of his exemplary Justice; neither have I been able to find out from whence our Author had it.

As for the King of Persia here mentioned, Herodotus (c) informs us, that it was Cambyles, the

the Ota Dea amo that rup fam one cifie by . by i upo ceff linu.

fir " ce in h the tufta been

Per

by 1

' da

Ir he t a Ca more Cam

flea'c

<sup>(</sup>a) Manil. I. 4. v. 77.

<sup>(</sup>e) L. 5. n. 25 & 26.

the Judge condemned Sisamnes, and his Son Otanes. This Manner of putting People to Death, viz. to flea them alive, was customary among the Persians. (d) But we cannot say, that it was the ordinary Punishment for corrupt Judges, fince by the Testimony of the fame Herodotus, (e) Darius condemned Sandoces, one of his Judges, for the same Crime to be crucified. But Cambyses's Example was followed by Artaxerxes, (f) with three corrupt Judges, by fleaing them alive, and spreading their Skins upon the Judgment-Seats, on which their Successors were to fit. So that Ammianus Marcellinus cannot be excused for questioning the Fact by faying, (g) 'Nam quod superfedere corio damnati Judicis Judex alius cogebatur, aut ' finxit vetustas, aut olim recepta consuetudo ' cessavit. That this Custom was not observed in his Time, we may believe him; but that the Thing was never practifed, aut finxit vetustas, is what he would not have said, had he been acquainted with the ancient History of Been danier Perfia.

In latter Times I find Meemet the Second, he that took Constantinople, to have dealt with a Cadi (Judge) convicted of having sold Justice more than once, after the same Manner, that Cambyses did with Sisamnes and Otanes. (b) He flea'd the Judge alive, and appointed that the

d

0

y

ıt

1,

ne

<sup>(</sup>d) V. Briffon. de regno Perfar. 1. 2. no. 217.

<sup>(</sup>e) L. 7. (f) Diodor. Sicul. 1. 15.

<sup>(</sup>g) L. 23. circa finem.
(b) Theod. Spandugino Comment. della Orig. de Principi Turchi, l. 1. p. 68.

Son, who succeded him in the Post, should fit on his Father's Skin spread on a Tapestry; and at the installing of him, told him, being in the Posture of a condemned Man. ' Mind well what I say, as I have flea'd alive your Father, fo you may affure yourfelf I'll do to you, if you do not observe Justice.

### XIV. NUMBER

Aurelian was a very great Emperor, &c.] The Severity of Aurelian in punishing Crimes was fuch, that made him pass for a cruel, fierce, and fanguinary Man; (a) and I do not doubt he was by his Temper inclined to Cruelty, infomuch that even many of those, who acknowledge the Advantages and Glory the Roman Empire acquired by his extraordinary Valour, and Severity of Discipline, will not reckon him either among the good nor the bad Princes, because he wanted Clemency, the first and distinguishing Virtue of a Prince. (b)

Indeed a rigid and fevere Prince, tho' he in other Respects be a most excellent one, yet will never gain the general Love of the Subjects. And as fuch a Prince is more necessary to a Nation brought low, and plunged into Corruption

CE

ni

de

or

on

(d

mu Pri

ma Pe

(b) Aurelianum multi neque inter bonos, neque inter malos Principes ponunt idcirco, quod ei clementia Imperatorum dos prima defuerit. Vopisc. 1. c.

<sup>(</sup>a) Aurelianus quod negari non potest severus, truculentus, fanguinarius furt Princeps. Vopisc. in vita. In the fame Manner Eutropius. Sævus & fanguinarius — animi immodici, & ad crudelitatem propensioris - and Aurel. Via. fuit sævus & sanguinarius, & trux omni tempore.

ell

ies

ce,

ibt

in-

W-

ian

ur,

nm

be-

tin-

e in

will

ects.

Na-

by

culen-

n the

animi

Aurel.

inter

mpera.

by the Neglect of the Law, and Want of good Discipline, so the more he is exposed to the Ill-will, and Odium of the common People, who feduced by Ignorance and Licentiousnence, not confidering the publick Good, look upon Virtue and Discipline as Cruelty and Tyranny. This was Aurelian's Case; so that it is no Wonder he was not generally beloved, but feared, and confequently hated and blamed as too cruel and fanguinary, tho' a very great Emperor. (c) I do not pretend to justify all his Actions, and clear him from the Imputation of Cruelty; but I may venture to fay, that confidering the Corruption of those Times both in the Army an civil Admistration, we cannot but confess, that it was a great Happiness for the Roman State to have had fuch a Man as Aurelian at the Head of it, in order to preserve it. Those very Historians, that give him the Character of a cruel, fierce and, fanguinary Man, acknowledge nevertheless at the fame Time the good Effects his Cruelty produced. It was by the fevere and exemplary Punishments that he kept the Army in good Order and Discipline; having struck such a Terror on the Soldiers, that after a Fault had been once chaftifed, it was never committed again. (d) He punished in the same Manner secret and public

(d) Fuit—Severitatis immensæ, disciplinæ singularis— Militibus ita timori suit, ut sub eo posteaquam semel cum

<sup>(</sup>c) Timeri cœpit, fays the same Author, Princeps optimus, non amari. Quum alii dicerent perodiendum talem Principem non optandum: Alii bonum quidem Medicum sed mala ratione curantem.— I read here Perodiendum instead of Persodiendum. V. Salmas. in Notis ad h. l. & Voss. de Vitiis Lat Serm. 1. 4. c. 15.

m

W

P

fo

ar

W

CO

ly

b

ru

CO

Wa

ler

th

P

be

Wa

th

at

M it i

enti

Au

on

of A

am

(

public Informers; (e) but above all, he spared no Torments nor Punishments against corrupt and rapacious Governors, and Officers of the Provinces. (f) And to show that he had Regard to no Person, and to give Example to others from his own Family, he chaftifed his Slaves and Domesticks severely, and many of them as Criminals he gave up to public Justice to be try'd by the Law. (g) By this Means he corrected in great part the diffolute and debauched Manners then reigning. ' Diffolutorum mo-' rum magna ex parte corrector; as Eutropius fays of him. If fo rigid and fevere a Conduct could not intirely reform the Abuses and Corruptions, must we think a mild and gentle one would have done it? No; the Roman State was then in fuch a Condition, that it was in need of fuch an Emperor like Aurelian, (b) who by making wholesome Laws, keeping a strict Discipline, and striking Terror with the Severity of Punishments, could keep the State in Order; which he effectually did, having purged the

ingenti severitate castrensia peccata correxit, nemo peccaverit. Id. Vopisc. l. c. — Disciplinæ militaris, & morum dissolutorum magna ex parte coreector. Eutrop. in Vit. Aurel. l. 9.

(e) Quadruplatores ac delatores ingenti severitate perse-

cutus est. Id. Vopisc.

(f) Fures provinciales repetundarum ac peculatus reos ultra militarem modum est persecutus ut eos ingentibus suppliciis, cruciatibusque puniret. Id. & Aurel. Vict.

(g) Servos & Ministros peccantes coram se cædi jubebat —multos servos e familia propria qui peccaverant, legibus

audiendos judiciis publicis dedit. Vopisc.

<sup>(</sup>b) Aureliano, Principi necessario magis quam bono-Vopisc.—Necessarius magis in quibusdam quam in ullo ama bilis Imperator. Eutrop. 1. c.

Empire of all that was wicked, abominable, and mischievous, of Divisions and Factions, and what diffurbed the public as well as the private Peace. (i) Who can after this blame Aurelian for his Severity, and charge him with Cruelty, and Thirst of human Blood? In this View we ought to confider Aurelian's Severity, which could not but have appeared greater, than it really was; fo as to be reputed an Excess of Cruelty by the greatest Part of the People of that corrupt Age, and therefore he was look'd upon as a Man unfit for it, that is to fay, he would not condescend to be corrupted as they were. It was on this Confideration that the Emperor Valerian would not intrust his Son Gallienus, and the Army to his Care and Direction, preferring Postbumus to him. (k) But nothing can convince us better of how much Good Aurelian's Severity was for the Roman Empire, than the Sentiment the Senate, the People, and the Army expressed at his Death, and the Honours paid to his Memory. The Good is never known but when it is loft, according to that Italian Saying,

Non si conosce il ben se non si perde.

e

m it.

e-

eos P-

at

us

10-

na

The Senate was very sensible of the Loss of so great a Prince; but much more was the People,

L 2 who

(i) Quicquid sane scelerum suit, quicquid malæ conscientiæ, vel etiam sunestorum, quicquid denique sactionum Aurelianus toto penitus orbe purgavit. Vopisc.

<sup>(</sup>k) See Valerian's Letter to the Conful Antonius Gallus on this Subject, reported by Vopisc. Among the rest he says of Aurelian, Nimius est, multus est, gravis est, & ad nostra am non facit tempora.

1

1

tl

th

of

re

W

fus

qua

nul

litu

Pri

teft

whi

Ut .

who would openly call him, The Tutor and Instructor of the Senate. The Army buried him with magnificent Pomp, and a stately Monument, and a Temple was erected, and dedicated to his Name, even by those, by whose Hands he had been flain, repenting of what they had done, and blaming their Credulity imposed on by the false Suggestion of one wicked Man; and at the Army's Request to the Senate he was confecrated a God, and by his Succeffor Statues were dedicated to him. (1) Besides what greater Proof can be given of the Advantages and Good the Roman Empire reaped from Aurelian's Conduct in governing, than to confider how after his Death, when the Empire continued for feveral Months without any Prince, or absolute Magistrate at the Head of it (an unparallel'd Interregnum taken Notice of by the Historians) (m) How, I say, all that while the Roman State enjoy'd a perfect Calm, without the least Commotion of Change or Diforder. Peace and Obedience among the People, and a perfect Harmony in the Army, and a respected Authority in the Senate; so that in so favourable a Conjuncture for ambitious Men to invade the Government, yet none was found so bold, as to make such an Attempt, or shew by any Way his Inclination And what was more furprizing, the prudent and modest Behaviour both of the Senate and the Army, and the Deference they pay'd each other in the Choice of a Person, that deferved to facceed Aurelian. From whence all this Tran-

<sup>(1)</sup> V. Vopisc. and Zosim. 1. 1. in Aurel. (m) Vopisc. in Tacit. Aurel. Via.

Tranquillity, Concord, and Order in fo dangerous a Time for the Roman Empire, but from Aurelian's Severity and Discipline? It was this that had wrought fo good a Disposition of Mind in every Part of the State, as to know and do their Duty, and as Vopiscus says, to fear themfelves. (n) Nothing can be faid more glorious of a Prince, than what Aurelius Victor fays of Aurelian in the Character he gives of him, and which confirms what I have faid concerning his ' Tantum ille vir severitate atque Severity. incorruptis artibus potuit, ut facinorofis exitio; ' pravis metui ; fecuritati bonis ; optimo cuique ' defiderio; nemini infolentiæ atque oftentationi ' effet. (0)

r

d d s)

n

ift

ıd

r-

in

ire

ıt,

an

on

ate y'd dehis an-

<sup>(</sup>n) Ergo quod rarum & difficile fuit S. P. Q. R. perpeffus est, ut imperatorem per sex menses, dum bonus quæritur,
Respublica non haberet. Quæ illa concordia Militum?
quanta Populi quies? quam gravis Senatus authoritas fuerit?
nullus usquam Tyrannus emersit; sub judicio Senatus & Militum, Populique R. totus orbis est temperatus, non illi
Principem quemquam ut recte facerent, non tribunitiam potestatem formidabant, sed quod est in Vita optimum, se
timebant.

<sup>(0)</sup> Thus I have taken the Liberty to correct the Passage, which according to the common Reading is very corrupted. Ut ejus necis auctoribus exitio; pravis metui, simulata dubiis, &c.

#### XV. NUMBER

That King of Cyprus, &c.] The Character of this King of Cyprus our Author had from Boccace, in the 9th Novel of the first Day of his Decamerone. Boccace does not mention his Name, but only fays, he was the first King of Cyprus after the Taking of Jerusalem by Godfrey of Bouillon; from whence we may conclude, that it was Guy de Luzignan, or Luzignen, or Lufignan, (thus variously this Name is written) who first took the Title of King of that Island, (a) which he had bought from King Richard the First of England, on Condition he renounced to him all the Rights and Pretentions he had to the Kingdom of Jerusalem, which he had been disposses'd of by Saladin. But the Character of Guy de Luzignan, by what I can find in History, does not answer the Character of this King of Cyprus; fo that we must suppose that Boccace either took this Account from a common Report, or that he had some other Prince in View, whom not willing to name, he described him as the first King of Cyprus; or perhaps the whole Narration was a pure Invention of his. But let that be as it will, the Contents of the Novel is as follows. 'A Gentlewoman of Gasco-' ny coming back from Jerusalem, where she

· fome

· fo " fh 4 K

' to ' do

· In

fu!

· W

· Ju

· fo

th:

· ne

· by

f to

· Ki

· his

· do

· her

ed,

ftri

hac

· ma

6 bea

as

' Ti

' any

' giv

pur

abu

Su

when

Mind

rende

him t

Minif quires

<sup>&#</sup>x27; had been in Prilgrimage to visit the Holy Se-' pulchre, in her Way landed in Cyprus, there fhe met with very outrageous Treatment from

<sup>(</sup>a) This happened in 1191-92.

' fome villainous, wicked Fellows; for which the intended to lay her Complaints before the ' King to have them punished: But she was ' told, he lived a flothful Life, and was fo indolent, that he not only did not punish the 'Injuries done to others, but in a most shame-' ful Manner patiently bore even his own. Tthe ' Woman hearing this, and despairing to obtain Justice from the King, nevertheless she re-' folved to go to him, with no other Defign than to tax him in a sharp, but modest Man-' ner with the miserable Condition he was in by his flothful Way of Living. According ' to this Resolution she presented herself to the ' King, and told him, she was not come into ' his Presence to implore Justice for the Wrong ' done to her, but only to beg, he would teach ' her how to bear Injuries; having been inform-'ed, he bore them very eafily: That by his In-' ftruction she might also bear her own, which, ' had it been possible, she would have willingly ' made over to him, who was so patient in At these Words the King, bearing his own. ' as awakened from a Sleep, begun from that 'Time to punish very severely them, that did ' any Thing against the Honour of his Crown; ' giving the first Example of his Severity, by ' punishing those Villains, who had injured and ' abused this Gentlewoman.

-

d

d

in

115

at

on

in

ed

he

is.

0-

co-

she

Se-

ere

om

me

Such an Impression can a modest Reproach, when used at a proper Time, make on the Mind of a mistaken Prince in his Conduct, as to render him sensible of his Error, and to dispose him to take a better Course. Would a Prince's Minister and Favourite, whenever Necessity requires it, take the same Liberty with his Master

of Cyprus, he would act more according to his Duty, than by flattering and indulging his Passions and Inclinations; which will consirm him in the wrong Way he has taken to the Prejudice both of the Subjects, and his own Reputation.

# NUMBER XVI.

The Emperor Nero, &c.] Sueton. c. 32. ' Nulli delegavit officium, ut non adjiceret. · Scis quid mihi opus sit, & hoc agamus, ne ' quis quidquam habeat — Nero was an unparallelled Tyrant, a Tyrant above all Shame, and without any Conduct. His Passions were let loose to the absolute Disposal of Youth and Power. The greater were the Extravagances of his Tyranny, the greater he thought was his Glory. Had not he been fuch, he would have been more referved in declaring his Mind fo freely to those, whom he employed in public Charges. It would have been enough to have chose Perfons fit, and disposed to plunder the People without any further Instruction. Persons of fuch a Disposition there are never wanting in a Government. His rapacious and tyrannical Ways of raising Money was so well known, that whoever accepted any Employment under him, could not be ignorant of what he ought to do in order to please him. The Choice of Perfons, who are to be intrusted with the public Administration is the Touch-stone, by which the Subjects will judge of their Prince's Character, and his private Views; which when once manifested

ığ

118

nis

m

re-

u-

32.

ret.

ne

ipa-

and

: let

and

of his

lory.

been

ly to

irges.

Per-

eople

ns of

g in a

nnical

nown,

under ght to

F Per-

public

which

Charac-

ice manifested nifested, a Minister cannot but understand the Reason, why he has been chosen to that Post, and will act accordingly. The Choice itself carrying along with it the Condition, upon which it is made; and the not expressed in Words, it will be easily understood both by the Choser and the Chosen.

### NUMBER XVII.

Alexander Mamæa, who put to a public Death, and that by Smoak, Turinus his chief Favourite, &c.] Alexander Severus is commonly called by the Name of his Mother Mamæa, or Mammæa, as well on Account of the great Respect he paid to her (who had taken particular Care of his Education) as of the superior Influence she had over him. We have in another Place taken Notice of the peculiar Aversion this truly great Emperor had for corrupt Judges, and public Officers, that oppressed the People. What our Author mentions here of him is relating to his Favourites.

The Conduct and Fall of Vetronius Turinus, the Wisdom of Alexander in the Manner of discovering his ill Practices, and the Punishment he inflicted on him may serve for an Example to Ministers and Favourites not to abuse the Prince's Favour, and the Trust put in them; and likewise to Princes to be very cautious in choosing such Persons, that are deserving of their Favour, and Considence; to be watchful on their Conduct, and to punish and reward them accordingly; lest they themselves, as well as their Subjects, should suffer by the Artistices

M

of

of their Favourites. I think therefore a full Account of fuch an Example to be worth the Reader's Attention, which I shall give in the Words of the Learned Dr. Wotton, (a) as descri-

bed by Lampridius. (b)

' Turinus was a Man, whom Alexander had received into his Familiarity fo far, that he pretended no Man had fo great an Interest with the Emperor as himself. He offered ' his Service to any-body for Money to folli-' cite the Emperor for them; and drove so in-' famous a Trade, that it reflected upon Alexander, whom the World believed to be very weak, otherwise they could not imagine that ' Turinus would fo long be fuffered; for what ' they fo publicly complained of could not, as ' they thought, be a Secret at Court. At last Alexander began to suspect him, but not being ' willing to punish him without certain Evidence, ' took this Method to find him out. He ordered one whom he could confide in, to ask a ' Boon of himself in public, and then commanded him to defire Turinus to use his Interest privately with the Emperor, and to fecond his ' Petition at a fitting Opportunity. willingly promifed his Affistance; and when ' the Petitioner came again, tho' he had never mentioned the Man's Case to the Emperor, yet he told him he had, and did not question Success; and upon that Hope demanded a Sum of Money for his Pains, if he could procure

<sup>(</sup>a) In his History of Rome from the Death of Antoninus Pius, &c. p. 464. (b) In Vita Alexand. Sev. inter. Hift. Aug. Script.

cure the Thing, which the Man fued for. ' This Demand the Petitioner agreed to before Witness, and acquainted the Emperor with it, ' who immediately gave him the Thing, which ' he was commanded to put in for at first. Tu-' rinus then came upon him for the Money, that was agreed upon between them; telling the ' Man, that it was his Interest that got him his Request, tho' at the same Time Turinus had never spoken a Word in his Behalf to the Em-' peror, as the Petitioner well knew. Upon Tu-' rinus's Demand, the Money was paid by the ' Petitioner before Witness, and the whole Evi-' dence was laid before the Emperor. Alexan-' der then had Proof enough to convict him; but refolving to make him an effectual Example to deter any-body else from ever using the ' Emperor's Name to fuch a Purpose, he com-' manded Enquiry to be made into the former Behaviour of Turinus. And when he found ' that Turinus made a common Practice of taking ' Money on both Sides, especially of those who ' wanted Governments and Prefidentships of ' Provinces, which had an Influence upon the ' Administration of Justice throughout the Em-' pire, he commanded him to be tied to a Stake ' in the Forum Transitorium, where all the Ci-' ty might see him as they past by, whilst the ' Crier made this Proclamation, Let bim perish by Smoak, that fold it.

r

n

ius

# NUMBER XVIII.

The Emperor Tiberius never did any Thing, that pleased so much the Roman People, as the condemning to Death Sejanus, &c.] The Marks of the great Satisfaction the People of Rome had in the Fall of Sejanus were feen in the Manner how they treated him, and his Body after Death. As soon as Sejanus was arrested, in his Way to the Prison they insulted him with Reproaches, Curfes, and a thousand Indignities; not permitting him to cover his Face, and even buffeted him. Pulled down and broke in Pieces his Statues, and with Fury dragged them along Streets; after the same Manner did they drag his dead Body for three Days, and at last threw it into the Tiber. The Observation of Dion Cassius in the Description of Sejanus's Fall deferves to have a Place here. (a) 'Is vero casus humanam imbecillitatem egrègie ob oculos ponit, monetque nusquam inflate se ' gerere., Quem enim mane, ut præstantiorem ' se, universi ad curiam comitati suere, tunc ' quasi nequaquam se meliorem, in carcerem ra-' puerunt: Quem coronis ante dignati fuerant, ' ei tum vincula induxerunt: Quem tanquam ' Dominum stipaverant, eum fugitivi instar cu-' stodierunt, tegimenque obvelanti sese, detraxe-' runt: Quem veste purpura prætexta ornaverant, ei caput verberabant: Cui genua flexe-' rant, ac ut Deo facrificaverant, eum tum ad mortem duxerunt. The Senate, to shew how feni

For Feff

of a

Yea

val

Yet

ordi Dea

this

arre

prainand and

his .

the

grea

that

ceiv

Poff

the

aban

fion

Syco

the ]

Dec

fo in

of a

an i

He'l

and

How

vour

Frier to in

hatre

<sup>(</sup>a) Lib. 58. p. 628.

fenfible they were of the Deliverance from fo bad a Minister, voted that none should mourn for him, the Statue of Liberty to be fet up in the Forum, and what was never known before, a Festival Day to be kept for it by the Authority of all the Magistrates and Pontiffs; and every Year upon the Day of Sejanus's Death a Festival to be celebrated with public Sports, &c. Yet this very Senate, that now in fuch an extraordinary Manner was rejoicing for Sejanus's Death, that had arrested and condemned him; this very Senate, I fay, the fame Day he was arrested, before Tiberius's Letter was read, praised, and flatter'd him with good Wishes and Acclamations; thinking the Letter contained his Advancement to the Dignity and Office of the Tribune, by which they promifed themselves great Hopes, and were fo fure of the Success. that they would boast of what they were to receive from him, as if they had been actually in Possession of it. But as soon as the Contents of the Letter were known, he was immediately abandoned by all; every one shewing his Averfion for him: Infomuch, that those wretched Sycophants his Creatures, who fat near him, at the hearing of the Letter, left their Places, as a Declaration, that they had nothing to do with so infamous a Person. — Such is the Conduct of a corrupt Senate. Sejanus's Fall ought to be an instructive Lesson for a Minister of State. He'll learn by it to behave himself with Modesty and Humility, not with Pride and Infolence. How little he is to depend on his Master's Favour and Protection: How less to trust to his Friends and Creatures: And how dangerous it is to incur the common People's Displeasure and hatred.

d

ole

# NUMBER XIX.

The Hatred the People of Florence had for the Duke of Athens, &c.] Gualtieri or Gualtiero in Italian, the same with the French, Gautier, and the English, Walter. This and not Ugo, as Summonte calls him, (a) was the Name of this Duke of Athens. He was the Sixth of that Name Count of Brienne, a Town of Champagne in France, which with the Title of Count gave the Name to that most noble and ancient Family. (b) The Italian Writers call it Brenna (c) by a Corruption of the French Brienne, or the Latin Brienna. He is by the Historians more commonly styled Duke of Athens. That Dukedom had by Marriage descended from the Family de la Roche to that of Brienne, but they were dispossessed of the Dominion; so that Gualtieri was in reality but a titular Duke of Athens. He was brought up at the Court of Robert King of Naples, to whom he was related, and by whom he was twice fent to Florence on the following Occasions.

In the Year 1326, the Florentines were much infested by Castruccio Castracani, Sovereign of Lucca, a warlike and ambitious Man, and a powerful Enemy, who the Year before had defeated their Army. In this State of Affairs

they

they

in o

defer

Pow

bria,

most

Qual

on c

Libe

The

fing a

coulc

lose 1

vanta

Gual

of th

in hi

Adm

foon

Duty

to ga

of th

Depa

Prud

ni, v

from

Enen

the I rentin 1342 taking

Mala

both

Hom

their

<sup>(</sup>a) Hist. di Napolit. 1. 3. in the Life of King Robert.

<sup>(</sup>b) V. Moreri Artic. Brienne.

(c) I find it also writ Brenda by Mistake, if 'tis not a Fault of the Press.

ro

as

115

ne

in

he

y.

3

tin

m-

re-

a-

ley

al-

ns.

ert

nd

the

uch

of

da

de-

airs

t.

ot a

hey

they resolved to put themselves under a Prince, in order to be better able by his Conduct and Management to withstand Castruccio, and to defend and fecure their Territory against his Power and Attempts. Charles Duke of Calabria, King Robert's Son, was at that Time a most renowned Prince for his Virtue and good Him they chose for their Master on certain Conditions agreed upon, that the Liberty of the Government might be preserved. The Duke Charles, being then taken up in raifing an Army to carry on the War against Sicily, could not immediately go to Florence; but to lose no Time to accept so honourable and advantageous an Offer, the King his Father fent Gualtieri in the Duke's Name to take Possession of the Government, with Power to act as Deputy in his Son's Absence. In that short Time of his Administration (the Duke of Calabria coming foon after) he discharged his Commission and Duty in so discreet and moderate a Manner, as to gain the general Approbation and Affection of the Florentines, leaving behind him at his Departure a good Opinion of his Wisdom and Prudence. The Death of Castruccio Castracani, which happened in 1328, delivered Florence from the Fear and Jealousy of that formidable Enemy; and foon after in the fame Year died the Duke of Calabria. From that Time the Florentines had no Prince over them till the Year 1342, when finding themselves disappointed of taking Lucca, and diffatisfied with their General Malatesta's Conduct, they thought necessary both to repair the Loss, and to settle Order at Home, to have a foreign Prince at the Head of their Government. They turned their Thoughts

on Gualtieri, as a Man, who had given them formerly some Proof of his Abilities. In order to it, they addressed themselves to King Robert, who willingly fent him over to them. Others fay, that they requested the King for a Prince without naming any one in particular, but that the King proposed Gualtieri; considering he would be acceptable to them on the Account of his former Behaviour. Let this be as it will, Gualtieri came the fecond Time to Flo. rence, received by the People with all Approbation and Marks of Respect. It was then, that at the Instigation and Management of the Party of the Nobles, and their Adherents, and by Cabals, Briberies, and Treachery Gualtieri was made Sovereign of Florence for Life, to the Prejudice of the People's Rights and Liberty. So true it is, that free Nations by their own Folly, and Corruption work for themselves the Chains they are enflaved with; for 'tis impossible for a foreign Prince to make himself Master of the Liberties of any Country without the Assistance of a Party. As soon as Gualtieri was put at the Head of the Government, he loft neither Time nor Opportunity to make himfelf absolute Master of it; using all the unjust and tyrannical Means to oppress the People. He burdened them with heavy Taxes, Duties, and other Impositions. He raised Troops out of

<sup>(</sup>d) A particular Account of the Transaction of this Affair, as well as the tyrannical Government of Gualtieri, may be read in Villani, Ammirato, and other Florentine Historians, and in the Life of Gualtieri written by Silvano Razzi, among the Vite di quattro huomini illustri. Flor. 1580, in 800, p. 51. & seq.

for-

to

ert,

iers

nce

hat

he

unt

it

Flo-

oro-

ien,

the

and

was

Pre-

(d)

own

lves

ım-

nfelf

iout

tieri

, he

nim-

njust

He

and

it of

f this

ltieri, entine

lwano

Flor.

his

his own Nation. He preferred Strangers, and Men of no Worth to Posts and Dignities. perfecuted those he thought to be against him, and put Men to Death without any Regard to Justice or Pity: And, what was more odious to the Italian Nation, he debauched the Modesty and Chastity of the Women by introducing French Modes and Manners. All these Excesses he pretended to justify by some Pretext or other; as to make necessary Provisions for the Defence and Support of the Republic: To reward Merit, and do strict Justice: To settle Tranquillity and Peace at Home, and to polish the Nation, by living and converting after the polite Fashion Gualtieri wanted neither of foreign Courts. Ministers nor Officers to approve and execute his evil Defign, and they were, as usually Ministers are in such Cases, no less rapacious and tyrannical than their Master. William d'Assis (e) was one of them, who had under him the Post of Conservadore del Popolo, so violent and cruel a Man, that he seemed to take Pleasure in the Shedding of human Blood. He was intirely at Gualtieri's Devotion, and would flick at nothing to ferve him; having no regard to the Liof his Country, neither sparing his Coutrymen's Lives and Fortunes. Gualtieri's Conduct at last was such, that rendered himself odious to all. No less than three Conspiracies were

<sup>(</sup>e) Villani calls him Giulio d'Ascesi. As est and Assissi is the same, the Variation comes from the Difference of the Pronunciation. The Florentines say Ascess for Assissi, as Dante Parad. c. 11. It is a Town in the Ecclesiastical State in Umbria. I find him also called da Scess, and da Sissi—but I suppose by Fault of the Press.

were formed against him at the same time, and what is more furprifing, each was ignorant of the other; but at last breaking out, and having joined together, a general Insurrection was made against him; they besieged his Palace, resolved to have him dead or alive in their Hands. It was then, that the People would never be brought to hear of any Accommodation before he had given them up the faid William d'Assifi, his Son, and another of his Fayourites. To which Demand the Duke was forced to yield, to fave his own Life. The Manher how William d'Affifi, and his Son were put to Death by the enraged Multitude, is not to be described without Horror. The Injuries were fo fresh, the Hatred so excessive, and the Defire of recovering the ancient Liberty fo impatient, that no Room was left for Reason and Pity to work on their Minds. They like hungry Wolves fell at first on his Son, a tender Youth not above twenty Years old; but neither the Age, nor the Beauty of his Person, nor his Innocency could fave him from their Fury and Rage He was in a most barbarous Manner killed, and torn to pieces before the Father's Eyes, as a Victim for his Crimes, and himself afterwards underwent the same Fate. But their Thirst of Vengeance was not quenched with the Blood they had shed. His dead Body was exposed to all the most ignominious and cruel Treatment. They would with curious Eyes look into the Wounds, and feel them with their Hands; and that all the Senses might have a Share in the Vengeance, they bit and ate the Flesh, which some of them, to make it more relishing to the Palate, dressed as Meat.

to

in

W

W

n

in

pi

he

tin

Si

to

hi

th

Thus

Thus the wicked Minister with his Son sell a Victim of the People instead of his Master. After this the Multitude's Fury was asswaged, permitting Gualtieri to go away from Florence on Conditions. Such an End had Gualtieri's usurped Sovereignty, a violent but very short one, having lasted not a Year. The Florentines, to express their Joy, and to give Thanks to God for the Delivery from his Tyranny, and the Recovering of their Liberty, appointed an annual Festival to be celebrated on that Day, (f) and solemnized like Easter-Sunday with a proper Office, and Offerings in the Name of the Common, and all the Companies of the Arts.

Gualtieri is not only to be blamed as unjust in attempting to usurp the Government of Florence, instead of being the Protector of it, which he was called in for; but also for his impolitical Conduct in the Pursuit of his Intent. The wrong Steps he took in this Regard were fo many, that it would be too long to examine them here. Perhaps I shall have an Occasion of doing it another Time. It will be enough for the present, to take Notice of the Want of Courage he shewed at last, in capitulating with the Florentines, and going away in so shameful a Manner. Since he was once brought to that Pass, either to die or conquer, he ought, instead of shutting himself up with his Friends in his Palace, to have with those few Forces he had, withstood the Fury of the People, and try the Fortune of Arms; and tho' in a bad Cause, choose rather to die like a valiant Man, than to furrender him-

f

r

C

el

ir

a ne e-

us

(e) The 26th of July, St. Anna's Festival.

felf on so ignominious Conditions. Victory might have established his Power, and Death would have preserved to Posterity his odious Name, but with the Eloge of a brave and resolute Man, like Cataline by Florus. 'Pulcherina morte si pro patria sic concidisset. Or Curio by Lucan.' Fortis Virtute coacta. But Bravery and Resolution are seldom to be found in a cruel tyrannical Mind. The Conscience of the Crimes snatches the Arms from the Hand of a Tyrant, and turns them against him, who not daring to withstand her Threatnings, pursued every-where by her, runs away as a Coward with Trembling and Consusion.

of

 $H\epsilon$ 

WI

vel

tio

wh

tice

ma

Eng.

ei d

tı

di

· P

d'

ric

br vi de

te

fic

po

ec

# NUMBER XX.

Duke Valentin—(a) Rimirro da Orco] Our Author expressy says, that Rimirro da Orco, or Remiro d'Orco as we read in Machiavel, was beheaded—Col far tagliar la testa. And with him

<sup>(</sup>a) In Sansovino's History of the Family of the Orsini, he is called Orco Rimiro, but no doubt by the Printer's Fault. Our Author calls him Rimirro: Machiavel, Remiro, one of the English Translators Romiro. All these Varieties are from the Difference of the Pronunciation. I take the Name to be the same with the Spanish Ramiro, or Ramirez. The Latin Authors have render'd it Remerus, and Remirus Orcus, as if the Surname had been Orco, and so he is called by Santacroce [Secretaria d'Apollo, pag. 553.] Remiro Orco. I would rather have said de Orco, because I think the Surname to have been taken from the Place where he was born, or from whence the Family came. In the Country of Brescia there are two Towns called by the same Name Orci, distinguished by Vecchi and Nuovo; the last is more renowned.

1-

it

d

of

id

10

r-

rd

ur

OT

e-

ith

ım

he

ult.

e of

are

The reus, l by o. I name

, or

escia

ftin

him agrees Forstner (b). Remirum Orcum-Capite pletti justit. The French Translator (c) of Machiavel. Couper sa tete. And both the English Translators. His Head chopt off—His Head to be struck off. Thus rendering Machiavel's Words, Lo fece mettere in duoi pezzi. I will fet down the whole Passage of Machiavel, not only for the Reader's better Information concerning this Action of Duke Valentine, which that Politician takes a most particular Notice, and gives a full Account of: But also to mark some Faults committed by the French and English Translators of this Passage (d). perche questa parte è degna di notizia, & da ' esser imitata da altri, non voglio lasciarla in Preso che hebbe il Duca la Romagna, trovandola effer stata comandata da Signori impotenti, quali piu tosto haveano spogliato i loro sudditi che correttoli, & dato loro piu materia ' di disunione che d'Unione, tanto che quella ' Provincia era piena di latrocinii, di Brighe, & ' d'Ogni altra sorte d'Insolenza, giudicò necessario a volerla ridurre pacifica & obediente al braccio Regio, darli unbuono governo. Però vi prepose messer Remiro d'Orco, huomo crudele & expedito, al quale dette pienissima potestà. Costui in brieve tempo la ridusse pacifica & unita con grandissima riputatione. Di poi giudicò il Duca non essere a proposito si eccessiva autorità, perche dubitava non diven-

(d) In his Book Il Principe, cap. 7.

<sup>(</sup>b) Not. Polit. in Corn. Tacit. Annal. 1. 1. pag. 32. edit. Francof. 1662. in 800.

<sup>(</sup>c) Gaspar Dauvergne. Roven 1577. in 12mo.

[ 94 ]

fett!

to!

ing!

· Pec

' the

'Cit

' fon

· he

cre:

· ill (

· Pec

· Cru

from

' nist

cau!

and

' Ma

' ger

on

not

ient,

above

every

them

ticula

Orco

the N

occafi

ther (

appre

laying

red t

Peop

frone.

Occa

fettled

a w

tasse odiosa. Preposevi un giudicio civile nel mezzo della Provincia, con un Presidente eccellentissimo, dove ogni Città havea l'Avvocato sono. Et perche cognosceva le rigorosità passate haverli generato qualche odio, per purgare gli animi di quelli Popoli, & guadagnarse seli in tutto, volse mostrare che se crudeltà alcuna era seguita, non era nata da lui, ma da l'acerba natura del Ministro. Et preso sopra questo occasione, lo sece mettere una mattina in duoi pezzi a Cesena in su la piazza, con un pezzo di legno & un coltello sanguinoso à canto. La serocità del quale spettacolo sece quelli popoli in un tempo rimanere sodissatti & stupidi—

· stupidi -We have two English Translations of Machiavel's Prince, one by Edward Dacres, and another by an anonymous Hand, and which is printed in the Edition of Machiavel's Works in Folio; according to this the above quoted Italian Passage, is thus translated. ' And because this Part is not unworthy to be known for 'Imitation's Sake, I will not pass it in Silence. When the Duke had posses'd himself of Ro-' magna, finding it had been governed by poor and inferior Lords, who had rather robbed ' than corrected their Subjects, and given them ' more occasion for Discord than Unity; info-' much as that Province was full of Robberies, Riots, and all Manner of Infolencies, to reduce ' them to Unanimity and Subjection to Monar-' chy, he thought it necessary to provide them a ' good Governor, and thereupon he conferred ' that Charge upon Romiro d'Orco with absolute 'Power, tho' he was a cruel and a passionate ' Man. Orco was not long before he had 2

a

n

à

0-

15

ks

a-

ile

or

ce.

20-

or

ed

em

fo-

ies,

uce

nar-

na

red

lute

nate

had

tled

fettled it in Peace, with no small Reputation to himself. Afterwards, the Duke apprehending fo large a Power might grow odious to the People, he erected a Court of Judicature in the middle of the Province, in which every City had its Advocate; and an excellent Per-' fon was appointed to prefide. And because he discover'd, that his pass'd Severity had created him many Enemies; to remove that 'ill Opinion, and recover the Affection of the ' People, he had a Mind to show, that if any Cruelty had been exercised, it proceeded not ' from him, but from the Arrogance of his Mi-' nifter; and for their further Confirmation, he caused the said Governor to be apprehended, ' and his Head chop'd off one Morning in the Market-place at Cesena, with a wooden Dagger on one Side of him, and a bloody Knife on the other; the Ferocity of which Spectacle 'not only appeas'd, but amaz'd the People for a while.' I'll not take upon myself at preient, to examine how exact and faithful is the abovefaid Translation, and that of Dacres, in every Particular. I shall only take Notice in them both of some Mistakes, and those in particular, that regard the Manner of Remiro d' Orco's Death. In the first Place he misunderstood the Meaning of those Words. Et preso sopra questo occasione, by translating them. And for their further Confirmation he caused the same Governor to be apprehended. And in the like Manner Dacres, laying hold on him; as if preso was to be referred to Remiro d'Orco; and sopra questo to the People. Whereas preso is to be join'd with occasione. Preso occasione, having taken hold of the Occasion. And fopra questo, to the Duke's Defign.

fa

do

by

R

" (

not

tell

to

But

Me

loui

Woo

into

a b

mak

un.

bloo

I in

he

two,

Terr

anot

while the fy'd

Colm

T

fign. So that Machiavel's Meaning is, that the Duke Valentine, in order to persuade the People that those Cruelties formerly committed, did not proceed from him, and so to gain the Affection of the People, which he had lost on that Account, charged his Governor Remiro a'Orco with them; and upon this he took hold of the Occasion to put him to death. The French Translator has justly expressed the Sense of the Words. Si bien que prenant occasion sur cela—

In the second Place, both the English Translators, as well as the French, make Machiavel say, that Remiro d'Orco was beheaded in the Market-place of Cesena; whereas the true Meaning of what he says, is, that the Duke caused one Morning to be exposed to the publick View, in the Market-place at Cesena, the Body of Remiro d'Orco cut in two, according to the Latin Translation made by Sylvester Telius, (e) 'Atque ad hanc rem ornandam (are his Words) occasione

arrepta judicem illum, Remerum Orcum, in

duas partes discissim summo quodam mane frusto ligni, ac cruento gladio ad ejus latus

s aptato, in Cesenatium medio foro stratum de-

ponendum curavit—

Laurenzo Capelloni, an Italian Author too, relates this Fact after the same Manner, according to the French Translation of his Work. (I have not the Italian Copy by me (f.) ' Le sit un' matin mettre sur la place de Cesena en deux

(f) Les divers Discours, printed at Troyes 1595, in 800.

<sup>(</sup>e) Silvestro Teglio, an Italian of Fuligno, in the Dutchy of Spoleto, and one of those learned Men, that left Italy on Account of Religion in the 16th Century.

[ 97 ]

pieces sur une table. Trajano Boccalini also says, that Remiro d'Orco was quarter'd. He does not mention his Name, but 'tis evident by the Narration of the Fact, that he means Remiro d'Orco (b) 'Il Duca Valentino doppo haver satte commettere un infinità di sceleratezize dal suo Vicario criminale nelle Città di Romagna, mostrando che tutto susse succeduto contro la sua ottima intentione, consegnò il povero Giudice all' imprecationi e bestemie de,

Popoli, che lo vollero in quarti.

the

ople

not

ction

Ac-

Orco

rench

the

2—

anfla-

l fay,

rket-

ig of

d one

w, in

emiro

Latin

Atque

afione

n, in

mane

latus

n de-

r too,

ording

I have

fit un

1 deux

Dutchy Italy on

in 800.

pieces

In the third place, the French Translator has not these Words. Con un pezzo di legno & un coltello sanguinoso a canto, a Particular that was not to be neglected in fo remarkable an Execution. But the English Translators have quite alter'd the The Anonymous has very ridiculously transformed un pezzo di legno, a Piece of Wood, into a wooden Dagger; and E. Dacres, into a Gibbet: he was left upon a Gibbet with a bloody Sword by his Side — And Capelloni makes this Piece of Wood to be a Leaver, avec un Levier. The Piece of Wood, and the bloody Knife were laid on each Side of his Body; I imagine, as the Instruments of the Death he was put to, that is, killed, and cut in two, as Butchers do Sheep; and by this to strike Terror on the People.

The said English Translators have committed another Fault in rendering in un tempo for a while. They should have said, at once, or at the same Time; that is, the People were satisfied.

fy'd and furpris'd at the same Time.

<sup>(</sup>g) Offerv. sopra gli An. di C. Tacito, 1. 1mo, p. 66. Cosmopoli. 1677. in 4to.

F

W L

th

go

in

Pl

Va

his

Ro

fal

Vic

of

thr

bin

Do

his

fter

rani

who

of v

with

and

(i

The Fact being thus, as it is described by Machiavel, how can it be faid with our Author. that Remiro d'Orco was beheaded? Machiavel's Authority in what concerns the Duke Valentine's Actions, is, without dispute, to be preferred to any other Writer; confidering the Time he liv'd in, and the Knowledge he had of the Duke Valentine's Affairs. Neither do I remember to have met with any other Author contemporary, that has made mention of it. But what more furprifes me, is, that Tomaso Tomasi, who many Years after Machiavel writ the Life of that Duke at large; and Gregorio Leti, who gave a new Edition of Tomasi's Work, with Notes and Additions; yet both have been so negligent, as not to take Notice of it. I know no other way to reconcile our Author's with Machiavel's Account, than to suppose, as it is commonly practifed in the like Executions, that Remiro d'Orco was beheaded at first, and afterwards his Body cut into two; and then expos'd to the publick This was the Spectacle that Machiavel La Ferocità del quale Spettacolo; calls fierce. which he could not have faid, had Remiro d' Orco been only beheaded. Such an Execution having nothing of extraordinary Cruelty in it. Besides, had he been only beheaded, to what Intent could the bloody Knife have been expos'd to the People's View? Instead of Coltello Knife, Machiavel would have faid Mannaia, which is the proper Instrument for beheading, used in Italy; from whence I suppose the Scotch Name Maiden may be derived. I know no other Particular of this President of Romagna Remiro d'Orco but what S

d

le

e

to

у,

re

ny

nat

a

nd

as

vay

Ac-

rac-

rco

ody

lick

avel

olo;

o d'

ition

n it.

what

pos'd

Inite,

is the

Italy;

Laiden

lar of but what what I read in Sansovino (i): which is, that Pope Alexander the VIth, with his Son the Duke Valentine, intending by Treachery to feize on the Estate of Guido Baldo da Montefeltro, Duke of Urbino, and at the same Time on his Person to put him out of the World, the Pope had beforehand fent Order to Remiro d'Orco to march with a great Army against the Town of San Leo, under Colour of pursuing the Banditi; but in reality, to lie in wait for Guido Baldo, in case, that escaping from Valentine's Power, he should go there to fave himself, as they thought he would in all Probability do, that Town being in his Territory, and a very strong and fortified In fact, Guido Baldo, at the hearing that Valentine's Troops had furpris'd some Places in his State, run away from Urbino, taking the Road of San Leo; and he would infallibly have fallen into Remiro's Hands, had not he by Providence met a Shepherd, who gave him notice of the Danger he was in, and conducted him through Bye-ways out of the Territory of Urbino into that of Cesena, a Town then under the Dominion of the Venetians.

The Fate, that Remiro d'Orco met with from his Master Valentine, commonly attends a Minister, who is the Instrument of the Master's Tyranny. He is not only hated by the People, that suffer and groan under it, but by his Master also, who, being conscious that himself is the Author of what the Minister has done, looks upon him with a suspicious and fearful Eye, as an Accuser and Reproacher of his wicked Will and Actions.

So

<sup>(</sup>i) Hist. di Casa Orsina, lib. 9. p. 128.

So that he'll be ready on any Occasion, to lay the Blame on him, and facrifice him to the People's Wrath and Indignation; and by this means attempt to free himself from the Imputation of Cruelty among his Subjects, and the Fear of being reproach'd with his Crimes while his Minister continues in Favor and unpunish'd.

· Crudelitatis Ministri, says a Politician (k), ut

exprobrantes a Principibus aspiciuntur, adeoque

haud rarò omnis sævitiæ infamia in hos exone-

ratur.

### NUMBER XXI.

In the Beginning of Nero's Empire. The first five Years of Nero's Reign were remarkable not only for the wholesome Regulations and Laws then enacted; but also for the Marks he gave of his good Disposition to Liberality, Clemency, and Affability, which he neglected no Occasion to shew (a). Infomuch that it was by some reported, the Emperor Trajan was wont to fay, that all the former Princes fell very short of the five Years of Nero (b). Had Nero continued in the same Way thro' the whole Course of his Life, his Name, no doubt, would have been remember'd with Honor; whereas now, from what he did afterwards, it is mentioned with Horror and Deteftation, and is become the Name of a most infamous and execrable Tyrant.

fro

Co

ob

an

ha

M

de

hir

pli

Manana Th

Vio

ow

acc

Co

jud

of

kno

of t

he

A

beli

to ]

tha

Por

and

of

not Me all

<sup>(</sup>k) Forfiner. Not. Polit. ad C. Tacit. Annal. 1. 3. p. m.

<sup>(</sup>a) Sueton. in Ner. c. 10.

<sup>(</sup>b) Aurel. Vict. Epict.

from whence was this great Change in Nero's Conduct? From the Indulgence, as Dion Cassius observes (c), of Burrus and Seneca, whose Care and Direction he was committed to, and who had the greatest Sway with him. Thefe two Men, tho' much esteem'd for Wisdom and Prudence; yet the more they ought to be blamed for the wrong Way they took with Nero, to bring him to the Knowledge of Virtue, and the Application to publick Affairs. He was a young Man, naturally inclined to Pleasures and Vices, and averse from good and serious Application. They, instead of curbing in some measure the Violence of his Passions, rather encouraged them in a full Career, by fuffering him to follow his own Pleasures and Diversions. This they did, according to Dion's Acccount, thinking that Course of Nero's Life would be of no great Prejudice to the State, and that by a full Enjoyment of Pleasures he would foon grow tired, and know by Experience the Vanity and Weakness of them; so that of his own Accord, they hoped, he would renounce them, and take a better Turn. A very strange Way of thinking! But who can believe, two fuch wife Men, as Burrus and Seneca, to have been ignorant, or not have confidered, that a young Man wilfull, fenfible of his fuperior Power, and brought up in the greatest Luxury, and to an entire Liberty, far from growing tired of Pleasures by the Enjoyment of them, would not be more corrupted and debauched? That Men become very wicked by Degrees, and not all at once. That Crimes, like Rings in a Chain,

st

ot

of

y,

on

re-

LY,

he

in his

een

om

rith

me

But

. m.

rom

<sup>(</sup>c) Lib. 61.

draw on one another, is so plain and evident by Reason and Experience, that no Man of any Sense and Knowledge of the World could ever have been ignorant of it, much less Burrus and Besides it was too notorious for to doubt of Nero's vicious Nature. She discovered herfelf very early, and tho' at the Beginning she did work but flowly and privately, and under the Shelter of Youth (an Age subject to Errors and Irregularities) yet even then she was so strong and active, that any Body could not but fee, that Nero's Vices were by Nature implanted in him; fo that they were her's, and not the Vices of Youth (d). And who should or could have fooner, and better known Nero's Temper and Inclinations, but those two great wife Men, who were conftant Attenders upon him, knew him from his Infancy, and whose Direction and Instruction he had been intrusted to? Was it not then their Duty to have used a most particular Diligence, and imployed the fittest Means imaginable to prevent the Growth, if not possible to extirpate those vicious Seeds by a timely and good Discipline; and not, as they did by their Indulgence, fupply them with fresh Nourishment, so as to let them take a deeper Root? Can we charge them with fo gross a Mistake? No. What shall we think of them then? Would it be too rash in me, if I should fay, that the View they had in this Conduct was

T

h

tin

fic

fre fu m ov

to

<sup>(</sup>d) Sueton in Ner. cap. 26. Petulantiam, libidinem, luxuriam, avaritiam, crudelitatem, sensim quidem primo & occulte, velut juvenili errore exercuit: sed ut tunc quoque dubium nemini soret, Naturæ illa vitia, non ætatis esse.

their particular Interest? That is, to have a greater Influence on Nero, and by it to keep in their Hands that governing Power, which they had taken away from Agrippina We know too well, that it has been an ordinary Trick of the Governors, and Favourites of Princes, to indulge the Passions of their Masters, in order to divert their Minds from the Application to public Affairs, taking upon themselves the whole Administration of the State; and by that Means become Masters both of the Prince and the People. In every Nation we may find Instances of this old minifterial Practice; and in our own, not to mention others, we have Cardinal Wolfey, who by this Engine raifed himself up to that exorbitant Height of Power he had under Henry the Eighth. Indeed we must acknowledge, that Burrus and Seneca are not to be compared to the chief Ministers of latter Ages: Their Conduct plainly flewing, that far from abusing of the Power they had, the Roman Empire, by the Testimony of Trajan, was never before so well governed as in those five Years of Nero, whilst they steer'd the Helm of the Government. They were Men fit for that Post, and it would have been happy for the Romans had they continued in it. But for all this, we ought to confider, that wife and prudent Men are not always free from the Ambition of Power; and that when fuch Men have once attained it, they will make a good Use of it to their Master's, their own, and their Country's Interest. But, alas! to meet with fuch chief Ministers is too great an Happiness for a Country to be often enjoyed. Let Burrus

t

n e

a

ft

ot

a

ey

esh.

ber

a

em uld

was

, lu-

0 &

oque e.

heir

Seneca be praised for their Burrus and Knowledge and Wisdom, yet both were Courtiers, and Courtiers, if I may fay, by Profession; living constantly at Court, and concerning themfelves with all the Transactions, and Cabals there formed: fuch Courtiers, as they were, could not but aspire to arrive at Power, Riches, and Honours: things that are not to be got without having the Prince's Favour; and how could they obtain this without Flattery, Diffimulation, Artifices, Intrigues, and fervile Means to please and indulge his Inclinations? And the more, in fo corrupt a court as the Roman then was, and under a Prince fo inclined to Vices as Nero. Whoever has not the like Talents, neither will follow this Conduct, he is an improper Person to be a Courtier, and can have no Hope of being ever raifed to any Post, but much less to become a Prime Minister.

Besides are we not to be surprised at those two Men, one renowned for Gravity of Manners, and the other professing to be a Stoick Philosopher, for fuffering a young Prince, and fuch as Nero, to pass his Time in Pleasures and Riots? Was this their Conduct confistent with the Gravity of Manners, or the Precepts of the Stoic Philosophy? No, very far from it. Have we not just Reason therefore to suspect, that a private Interest made them act contrary to what they outwardly appeared and professed to be? And what could have been this Interest, but, as I faid before, to have in their Hands the whole Management of the public Affairs? It was this private Interest that joined and made them agree so well together in the Direction of their Master, tho

tie

ad

tho' they differed in their Manners (e). not the only Action of Burrus, that puts his Gravity of Manners in doubt; not to descend to every particular Action, did not he act like a true Courtier, and a wicked Sycophant, in being the first to applaud Nero for the Murder of his Mother? Sending on that Account his Officers to congratulate with him; and this in order to relieve by a most base and abominable Flattery Nero's Mind, from those cruel Torments he justly suffered in his Conscience by the Hor-

ror of the Crime (f).

,

1-

re

ot

0-

ut

ld

n,

ile

fo

ler

10-

ol-

to

ing

me

WO

ers,

10-

1 as

its?

jra-

toic

we

pri-

vhat

be? t, as hole this

gree

Iter,

tho

I will not charge him or Seneca, with having been any Way concerned in contriving Agrippina's Death, tho' both are not altogether free from being suspected of it; yet certain it is, that after Agrippina's Escape from the first Attempt made against her Life, Nero, sending for both, asked their Advice, what was to be done in that Case, whether he should have her killed or not. They then far from declaring their Opinion against the Murder, or taking any Step to prevent it, behaved themselves in such a crafty, but mean Manner, as to plainly shew their Approbation of the Execution of it. Is this the Way of acting like a Man of a firm and constant Mind? Or as a true Stoic Philosopher? Would not such a one have chosen rather to perish, than to give any Countenance to a Parricide? As for Seneca's Character, if what Dion Cassius (g) fays of him

(f) Tacit. An. lib. 14. cap. 10.

<sup>(</sup>e) Burrus — gravitate morum. Seneca — comitate honesta. Tacit. An. lib. 13. cap. 2.

<sup>(</sup>g) Dion, lib. 61. p. m. 694. & lib. 62. pag. 713.

be true (and there is very little Reason to believe the contrary) he was a Stoic Philosopher indeed, I mean, like one of those, that are exposed to ridicule, cried down, and detested for their Vices both by the Latin and Greek Authors. A vain, ambitious, covetous, hypocritical Man, a base Flatterer, given to loose and abominable Passions, and what surpasses all, a most wicked and infamous Preceptor, who instead of inspiring, according to his Duty, and Profession, into the tender Mind of his Scholar a true Sense of Virtue and Morality, both by Precepts and Example, corrupted and debauched him, to satisfy his own unnatural Lust.

After all this, would it appear strange to think that Seneca and Burrus had their private Interest and View in indulging, and suffering Nero to sollow such a Course of Life, which they could not but disapprove, as the most pernicious for a young Man viciously inclined; and which instead of correcting, would infallibly have corrupted his Nature more and more, and prove at last to be his own, and the Empire's Ruin? And what could they expect after all for themselves, but their own Destruction? A Fate commonly attending the like Ministers, and Prince's Favourites.

Another Cause of this Change in Nero for the worst, was the Conversation of the young People he had about him, the Companions of his Pleasures. Those wretched Creatures made their Court to him by flattering his Inclinations, and encouraging him in his Pleasures and Debauches; and taking Advantage of the Freedom and Familiarity he used with them, lost no Opportunity to give him an Aversion, for his Governors, as if it were below his Greatness

E

a

d

n

C

W

d

I

tl

ti

re

ti

0

ar

th

and Dignity to be dependent on, and directed in his Actions by them, over whom he had an absolute Power, and not they over him. Was be then afraid of them? Why to be treated in that Manner? By these and the like Suggestions, which young People eafily give the Hearing to, Nero begun to renounce all Shame, and to openly despise the Remonstrances of Burrus and Seneca; giving himself up to his own diforderly Appetites, and vicious Inclinations. But who ought to be blamed for this? Burrus and Seneca themselves, who did not take that due Care necessary for a young Man's Education, in chusing sober and modest Men for his Companions, who by their good Behaviour might have had fome Influence on his Conduct, and hindered him at least to run into Excess. Did they not know, that bad Company foon corrupts Youth? That nothing is fo dangerous and prejudicial to a young Prince, as to have flatterring debauched Fellows about him? How can we excuse those two wife Men for such a Conduct? How can we think they had no particular Interest in it? I am perswaded they had. They thought, and hoped, as I have already mentioned, by this Indulgence to gain more of Nero's Favour, and keep in their Hands the Power of managing the public Affairs; Seneca in particular, who had been fo familiar with him. I make no doubt, that in that Cafe, the Roman Empire would have been well governed; but why? Because they were Men of Sense, Men of Parts, and Ability, that had a good Knowledge of the World, and knew what it was, and how to govern. But all this will not shew them to have been less ambitious of Power. It

P 2

is

was indeed very unfortunate both for them and the Roman Empire, that they were disappointed in their Aim; yet it must be owned, that the Ambition of Power made them to take so wrong a Step, as to indulge Nero's vicious Inclinations; and that by this Indulgence Nero changed for the worst, from whence at last he fell into Ex-

ni

ch

VE

hi

W

m

G

w

 $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{I}}$ 

for

mi

D

of

M

on

ter

caf

COL

nu.

Gu

cess of Tyranny.

I'll conclude with one Observation more on this Subject; which is, that Nero's Government for the first five Years of his Reign is not to be supposed to have been in reality so good, as one would imagine by the Notice taken of it, and from the Report of that Saying of Trajan before mentioned: No, but so far, as it is compared with what proved to be afterwards under the fame Nero, and what had formerly been under those Monsters of Princes, Tiberius, Caligula, and Claudius, his Predecessors. ought to consider, that after a Succession of Tyrants, any Prince, who is tolerable, would pass for an extraordinary good one; and an indifferent Administration of the Public under him be extolled as the wifest and best of all. judge of the Goodness and Badness of their Governors, and Government, as they do of other Things, not by their real Merit, but by comparing them with others; praising or blaming them accordingly. It was by this Comparison that Nero's five Years Reign were fo much commended and admired. The Author, who relates the Report of Trajan's Saying (which by the by is not without Hyperbole) shews by his Words, that he was of this Opinion. Nero, fays he, for the Space of five Years appeared to be such a Prince, as might be borne with; from whence,

## [ 109 ]

whence, he adds, some took Occasion to report that Saying of Trajan, &c. 'Iste (Nero) quinquennio tolerabilis visus; inde quidam prodiderunt, Trajanum solitum dicere, Procul distare
cunctos Principes à Neronis quinquennio.

#### NUMBER XXII.

Neither Commodus, Perennius, &c.] Perennius, or Perennis, Captain of the Guards, and chief Minister and Favourite of the Emperor Commodus, affumed fuch a Power, that the Government of the whole Empire was intirely in The Historians do not agree in his his Hand. Character. If we believe Dion Cassius, (a) he was a very worthy Man, most moderate and modest, free from coveting either Riches or Glory, a faithful and upright Minister of State, who had at Heart the public Good, and the Preservation of the Empire. That he was forced by the negligent Conduct of his Master in minding nothing, but Pleasures, and all Sorts of Debaucheries, to take upon himself the Charge of the whole Government. In short, he was a Man undeferving of the Fate he met with, not only in Regard to himself, but to the public Interest in particular. The only Reproach he casts upon him, is his Ambition of Power; to compass which he contrived the Death of Paternus, his Colleague in the Command of the Guards; which afterwards proved his Ruin: The Soldiers upon any Disappointment laying

f

S

s s

e-

m

0-

ler

m-

ng

m-

reby

his

ero,
l to

nce,

<sup>(</sup>a) Lib. 62.

their Complaints against him, and being angry at him as the Author of it. The fame did the Army, that was in Britain: they mutiny'd against him, and fent some of their Body to accuse him to the Emperor, of defigning to advance his Son to the Imperial Dignity. Commodus delivered him up to the Soldiers of the Guards he was Commander of, who put him to Death, &c. Thus far Dion. But Herodian (b) and Lampridius (c) have drawn his Character in quite different Colours. He is represented as a most wicked Minister of State, ambitious, rapacious, infolent, and cruel. It was he, by their Account, that indulged his Master in Pleasures and Debaucheries; in order to get the governing Power into his Hands. That by Artifices and Calumnies he deftroyed or kept off from Court all the ancient, and faithful Ministers of M. Aurelius, Commodus's Father, so as to have no-body near his Master but himself; and who, to enrich himself by their Spoils, advised him, not to let anyMan of great Rank have Power, but to root them out: By which Means he treasured up immense Riches. He had the Ear of his Master open only to his wicked Suggestions, which could not fail of having the Approbation from fo vicious and corrupted a Mind, as that of Commodus was; so that he had him intirely under his Direction. And what can a bad Minister of State wish for more, to execute his ill Defigns, and obtain his particular End? This could not but prove a very heavy Oppreffion to the Roman People; but what made their

(b) Lib. 1

Con-

Con

kne

of t

foon

of th

low-

defp

his N

as to

their

aimii

of hi

and !

put

with

Hero

rent

ftoria

impo

Whi

Who

Cred

tice

Date

cerity

Dion

the L

and

in Xi

we r

but fo

both Comm they of th

difagi

<sup>(</sup>c) In Vita Commodi Anton. inter Hist. Ang. Script.

Condition the more miserable was, that they knew, the Minister to be the Cause, and Author of the Evils they suffered. The People will sooner bear to be scourged by the absolute Hand of their Prince, than by the servile one of a Fellow-Subject. And they will never hate, and despise the Prince so much, than when they see, his Minister to have such an Insluence over him, as to make him act for his own private End to their Oppression, and against the public Good.

8

r

.

1

ıl

i

1-

ve

ns

he

g-

p-

id,

in-

oad

his

d?

ref-

neir

Herodian goes further, charging him with aiming at the Empire. He gives an Account of his Defign, the Means he took to execute it, and how it was discovered; for which he was put to Death. I will not trouble the Reader with it, he may for his Satisfaction look into Herodian's History. Thus we find two different Characters of Perennius given by the Hiflorians, one so opposite to the other, that 'tis impossible both should fit the same Person. Which of the two shall we believe the true one? Whom of the Historians shall we prefer for Credit? Dion or Herodian. I will take no Notice of Lampridius, as an Historian of later Date. Neither will I put in doubt Xiphilin's Sincerity in the Abridgment he has left us of Dion's History, of which this Part relating to the Life of Commodus with many others are lost; and we know no more of it, but what we read in Xipbilin. What this Author therefore fays, we must take as from Dion. Now it cannot but feem very strange, that Dion and Herodian both living at the fame Time, and at Rome in Commodus's Reign: both professing, that what they write was not from other Historians, but of their own Knowledge; should yet so much disagree in the Character of Perennius, a Man not

of a private Condition; but of the highest Rank and Power in that Time, as the chief Favourite and prime Minister of the Roman Emperor. I cannot deny, that Dion's Testimony is of a great Weight, as an Historian of Credit, particularly in what he relates of his own Time; confidering the Advantage he had over other Historians to be better informed of the public Transactions, and the Characters of the Men then in Power; having been a Senator, Conful more than once, intrusted with the Government of Cities and Provinces, confidered and favoured by Empe. rors, and familiarly conversing with them. fides, which more concerns the prefent Question, he is an Historian not charged with Flattery. And the Life of Commodus, which he writ before the undertook the general Roman History, had the Approbation of the Emperor Severus, and others, Men of Distinction I suppose, that had the Perusal of it. Notwithstanding all this to fupport Dion's Authority, I am more inclined to prefer Herodian's Testimony to Dion's in this Point, upon the following Reasons.

First. Because Herodian is so full and particular in what he says of Perennius, that he leaves no room to doubt of the Truth of it; and should we doubt of it, we might in the same Manner doubt of all we read in the ancient Historians, who give us no other Guarantee for their Credit, but their own Word; and we have no other Reason to take their Word for what they say, but the Esteem, that ancient Writers, either Contemporaries or of subsequent Ages had of their Ability and Sincerity. Tho Herodian, like the rest of the Historians, has been taxed with Mistakes, or suspected in some Particulars (which neither Dion is free from) yet

k

te

I

et

ly

ng

to

ns,

r;

ce,

ind

pe.

Be-

on,

ery.

fore

had

and

had

s to

d to

this

par-

at he

f it;

n the

e an-

aran-

and

rd for

ncient

quent

Tho'

, has

fome

) yet he

he has deserved to have a Rank among the good Historians, by the Judgment of the Learned. And to come to the Point in Hand, I do not remember, that in what he has writ of Commodus, he has ever been charged with Falshood; and we have no other Author, that I know who has given a larger and more distinct Account of that Emperor's Life. And as for Perennius's Character, we find, he has been followed by Lampridius, who was well acquainted with his History, as were also Spartianus, and Capitolinus, all three making mention of him in their Histories.

My Second Reason is, Because it seems Dion was absent from Rome for some while in Commodus's Reign, and about the Time when Perennius was in Power. Since he, speaking of the Occurrences of that Time, mentions his being at Cilicia with his Father, then Governor of that Province: So that he might not have been so well informed of Perennius's Actions, as Herodian might have been, who was present at Rome.

But Lastly. And what, to speak freely my Mind, prevails with me to take Herodian's Side, is because there is less Reason to suspect him, than Dion of Partiality; he was but a private Man'tis true, but much considered for his Learning and Capacity, and never had any Share in public Employments, as Dion and his Father had. Dion's Father, as we have said before, was Governor of Cilicia under Commodus, whilst Perennius was Minister of State, and in the Height of Power. Indeed we are not assured, that he was a Creature of Perennius, or that he had any particular Obligation to, or Friendship

[ 114 ]

for him; nevertheless, 'tis not improbable to think, according to the usual Way of prime Ministers in disposing of public Places, that he was by Perennius's Favour or Protection promoted or continued in that Charge of Honour and Authority. So that on this Regard Dion might have been partial in his Character; the Sense of Gratitude prevailing with him so far, as to prefer that Character, which would be advantageous to the Memory of that Minister of State, and which, I do not doubt, many of his Favourites and Friends gave him. Indeed he charges him with having been the Author of Paternus's Death, not bearing to have a Colleague in the Command of the Guards. But what is this Charge in Comparison of the Crimes and Wickedness, that Herodian charges him with? Or what in Comparison of the Encomium Dion bestows upon him? Besides, that the Fact might have been so evident, and generally known, and the Report of it fo current, that it would have exposed his Partiality too openly, had he either pass'd it over in Silence, or palliated it; and then the Good he faid of him would by that have loft all Credit. therefore very artfully acknowledges a Fact, which could not be deny'd, in order to speak in Commendation of him with a greater Affurance, and Appearance of Truth; and this he could more eafily do, by charging (as he does) Commodus with all the flagitious Actions imputed to Perennius. The Character of that Emperor was fo odious and abominable, that nothing could have been faid of a Tyrant, that would not have been believed of him. To lay the Faults of the Prince on the prime Minister, and the Faults of the latter on the Prince according to Men's particular

al

W

in

th

le

th

M

all

at

of

 $D_i$ 

as

pri

ma

tera

ticular Inclinations, is what we know, and fee practifed in every Monarchy. But I think, Dion should not have faid, that Perennius had the whole Care and Management of all the military, civil, and public Affairs; (d) neither that Commodus did not mind at all what concerned the Government. Since when a Minister of State is invested with such a Power, and his Mafter is so neglectful of the Care of the State, any Man, but, above all, the fuffering People will; and with just Reason charge the prime Minister with the ill Conduct and Administration of the public Affairs, and with all the Mischiefs and Oppressions befalling them, as the Cause and Author of the Evil. Besides, if Perennius was of that Temper, as not to bear a Colleague in the Command of the Guards; infomuch that his Ambition pushed him so far, as to contrive the Death of Paternus, as Dion himself acknowledges; How can we after this reasonably think, that he would have bore any other to have a Share with him in fo high a Post, as that of prime Minister? And that he would not have used all Artifices and Power to prevent it, and taken at last the same Measures with his Competitor, that he had taken with Paternus?

S

n

1,

d

n-

0-

of

es,

nd

ır-

00

ce,

ot

He

act,

z in

nce,

ould

odus

ren-

s fo

been the

ts of

par-

cular

Again, I do not pretend to fay, that a Man of that Quality, and in that Station of Life as Dion, is not in a better Way, than a private Man, as Herodian, to know the publick Transactions, and the secret Engines of a Prince's, and his prime Minister's Actions. Certainly he is, and may, if he takes the proper Measures, come at

<sup>(</sup>d) Perennis non modo negotia militaria, sed etiam cætera tractare, atque adeo Reipublicæ præesse cogebatur.

the Knowledge of them. But what I fay is this. that a private Man may be less suspected of Partiality, than a Man in publick Employments, or a Courtier. The Interest that such a Man has either in fiding with, or opposing the prime Minister is greater, than a private Man can have; and therefore the Character he gives of him is more subject to be suspected of having been drawn by a partial Hand, not with the Pencil and Colours of Truth, but Interest and Passion. Besides, to judge of Things of this Nature, as the Character of Princes, or prime Ministers, does not require any particular Knowledge of public Transactions, or secret Motives of Actions. No. the Character of Persons so conspicuous in the State, and so concerned in the Government of it, cannot but be known by the People; it is the People that feels the Effects of the Goodness or Badness of a prime Minister; it is by these Effects that they judge of his Ability, Integrity, and other Qualities necessary to a Man in that high Post, whose Duty is to have the Care, and to procure the Advantage of the Public. judge of the Cause by its Effects, is a true Maxim both in natural and moral Philosophy, particularly when confirmed by a long Experience. To judge according to this Rule is the furest Way of judging of Men's Characters. Herodian, I do not doubt, being a private Man, had Perennius's Character chiefly from the Sentiments of the Public; and therefore in this Particular he deferves more Credit than Dion. Whether a prime Minister be rapacious, cruel, haughty and insolent, &c. is not a Mystery of State, which is known but to few; he will be eafily discover'd by his own Actions and Behaviour, to judge of which

or to ha

Di gu cu are No sto ve fid fel per Fa

fha the on at hir to known

15

of

tha Pec ver which there is no need of being a great Politician, or a Man of much Penetration. I will not descend to Particulars, and make the Truth of what I have said more visible, lest I should be too long

on this Subject.

r

,

d

0

n

o

of

lo

s's

e-

ne

nd

ch

r'd

of

ch

As for the Approbation the Emperor Severus, and others (Courtiers not unlikely) gave to Dion's Life of Commodus, it will not be an Argument with me, for the Truth of every Particular therein mentioned. Such Approbations are no more to be valued, than Compliments. Neither do we know how Severus, and the rest stood affected to Perennius's Memory; but fure we may be, that Dion would not have communicated his History to Severus, had he not been persuaded before hand, that what he had writ would have met with Severus's Approbation. Befides, the Testimony an Author gives of himfelf, in Praise of his Work, may be justly sufpected; and he can't expect any Credit, but as a Favour. When a Man has no other Authority for what he fays, but his own Word, the Italian is wont to fay, Il Credere è Cortesia.

Thus much concerning the different Character of Perennius given by Dion and Herodian. I shall now come to make a short Reslection upon the Fall of that Minister of State. It is agreed on every hand, that the Soldiers were displeased at him, that they mutiny'd against, and accused him to the Emperor; upon which he was put to death. A wicked Minister of State, who knows himself to be odious to the People, ought never to disoblige the Army. It is their Sword, that protects him, by keeping the oppressed People in Awe, lest they should take the Revenge on him for what they suffer from his Ill-

conduct.

Whoever has the Sword in his Hand, will be always ready to turn it against him, by whom he thinks himself injured. prime Minister should consider, as also the Character of the Men of that Profession (e).

And how they, by knowing their own Strength, and the Necessity he has of them, are apt to be foon disobliged on any small Disappointment: In which Case they will never want the Affistance of a disaffected Party, or fome of his powerful Enemies; particularly if there be a rifing Favourite, who aims at his Post, and is a Man of a daring undertaking Spirit, Thus Cleander, as Dion fays, took the Opportunity of the Army's Complaints and Accusations against Perennius, to prevail with Commodus to give him up to the Guards to be put to Death. And it is not altogether improbable, that Cleander had a Hand in what the Soldiers did, at least in fomenting their Discontent. Perennius should have been more careful to guard himself against him. A prime Minister can never be too cautious against a new Favourite; and he ought, for his own Security, to free himself from such a Competitor by fome Means or other. In Conclusion, a Prince, who will be absolute, as well as a Minister of State, who will oppress the People, and keep himself in Power in spight of their Complaints and Threatenings, and of the Artifices of his Enemies and Competitors: Both, I fay, must follow that Advice the Emperor Severus gave to

his

M

di

tha

gre

tha

nei

and

pri

(a)

paf

tio

as

Cri

wei

tha

too

hin

rity

was

Ron

him

doi

bee

exp and

<sup>(</sup>e) Lucan, 1. 10. v. 407 & 8.

Nulla fides, pietasque viris qui castra sequuntur, Venalesque manus: ibi fas, ubi maxima merces.

### [ 119 ]

a

-

n

er

or

if

ft,

it.

u-

ns to

h.

der

in

ıld

nst

ous

his

m-

on, Mi-

and

om-

s of

nust

e to

his

his Sons; and which himself had followed, as a Maxim of his Government, viz. Enrich the Soldiers, and despise all the rest (f).

## NUMBER XXIII.

Neither Severus Plautianus. I do not believe, that History can afford us an Instance of a greater Favourite, and more powerful Minister, than Plautianus was under Septimius Severus; neither at the same time of a more wicked, proud, and infolent one. A true Mark of the Power a prime Minister has with his Master! Plautianus (a) was born in Africa, of a mean Family; he passed his Youth in a low and infamous Condition, and was even condemned to Banishment, as a feditious Man, and guilty of many other Nevertheless, all these Disadvantages were not an Obstacle to his Fortune, not to say, that perhaps they laid the first Step to it. Severus took fuch an Affection for him, that he raifed him to the highest Posts of Honour and Authority, and made him so powerful and rich, that he was the greatest Man, even in Comparison of the Roman Emperors themselves; never refusing him any thing, but giving him all the Power of doing what he would, as if he in a manner had been the Emperor, and Severus his Officer. He expressed a Wish to have him for his Successor, and preferred his Daughter Fulvia Plautilla, be-

<sup>(</sup>f) Locupletate milites, cæteros omnes contemnite, apud Dion. in Sewer.

<sup>(</sup>a) Vide Herodian, 1. 3. Dion, 1.75.

fore all the most noble and beautiful Roman Ladies, to be his eldest Son Caracalla's Wife. But what was Plautianus's Merit to have deferved fo much from Severus? Tho' we know by Experience, that it is not Merit which recommends a Man to be the chief Favourite and Minister of a Prince; yet fuch a Man has always some Qualification or other, which to the Prince's Eyes at least appears, as deserving his Favour, and that Post. Now I do not find the Historians to have taken any particular Notice of what raised Plautianus to that extraordinary Degree of Favour, and Power with Severus. What they fay of him, is only relating to what he did whilft in the Height of Fortune. As for his having been Severus's Countryman, and, as some thought, of his Kindred, this might perhaps have put him in the Way of getting Preferments; but, I cannot think, this alone would have been fufficient to raise him to that Excess of Favour and Power; confidering how often the Country; men and Relations of Princes are kept under for no other Reason, but because they have that Advantage above the rest; and sometime they meet with a harder Fate.

fi

di

Vi

of

no

bl

no

cri

up

the

to

wh

tio

At

ten

def

his

Ma

oug

Po

the

fo !

Im

Neither was Plautianus's Behaviour towards the Emperor and his Family such, as to deserve his Affection. His Insolence, Ambition, and Vanity were too notorious not to be known, and too great to be borne by any other Master. Neither was Severus such a weak, timorous, or indolent and negligent Prince, as to be imposed upon, or easily to be managed and governed. No, he was of a quite different Temper. He was quick-sighted, and cunning, vigilant, active, and resolute, and took upon himself the Care and

211

e.

ed

X-

ds

ter

me

e's

ur,

ans

hat

of

nev

ilft

av-

me

aps

nts;

een

our

try-

nder

that

they

ards

erve

and

and

Nei-

r in-

oofed

rned.

He

Stive,

Care

- and

and Management of the public Affairs. we fay then, that the Likeness of Inclinations was the Cause of Plautianus's growing so great a Favourite and Minister? This is the ordinary Bond, that Nature ties Men with in Friendship. Both the Master and Minister were cruel, Lovers of Riches, ambitious, and violent in their Paffions. Both in their Youth had lived an irregular dissolute Life, and been guilty of several Crimes. Not to mention, that Severus's former Condition was not much fuperior, if not fo low as that of Plautianus's. But if that be true, which Herodian fays was reported by some, viz. That Severus had abused Plautianus when in the prime of his Youth; if this, I fay, be true, there is no more Reason to wonder either at Severus's blind Affection for him, or at Plautianus's want of Merit to be raised to such a Height of Fortune: Neither at the ill Behaviour of the Minister, The more nor at the Master's Indulgence. criminal is a Paffion, the stronger is its Power upon the Man's Heart, from the Corruption of the Understanding: and consequently it is hardly to be conquer'd. But let the Caufe have been what it will; certain it is, that Severus's Affection for *Plautianus* was exceedingly great. At the Time when Plautianus was accused of attempting against his, and his Son's Life, and of defigning to invade the Empire, and even after his Death, Severus could not forbear to give some Marks of his Affection for him. After all this ought we to be furprifed, either at the Minister's Power, Haughtiness, Insolence, and Vanity, or at the Meanness of the Romans in flattering him in to shameful a Manner as they did? Plautianus's Images were expos'd to the public View, his Statues

[122]

Statues erected not only in the Provinces, but even at Rome, and were even more in Number, and bigger, than those of the Emperors. This was done not by private Men alone, but by the Senate's Authority. The Soldiers as well as the Senators did swear by Plautianus's Fortune. and public Prayers were addressed to the Gods for the Preservation of his Health and Life. In short, Plautianus was more honoured, flattered, and feared, than the Emperor himself in every Respect. Such is the Influence, that a Prince's Favourite has upon a corrupt enflaved Nation, as the Romans were under the Yoke of an absolute Prince, and whose Will was directed by so wicked a Minister as Plautianus. Should I rehearse the particular Actions of this Man, and make Reflections upon them, it would be a Subject of too long a Discourse for my present Defign. I leave it therefore to the Reader to confult Dion Cassius and Herodian for it. This I will only fay, that the chief Cause of Plautianus's Ruin was his Vanity and Ambition in giving his Daughter for a Wife to Caracalla, Severus's eldest Son; and his infolent Behaviour with that young Prince, and other near Relations of Severus; not to mention his Calumnies against the Empress, and the outrageous Treatment she receiv'd from him; from all which he drew upon himself the Ill-will and Hatred of them. As for what my Author Telomei fays here of him, viz. That he was punish'd by Severus, it is a Fact contradicted by the Testimony of the two above-mentioned Historians Dion and Herodian. Both they agree in the main Point, tho' not exactly in every Particular; which is, that when Severus heard Plautianus justifying himself from what whas ser mo per giv Off was couput

Crein di

be 1

him

his

our

was

that now in fi tice Ext

pre

what he was accused of, that is, of conspiring against the Emperor and his Son Caracalla's Life; Severus then appear'd to be in some manner moved at it; upon which Caracalla, fearing perhaps he should be faved, furiously fell on him, giving, at the same time, order to a military Officer, and others there present to kill him, which was immediately executed. According to this Account it can't be faid, that Severus punish'd or put him to Death; so that we may justly charge our Author with a Mistake: and very likely he was deceived by Spartianus, whom he gave Credit to, without further examining the Fact in other Historians. Spartianus's Words are: ' (b) Postea iterum cum Plautiano in gratiam re-' diit (Severus) & veluti ovans urbem ingressus ' Capitolium petiit. Quamvis & ipsum procedenti tempore occiderit. Which last Expression cannot be understood otherwise, but that either Severus himself slew him, or he was put to Death by his Order.

# NUMBER XXIV.

Sultan Solyman Among other royal Qualities, that rendered Solyman the Magnificent to be renowned and admired not only in his Time, but in future Ages, was the Administration of Justice in punishing the Ministers, that by Frauds, Extortions, and felling their Judgments, oppressed the Subjects to enrich themselves. The

n

S

lS

te

lo

e-

nd

b-

e-

n-

1

s's nis

nat Se-

he

re-

on

As

im,

is a

ian.

hen

hat

<sup>(</sup>b) In Vit. Sever.

Character, that Sagredo (a) gives of him, deferves the Reader's Attention, as it is the Model for a Prince, how he ought to govern.

'Solyman, says he, wanted nothing, but Religion (the Christian) to have been one of the best Monarchs in the World. He made a public Declaration, that if any one had in the former

Reign been defrauded, either in his Estate, or

Goods, he would make Restitution of it out of the best and dearest Part of his Treasures. He

was above all others a strict Observer of the Rites of his Religion. He begun at first with the

Care of the Temple or Moschey, to which the Musulmans attributed the happy Issue of his

Defigns. He punish'd severely the Usurpers of the Revenues of the Hospitals, and other pious

Places. He subdued Wickedness, and restored

' Justice, formerly abandoned and banish'd from the Courts of Judicature. He never put to

Death Bashas on the only Crime of being rich, as his Predecessor did, but because they had

fattened themselves with the Substance of the

' People. Many Gadis (Judges) were executed for having fold Justice. Over the Government of

the Provinces he fet those, whose principal Virtue

was Probity, and not poor hungry ones. He would that the Governors of States should imi-

tate the copious Rivers, that in their Course

leave what they meet with, and not the Tor-

rents, that break down and fweep away all along

with them.' Such a Character of a Turkish Monarch might put to the Blush many Christian Princes.

NUMBER

<sup>(</sup>a) Memorie Istoriche de Monarchi Ottomani, pag. 146. Ven. 1688. 4to.

## NUMBER XXV.

lelel

ion

eft

olic

ner

or

t of

He

the

the

the

his

s of

ious

ored

rom

t to

ich,

had

the

for

nt of

irtue

He

imi-

ourle

Tor-

long

Mo-

istian

146.

BER

The King of England, &c. ] By the King of England, our Author certainly means Henry the Eighth then alive; that is, in 1542 — the Date of his Letter. But whom of this King's Ministers he had in View, we can't positively Cromwell had been beheaded not above two Years before, viz. in 1540. But with what Justice he was condemn'd to Death, is what I leave the Reader to judge by the Hiflory of those Times. I will only say, what I think no-body will contradict, that he does not deserve to be put in the same Rank with Sejanus, Perennius, and the rest here mentioned. And if our Author thought otherwise, ought to confider, that he was an Italian, and had never been in England; so that he had not the Opportunity of being rightly informed of the Transactions of this Kingdom; but he followed the Voice of the common Report then in Italy, which, I am fure, was not favourable to Cromwell's Character, particularly at Rome, where our Author lived a great Part of his Life; confidering, that he was look'd upon by the Adherents to that Church, as the chief Promoter of the Protestant Religion in England. Cardinal Wolfey, he indeed may be justly placed among those ancient Ministers of State as Sejanus, Perennius, &c. But his Punishment was prevented by natural Death; tho' he had loft the King's Favour, and begun to feel the Effects of his Master's Indignation. As for Empson and Dudley, tho' they were called to an Account, and

[ 126 ]

an

th

th

W

ex

W

to

VI

W

V

an

th

W

W

de

gr

lin

th

th

ać

Mu

hi

and punished in the Beginning of Henry the Eighth's Reign; yet they had not been his, but his Predecessor's Ministers, who advanced them to Power, and made them the Instruments to oppress his Subjects, in order to satisfy his avaricious Temper; and while he lived they both continued in his Favour, and were protected by it. So that they could not have been brought as Instances in the Case our Author is speaking of; unless we will suppose, that he was mistaken, and from their Suffering under Henry the Eighth's Reign, concluded, that they had been his Ministers.

### NUMBER XXVI.

Antoninus Pius, &c.] Among the most excellent Gifts with which Nature had endued Antoninus, were Sweetness of Temper and Inclination to Clemency; which above all adorn'd his Character. These were remarkable in him whilst in a private Life, but they became more conspicuous to the Eye of the World, by the Conduct he observed when Emperor in governing the Roman State: For which he deferved from the Senate the Name of Pius, as a Mark of a fuperior Merit. A Name more glorious and noble for a Prince than all the Titles, that Vanity, Pride, and Flattery could ever bestow upon him. But the' Clemency was his predominant Virtue, yet he never suffered her to take Place before Justice. He understood too well both the End of Government, and the necessary Means to obtain it, as not to know, that to do Justice is the chief Duty of a Prince; and that Clemency ought to be directed by Prudence, and not by a Weakness of Temper, too sensible at another Man's fuffering without reflecting on the Cause, which he suffers for. Antoninus was clement without offending Justice. He loved them both equally, and dispensed them according as Prudence required. No Confideration prevailed with him fo far, as not to reward Merit, or punish Crimes; but he distributed with an impartial Hand to every one what was his Due: fo that his Justice was not extinguished by the Brightness of Clemency, whilst both of them shone in their proper Sphere thro' all his Actions. According to this we ought to understand what our Author fays of him here, viz. That he punished very severely them, who were convicted of being ill and wicked Men. And indeed it cannot be supposed otherwise, if we will confider, how necessary Justice is to a Government, and how happy and flourishing the Roman Empire was under Antoninus's Conduct; and this for the Space of no less, than twentythree Years that he reigned. Had we now what Dion did write of this Emperor, or a better and larger Account of his Life, than what we read of it in Capitolinus, we could, I do not doubt, produce several Instances of his first Justice in punishing Criminals; but to our great Loss, that Part of Dion's History has been wanting many hundred Years ago; and Capitolinus gives fo short and general Account of him, that we are ignorant of the particular Actions of this great Emperor, as well as of the public Transactions of that Time. Nevertheless, by Capitolimus's Testimony we are assured, that he treated his Servants about him with very great Severity,

-

d

n

e

10

1-

be

rk

us

at

W

int

ice

th

ins

do

hat

cy

rity; infomuch that neither they, nor his Friends did ever abuse his Authority by imposing upon People, as to make them believe, that Preferments, Places, &c. were to be gotten by the Favour, and Interest they had with him. (a)

Befides, he took fo particular a Care of the Administration of Justice, that there is no room to doubt, of his punishing most severely those, who by the Nature of their Crimes rendered themselves unworthy of receiving the Benefit of his Clemency. He obliged the Intendants of the Provinces to give a strict Account of their Administration, if they had not behaved themfelves with Moderation, as he had ordered them to do, in raising Taxes, &c. on the Subjects; giving a favourable hearing to the Complaints, that were brought against them (b). He purged the Empire of that public Nusance of Vermin, that feed on the Ruin of others. I mean the Informers, and the like (c).

He took all possible Care, that Men of the greatest Justice, and Probity should be intrusted with the public Administration (d). And it is b

tl

tl

m

M

m

co

jeć ım M

to

me

mui

min

Id.

( dux: qui

<sup>(</sup>a) Amicis suis in Imperio suo non aliter usus est, quam privatus; quia & ipsi nunquam de eo cum libertis suis per . fumum aliquid vendiderunt, fiquidem libertis suis severissime usus est.

<sup>(</sup>b) Procuratores suos & modeste suscipere tributa justit; excedentes modum, rationem factorum fuorum reddere præcepit; nec unquam lætatus est lucro, quo Provincialis oppressus est. Contra Procuratores suos conquerentes libenter audivit.

<sup>(</sup>c) Quadruplatores extincti funt.
(d) Viros æquissimos ad administrandam Rempublicam quærens. Eutrop. Quantum ejus fieri poterat, viros æquitati maxime studiosos Republicæ administrationi præsiciebat. Dion ex Suid.

remarked, that Gavius Maximus, his Captain of the Guards, continued in that Post for twenty Years, as a Man most exact, just, and impar-

tial (e).

e

d

of

of

ir

1-

m

;

S,

ed

n,

he

he

ed

15

àm

per

imè

fit; oræop-

nter

cam

equi-

ebat.

ed,

But what renders Antoninus's Character more noble and glorious, is the Prudence of his Conduct in the Government. A Conduct fo very contrary to the Politicks of Princes, that it deferves the more Notice and Admiration. A Conduct modelled not by human Passions, but by Virtue, and the Knowledge of what is the true End of civil Society, and the Duty of a Prince. He looked upon the Sovereignty and the Subjects with a quite different Eye, than Princes and Politicians do. They may learn from his Example,

First, That it is not Power and Pomp, that make a Prince truly great; but Virtue and the Love of his People. That the Dignity and Majesty of the Crown is not abased, but rather more elevated by the Prince's Condescension in conversing, and behaving himself with his Subjects in a Manner most obliging; and not in an imperious haughty Way, as if he was absolute

Master, and they his Slaves (f).

Secondly, That it is not impossible for a Prince to know and manage the Affairs of the Government himself. To be perfectly acquainted with

(e) Gavius Maximus præfectus prætorii ufque ad vicesimum annum sub eo pervenit, vir severissimus. Capitol.

<sup>(</sup>f) Imperatorium fastigium ad summam civilitatem deduxit; unde plus crevit: reclamantibus aulicis ministris, qui illo nihil per internuncios agente, nec terrere poterant homines aliquando, nec ea, quæ occulta non erant, vendere. Id.

the State and Revenues of his Dominions (g), without the Affistance of a Minister of State, or Favourites. This is what Princes are wont to reckon too great a Burden, and below the Dignity of their Condition. That is to fay, they will rather indulge their Passions, than apply their Minds to public Business. Besides, it is what Courtiers can neither relish, or approve; because they do not find their Account with such a Mafter, who knows and does every Thing himself. It is their Interest to keep the Prince in Ignorance, plunged in Pleasures, and averse from Application; that they may have the Management of all the public Affairs. But this is what is the Duty of a Prince, what the People justly expect from him; and (which is more) what will infallibly prevent the Cause of all the common Evils in a Government, viz.

The Prince to be imposed upon or misled by false Representations, bad Advices, and wicked

th

to

m

is

ul

P

Suggestions of a Minister of State.

The Favourites and Courtiers to take Advantage of their Master's Favours to oppress the Subjects.

The Selling of Places and Preferments, or giving them to Men unfit and unworthy of them. And in short exclude all Sorts of Bribery, Extorsion, and the like.

The Intriguing and Caballing of Parties, their Animofity, and the Influence they have upon

the People.

The People's Diffatisfaction and Complaints, and the ill Opinion and Aversion they have for their Prince.

<sup>(</sup>g) Rationes omnium Provinciarum apprime scivit, & vectigalium. Id.

## [ 131 ]

In few Words, a Prince by following this wife Conduct of Antoninus would bring all his Subjects to Peace and Union, and gain their Love and Esteem.

5ill

at

ile la-

elf.

om

gehat

fly

will

non

by

ked

tage ects.

y of

bery,

their

upon

aints, ve for

ivit, &

In

Thirdly, That a Prince should pay to the Senate that Deference, which is due to fo noble and venerable a Body; and to that Power they are intrusted with by the Laws of the Country; and which himself would expect from a Prince, was he a Member of it (b). He should confult the Senate, and hear their Advice on whatfoever concerns the public Interest, and at the same time inform the People with the Reafons of his Resolution (i); and not keep them in the Dark, or impose upon them, and oblige them to submit to his, or his Minister's Will with an implicit Faith. It is highly reasonable and just, that a Prince should act thus with his People, it being his Duty to do fo; and it will give a great Satisfaction to the People, fince they bear the Burden of the public Expences for the Support of the Government, to know all the Transactions relating to their own Interest, to speak freely their Sentiments, and make Remonitrances, when necessary, against them. This is that Happiness, which a free Government under a Prince should enjoy; and therefore the People can never be too jealous of it, neither too watchful in preserving it against any Attempt or Defign. Such a Happiness is what at present

<sup>(</sup>b) Senatui tantum detulit Imperator, quantum cum privatus esset ab alio Principe optavit. Id.

<sup>(</sup>i) Omnium quæ gessit & in Senatu & per edicta rationem reddidit. Id.

the English above all Nations might be proud to boast of enjoying, were they sensible of it.

h

te

b

W

n d

CI

to

fi

th

th

D

fa

m

be

go

M

m his

per

rùi

on

his

Fourthly, That a Prince's Ear should be always open to hear the Grievances and Complaints of his Subjects, in order to relieve them by the most proper Remedies. To hear any Proposal offered, that tends to the public Good, and to encourage it when really so. To hear with Moderation his Friends, freely opposing his Sentiments, and to receive a good Advice with Gladness. (k) But what Sort of Men were Antoninus's Friends? We may judge from his Character. What must his Court have been then? Quite different, no doubt, from the modern.

Fifibly, That a Prince should be a good Manager of the public Money, so as to employ it but in what is necessary or advantageous to the Public; it being the Public's and not his own Money, and he only the Administrator of it. He therefore ought not to lavish it in supersuous Expences, or in his particular Pleasures; neither in Pensions to worthless idle Fellows. Nothing being so shameful and even cruel, according to Antoninus's Saying, than to let those, who contribute nothing with their Industry to the Service of the Republic, eat up its Substance.

If a Prince will be liberal to his Friends, he should, as Antoninus was, be liberal of what is

(k) M. Anton. de reb. suis. l. 1. §. 16. & l. 6. §. 30. Wherein he gives the Character of this Emperor.

<sup>(1)</sup> Salaria multis subtraxit, quos otiosos videbat accipere, dicens, Nihil esse sordicions, imò crudelius quàm si Rempublicam ii arroderent, qui nihil in eam suo labore conferrent. Id. Capitol.

n

ar

is

h

17-

a-

13

a-

it

he

wn

it.

us

ei-

10-

rd-

ho

the

ice.

he

15

30.

pere,

npu-

rent.

his

his own, and not of what is the Public's. be liberal of what belongs to another, and by it to gain the Credit of being Liberal, is to injure the Man not only by depriving him of his own; but also of the Merit and Glory of the Action, which he has a Right to claim, as the Mafter of what has raised the Reputation of the Giver. Antoninus's Carefulness in saving the public Money went so far, as to furnish the Pay of the Soldiers out of his own Purse. Had he any particular Defign in this, as fome Emperors had in obliging the Army with Liberalities, &c. in orto support their Ambition thereby, and oppress the People: Had, I fay, Antoninus fuch a Defign, yet even then he would have acted according to common Justice, by not charging the Public with the Expence of an Army, which was to be intirely at his Service, and for their Destruction. No, Antoninus had no other Defign in being so liberal of his own, but to fave, as I have faid, the public Money; infomuch that he diminished the vast Estate he had before he was Emperor, and left the Ærarium greatly rich. (m) In short, a Prince should govern with no other View or Interest, than to procure the public Welfare; and like a good Master of a Family, with such Care and Diligence, as if the Government was his own domestic Concern, and the Subjects as a Part of his Family. (n)

(m) Hic ante Imperium ditissimus, opes quidem suas stipendiis Militum, & circa amicos liberalitatibus minuit; verum Ærarium opulentum reliquit. Eutrop. 1.8.

<sup>(</sup>n) Tanta sanè diligentia subjectos sibi Populos rexit, ut omnia, & omnes quasi sua essent, curaret. Id. Capitol. Quæ (the public Business) incredibili diligentia ad speciem optimi Patrisfamilias exsequebatur. Aurel. Viet. in Epit.

ab

is

W

ve

A

Ol

Can we form a better, and greater Idea of a Prince, and his Governing? How happy and flourishing then must have been the Condition of the Roman Provinces, and the whole Empire? What the Love and Veneration of the People for their Master?

It was his most just and prudent Way of governing, that made Antoninus, tho' a very peaceful Prince, to be fo respected, loved, and feared at fame the Time by foreign Nations, that no Emperor had ever fuch an Authority among them. (0) Antoninus gave Law to them, appointed Princes to be their Governors, and was the Arbitrator of their Disputes. This is a convincing Proof, that the Reputation of a Prince's governing his Subjects with Wisdom and Justice is more powerful, than a Number of Forces, to prevent the neighbouring Princes from diffurbing the Peace of his Dominion; and confequently that nothing exposes more a Nation to be affronted, and infulted by neighbouring Powers, than the ill Opinion of its Government, and Ability of its Ministers. Foreign Princes will on any Occafion take from it what Advantage they can, and either by Force, or Artifices bring that Nation to comply with their own Measures.

<sup>(</sup>o) Tantum sanè authoritatis apud exteras gentes nemo habuit, cùm semper amaverit pacem, &c. Id. Capitol. Quamvis eum Numæ contulerit ætas sua, cùm orbem Terræ nullo bello per annos viginti tres auctoritate sola rexit, adeo trementibus eum atque amantibus cunctis Regibus, Nationibusque, & Populis, ut Parentem seu Patronum magis quàm Dominum Imperatoremve reputarent; omnesque uno in cœlestium morem propitium optantes, de controversiis inter se Judicem poscerent. Aurel. Vict. 1. c. Eutrop. 1. 8. & Capitol. in ejus Vita.

Indeed

[ 135]

Indeed Antoninus's Politics were so much above the common Rules of governing, as his Character was above that of other Princes, that is to say, unparallel'd; (p) yet it might serve for a Pattern to be imitated in some Measure at least; was it not, that the prevailing Politics of the Age has so corrupted the true Notions of governing, that any other (how much the more Antoninus's!) is despised, and received with a a Horse-laugh among Ministers of State and Courtiers; in the like Manner, that the Stoic Moral Philosophy was among the ignorant vicious Romans in Persius's Time: whose Verses, (q) allowing some Alteration, may be well applied to the present Case.

Dixeris bæc inter Proceres, aulæque Ministros, Continuo crassum ridens Vulpennius ingens, Centum Antoninos curto centusse licetur.

nd

of

ole

oful

at

(o)

to of

of,

nis erhe

ice

ed, the of

ca-

nd

to

mo

deo oniàm cœr fe api-

ed

FINIS.

<sup>(</sup>p) Tantæ bonitatis in Principatu fuit, ut haud dubie fine exemplo vixerit. Aurel. Vict. in Epitom. Hunc ferè nullæ vitiorum labes maculavit. Aurel. Vict.

<sup>(9)</sup> Satyr. V. in fine.



